SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES

ALM Seminar
June 12-13, 2008

Risk Budgeting

Jorge Mina

Moderator
Charles Gilbert

Risk Budgeting for Pension Funds

Jorge Mina
jorge.mina@riskmetrics.com

What is a risk budget?

RiskMetrics Group

budg·et **(bŭj'ĭt)**

n.

An itemized summary of **estimated or intended** expenditures **for a given period along with proposals for financing them:** ***submitted the annual budget to Congress.***

A systematic plan for the expenditure of a **usually** fixed resource**, such as money or time, during a given period:** ***A new car will not be part of our budget this year.***

The total sum **of money** allocated for a particular purpose **or period of time:** ***a project with an annual budget of five million dollars.***

*v.***, -et·ed, -et·ing, -ets.**

To plan in advance the expenditure of**:** ***needed help budgeting our income; budgeted my time wisely.***

To enter or account for in a budget**:** ***forgot to budget the car payments.***

To make or use a budget**.**

Source: The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language

What is a risk budget?

RiskMetrics Group

Itemized summary of expenditures:

EXPENDITURE = EXPOSURE or BET

Systematic plan for the expenditure of a fixed resource:

FIXED RESOURCE = RISK

Total sum allocated for a particular purpose:

TOTAL SUM ALLOCATED = RISK TOLERANCE

PARTICULAR PURPOSE = GENERATE RETURNS

Plan in advance the expenditure of:

EXPENDITURES = ASSET ALLOCATION, MANAGER SELECTION, SECURITY SELECTION, ETC

Account for in a budget:

ACCOUNT IN A BUDGET = KNOW YOUR BETS

Make or use a budget:

MAKE A BUDGET = TAKE YOUR BETS

USE A BUDGET = MEASURE AND MONITOR YOUR BETS

Why budget risk?

RiskMetrics Group

- The traditional practice is to directly allocate money rather than risk to different asset classes, countries, sectors, and securities
- Budgeting risk can improve the investment process by enforcing discipline, eliminating unintended or disproportionate bets, and balancing the risk and return of each investment decision

Example: equally weighted bets

RiskMetrics Group

Asset Class	Bets	Asset Class Returns	Return Contribution (bp)
EM Bonds	**-1.0%**	**18%**	**-18**
Fixed Income	**-1.0%**	**-4%**	**4**
U.S. Large Cap	**-1.0%**	**-14%**	**14**
International Equity	**1.0%**	**17%**	**17**
U.S. Small Cap	**1.0%**	**-18%**	**-18**
Libor	**1.0%**	**0.4%**	**0.4**
Total			-0.6

Example: risk weighted bets

RiskMetrics Group

Asset Class	Bets	Asset Class Returns	Return Contribution (bp)
EM Bonds	**-0.2%**	**18%**	**-3.6**
Fixed Income	**-1.0%**	**-4%**	**4**
U.S. Large Cap	**-0.3%**	**-14%**	**4.2**
International Equity	**0.2%**	**17%**	**3.4**
U.S. Small Cap	**0.2%**	**-18%**	**-3.6**
Libor	**1.1%**	**0.4%**	**0.44**
Total			4.84

Pension fund investing

RiskMetrics Group

1. Construct an ALM model and derive a strategic allocation to each asset class considered – Surplus risk or funding risk
2. Set a benchmark for each asset class – Implementation risk
3. Hire managers to invest relative to those benchmarks – Active risk

Risk budgeting process for pension plans



Risk budgeting can be used to formulate an investment policy

RiskMetrics Group

- Asset allocation: selection of asset classes according to the organization's strategic goals (e.g., fund pensions, minimize volatility of earnings)
- Allocation of passive and active risk
- Allocation of active risk across asset classes
- Allocation of active risk to managers within each asset class

Risk budget: Surplus volatility

RiskMetrics Group

	Market Value	Allocation	Policy	Delta	Surplus Volatility Total	Policy	Implementation	Active
Surplus	1134				732			
Liabilities	5676				337			
Assets	6810	100%	100%	0%	395	315	52	29
Equity	4621	68%	60%	8%	408	329	52	28
Large Cap	**2771**	**41%**	**40%**	**1%**	**219**	**193**	**3**	**22**
Small Cap	**740**	**11%**	**10%**	**1%**	**81**	**71**	**6**	**4**
International	**859**	**13%**	**8%**	**5%**	**71**	**44**	**26**	**2**
Emerging	**251**	**4%**	**2%**	**2%**	**37**	**20**	**17**	**0**
Fixed Income	1689	25%	30%	-5%	-32	-39	7	0
Real Estate	500	7%	10%	-3%	19	25	-7	0

Numbers in USD MM

Expected returns

RiskMetrics Group

Ignoring expected returns leads to overestimation of risk



Risk budget: Surplus at Risk

RiskMetrics Group

	Market Value	Allocation	Policy	Delta	Surplus at Risk Total	Surplus at Risk Policy	Surplus at Risk Implementation	Surplus at Risk Active
Surplus	1134				942			
Liabilities	5676				998			
Assets	6810	100%	100%	0%	-55	-39	61	-78
Equity	4621	68%	60%	8%	104	143	28	-67
Large Cap	**2771**	**41%**	**40%**	**1%**	**62**	**63**	**1**	**-2**
Small Cap	**740**	**11%**	**10%**	**1%**	**21**	**48**	**4**	**-31**
International	**859**	**13%**	**8%**	**5%**	**0**	**16**	**9**	**-26**
Emerging	**251**	**4%**	**2%**	**2%**	**22**	**16**	**14**	**-8**
Fixed Income	1689	25%	30%	-5%	-141	-165	29	-4
Real Estate	500	7%	10%	-3%	-19	-16	4	-7

Numbers in USD MM

Surplus at Risk is calculated at the 95% level for a one year horizon

Different risk horizons impact volatility forecasting



- Short-term: monitor managers (external and internal), risk attribution
- Medium-term: tactical asset allocation, regulatory solvency risk (e.g., PVK), earnings risk (linked to accounting rules and planning horizon)
  - One year is short from an actuarial point of view (mortality assumptions not likely to change), but long from a financial and trading point of view (including the amount of data available to study)
  - At a one year horizon the risk of insolvency is remote, but the event that insolvency becomes "closer" is relevant
  - Measure the risk that the "distance" to insolvency drops below some barrier set by regulators
- Long-term: ALM study, solvency risk, contribution risk

Time series properties: heteroskedasticity, volatility clustering and long memory



S&P 500 returns (left) and lagged correlation of return magnitudes (right). Time series represented are equity indices (green), European interest rates (red), US interest rates (light blue), and foreign exchange (blue). The vertical bar indicates a lag of one month.

Taken from Finger and Zumbach (2005)

Volatility forecasting

RiskMetrics Group

Short-term

- Vast literature discussing volatility forecasting at short (one day to one month) horizons
- Financial returns are heteroskedastic and exhibit volatility clustering
- Possible to forecast the volatility of future returns given today's information
- RiskMetrics popularized the use of exponentially weighted moving averages (EWMA) to forecast volatility

Long-term

- Moderate amount of literature
- Recent information less relevant when forecasting volatility at long horizons
- Mean reversion is important at long horizons

Medium-term

- Almost no literature available on the subject
- Gaining importance with recent regulatory requirements in Europe
- Data stills exhibits long memory at a one year horizon (the influence of past returns decays as a power law)
- RiskMetrics is developing an LM-ARCH model to forecast volatility up to one year

Properties of time series of residuals from a LM-ARCH model



S&P 500 residuals (left) and lagged correlation of residual magnitudes (right). Time series represented are equity indices (green), European interest rates (red), US interest rates (light blue), and foreign exchange (blue). The vertical bar indicates a lag of one month.

Taken from Finger and Zumbach (2005)

Estimating expected returns

RiskMetrics Group

- Expected returns can be directly estimated from historical data or using expert opinions
- Alternatively, instead of estimating expected returns directly, find out what they would have to be for the current portfolio to be optimal
- This process is called reverse optimization. Sharpe(1974), Black & Litterman(1992), Litterman (1996), Sharpe (2002)

Reverse optimization and implied returns

RiskMetrics Group

$$\max w^{\top}\mu - \frac{1}{2}\gamma w^{\top}\Sigma w$$

The solution to this unconstrained optimization problem satisfies the condition:

$$\mathrm{ITE}_i \propto w_i \mu_i$$

This means that if the portfolio is optimal, the proportion of total return provided by asset *i* is equal to the ITE of the asset expressed as a proportion of total tracking error

Reverse optimization and implied returns

RiskMetrics Group

Covariance Matrix

+

Portfolio Weights

Implied

Returns

Equilibrium expected returns provide a neutral starting point

RiskMetrics Group

In equilibrium the optimal mean-variance portfolio is given by capitalization portfolio weights

Covariance Matrix

+

Market Cap Weights

Equilibrium

Returns

Example: using reverse optimization to imply asset class alpha

RiskMetrics Group

Asset Class	Weights	TE (bp)	ITE (bp)	ITE (%)
U.S. Large Cap	25%	250	29	21%
U.S. Small Cap	20%	500	74	54%
International Equity	10%	450	15	11%
Emerging Markets Equity	5%	600	7	5%
Fixed Income	40%	100	12	9%
Total	100%	136	136	100%

Budgeting tracking error at the manager level

RiskMetrics Group

- Risk attribution is an excellent tool to monitor managers
- Verify that they are making the kinds of bets the plan sponsor expects them to make in order to generate alpha
- The idea is to apply ex-ante (stochastic) returns to a performance attribution methodology
- Poses heavy data and analytical requirements:
  - Holdings information for the active portfolios and all benchmarks
  - Pricing data
  - Reference data (T&Cs, sector information, ratings)
  - Detailed pricing models
  - Sophisticated risk analytics

Tracking error budget

RiskMetrics Group

Tracking Error (bp)

		Equity			Fixed Income			
	Total	Total	Allocation	Selection	Total	Duration	Allocation	Selection
Total	532	466	113	353	66	5	12	49
Eq Mgr 1	132	132	26	106	-	-	-	-
Eq Mgr 2	174	174	38	136	-	-	-	-
Eq Mgr 3	160	160	48	112	-	-	-	-
FI Mgr 1	27	-	-	-	27	1	4	22
FI Mgr 2	39	-	-	-	39	4	8	27

Example: Brinson return attribution

RiskMetrics Group

Over/underperformance of sector *A* relative to the benchmark

Sector	Sector Allocation	Security Selection	Total
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
A	$(P_A - B_A)(r_A - r_T)$	$\sum_{s\in A}(P_s - B_s)(r_s - r_A)$	$\sum_{s\in A}(P_s - B_s)(r_s - r_T)$
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
Total	$\sum_A (P_A - B_A)r_A$	$\sum_{s\in T}(P_s - B_s)r_s - \sum_A (P_A - B_A)r_A$	$\sum_{s\in T}(P_s - B_s)r_s$

Over/underweight (bet) in sector *A*

Example: Brinson return attribution

RiskMetrics Group

Over/underperformance of security *s* relative to sector *A*

Sector	Sector Allocation	Security Selection	Total
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
A	$(P_A - B_A)(r_A - r_T)$	$\sum_{s \in A}(P_s - B_s)(r_s - r_A)$	$\sum_{s \in A}(P_s - B_s)(r_s - r_T)$
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
Total	$\sum_A (P_A - B_A) r_A$	$\sum_{s \in T}(P_s - B_s) r_s - \sum_A (P_A - B_A) r_A$	$\sum_{s \in T}(P_s - B_s) r_s$

Over/underweight (bet) in security *s*

Example: Brinson return attribution

RiskMetrics Group

Over/underperformance of security *s* relative to benchmark

Sector	Sector Allocation	Security Selection	Total
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
A	$(P_A - B_A)(r_A - r_T)$	$\sum_{s\in A}(P_s - B_s)(r_s - r_A)$	$\sum_{s\in A}(P_s - B_s)(r_s - r_T)$
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
Total	$\sum_A (P_A - B_A)r_A$	$\sum_{s\in T}(P_s - B_s)r_s - \sum_A (P_A - B_A)r_A$	$\sum_{s\in T}(P_s - B_s)r_s$

Over/underweight (bet) in security *s*

Further reading

RiskMetrics Group

- Finger, C. and Zumbach, G. (2005). Forecasting for solvency risk. *Research Monthly*, RiskMetrics Group, December 2005.
- Mina, J. (2005). Risk budgeting for pension plans. *RiskMetrics Journal*, 6(1): 9-34.
- Mina, J. and Xiao, J. (2001). *Return to RiskMetrics: The Evolution of a Standard*, RiskMetrics Group.
- Zumbach, G (2007). Backtesting Risk Methodologies from One Day to One Year. *RiskMetrics Journal*, 7(1): 17-59

References available at http://www.riskmetrics.com/research.html