Staff Corner

By David Schraub

In another effort to improve the transparency of the Society of Actuaries (SOA), this issue of the newsletter discusses the publishing process. The SOA is modernizing its newsletter process to properly enter the new decade.

WE UPDATED THE FORMAT

Our largest group of members is now millennials, and its readership expectation is different from other groups. The Joint Risk Management Section (JRMS) already switched the newsletter to a fully digital version. No more print newsletters sent to your address, killing trees and costing stamps. The nostalgic will still find the print button convenient, and commuters will find the audio feature as a convenient way to learn while keeping their eyes on the road (or on the subway signs).

NOW, WE UPDATE THE FREQUENCY

We previously published three newsletters per year. This meant

• nothing to read for months, then plenty to read when each issue was released,

• only three touch points a year—drought followed by heavy rain,

• too large a volume of content delivered at once when it may not be digestible, and

• inability to manage time-sensitive content.

In 2020 the newsletter moves to six issues a year. Each one will include two to three articles to remain digestible, providing insight on a technical aspect, announcement of upcoming webcasts or anything else relevant for JRMS members. This will improve the number of relevant connections the section has with its members, along with our ability to provide time-relevant insight in a bite-size manner.

The ultimate goal is to help improve the relevancy of this newsletter for its readers. Welcome to the 2020s!
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The 2020 Joint CAS/SOA Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Symposium will be held March 9 and 10, 2020, at the Westin Tampa Waterside hotel.

The ERM Symposium provides thought leadership, best practices and networking opportunities to professionals working in the many aspects of enterprise risk management. The symposium supports the Canadian Institute of Actuaries, Casualty Actuarial Society and Society of Actuaries development of professional education within the actuarial discipline.

With over 200 risk management professionals in attendance, this symposium allows attendees plenty of time for networking and making important professional connections.

The planning committee for the 2020 ERM Symposium is hard at work preparing an exciting offering of concurrent sessions that will attract and satisfy divergent interests. These sessions will focus on such topics as:

- Cyber security, data breaches and third parties: What can you do?
- Who has it tougher? Comparing ERM challenges at corporations vs. government agencies
- Scenario planning in a low-growth environment: why regulatory requirements come up short
- Emerging risks: extending time horizons to add value
- Actuaries in banking: using the Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA) structure as guide
- A practical case study: incorporating liquidity and credit risk within ERM and asset and liability management to drive risk-aware business decision-making
- Data vs. the actuary: stories from the front
- New ASOP 55—Capital Adequacy Assessment: what it means to the ERM actuary
- Public policy risk management
- Model governance: What could possibly go wrong? (A case study in two sessions)
- Cyber crime and insurance
- Reserve risk when using ultimate loss triangles

We hope you agree that a worthwhile program awaits. Check the symposium website for updates and additions.

We look forward to meeting with you in Tampa this March!
Enterprise Risk Management 2019: The New Wave of Risks

Explore the emerging topics taking centre stage in today’s world of enterprise risk management. Read our publication and listen to the authors at cia-ica.ca/erm.
Bringing Risk Appetite Into Strategy

By Paul Simmons

Editor’s note: The International Network of Actuarial Risk Managers (INARM) is an international special-interest group open to members of actuarial organizations around the world. It serves as a vehicle to allow members of all actuarial communities to connect in the risk management area worldwide. You can join the INARM mailing distribution list through the Society of Actuaries (SOA) website.

It is through INARM that I came across the A Year in the Life of the Strategic CRO series of articles from Willis Towers Watson. The following article in particular caught my eye. (It is published here by permission.) Risk appetite and strategy are absolutely critical topics that the Joint Risk Management Section (JRMS) has been trying to prioritize over the past 12 months. In fact, the last JRMS webinar of 2019 addressed those topics, so we thought we would bring continuity by sharing an article on those topics to begin 2020.

Hope you enjoy the reading!

Viewing the risk appetite purely as a red flag is simplistic and fails to appreciate the value and assurance that the strategic chief risk officer (CRO) can bring to the business planning process. This article from the A Year in the Life of the Strategic CRO series explains how to enhance the risk appetite to better support business planning discussions.

What role does the risk appetite play in setting strategy? In the first instance, the board needs to understand how the proposed strategy compares with their preferences for risk. The risk appetite therefore needs to be designed in preparation for that comparison. Analyzing that comparison, the consequences, the options available to management and demonstrating the robustness (or otherwise) of all of these is where the strategic CRO can use risk appetite to add value to the strategy process.

When articulating the risk appetite, it can help to challenge the board about what should actually happen in practice when a threshold is breached.

WHAT CAN THE STRATEGIC CRO DO IN ADVANCE OF THE STRATEGIC DISCUSSION?
Designing the risk appetite to be adaptable and flexible, and to facilitate a strategic discussion, is important. In practice, the board’s preferences for risk will always have some lines that are harder than others. The key is to carefully articulate the risk appetite to reflect this flexibility but also to retain teeth where it matters.

The risk appetite also needs to be meaningful throughout the business planning horizon. Or from another perspective, since the business plan is forward-looking, risk appetite should be too. Including the rationale for a risk appetite statement as part of that plan, can help identify circumstances when the appetite should be adapted as the business plan progresses, so that it remains as relevant at the end of the business planning horizon as it is at the start. Equally, understanding the rationale for risk appetite can facilitate analysis of how it might respond to key strategic decisions, and to identify circumstances when the risk appetite is no longer fit for purpose and should be restated.

Can a Breach of Risk Appetite Ever be Tolerable?
When articulating the risk appetite, it can help to challenge the board about what should actually happen in practice when a threshold is breached, and whether it would ever be acceptable for a business plan to include a predicted breach. The practicalities of a breach should include:

- Management information and alerts that should be triggered (including those to regulators)
- Ongoing monitoring and reporting, and the requirements on management or the board to take action to remediate the breach
We would expect these to vary by risk, and on the circumstances. The latter in particular, will require careful articulation to allow the board a degree of flexibility but also remain robust. To illustrate, we would typically expect a firm’s risk appetite to feature a ladder of intervention, with the earliest thresholds triggering alerts to management and elevated levels of monitoring and reporting, but requiring no action unless projections show the position worsening or failing to recover.

What Levers Does the Strategic CRO Have to Support a Strategy?
Defining the management options available to improve the business’s key metrics before the strategy discussion is an important step in the risk management process. Risks should not be managed only as they arise; businesses should be prepared to manage risks before they happen. Undertaking such exercises, prior to the business planning process, provides comfort to the board (and regulators) that the business is robust and prepared for any eventuality. Yet any options must be carefully presented to avoid expectations that force management to act against their will.

Considerations for analyzing management options include:

- How much impact will such options have?
- How long will they take to implement?
- What steps are required in practice to put the option into effect?
- How might circumstances and time affect the availability of options?

Having a menu of such options and ensuring they are carefully considered and credible can enable the strategic CRO to readily provide solutions rather than just problems.

How Will the Strategy Perform Under Different Circumstances?
Alas, no strategy is certain. The strategic CRO can help articulate the risks inherent in the strategy by highlighting the key assumptions and the impact of those on business plans. Understanding the risks that most preoccupy board members (along with those that should concern them), will help drive engagement in the financial projections. And demonstrating how the plan can withstand those risks, perhaps through the exercising of management options, or otherwise, can help provide assurance as to the robustness of the plan.

What other risk appetite-related considerations should the strategic CRO bring to the strategy process?

- Risk appetite should reflect the metrics that matter to the business. If investors and regulators are concerned by the solvency ratio, then the risk appetite, the associated management reporting of capital and appetite, and the possible management options, should all focus on the solvency ratio.
- The strategic CRO should maintain sight of non-biting metrics. We frequently see companies calculating combinations of regulatory, economic and rating agency capital, but board attention is solely focused on the biting constraint. The CRO needs to understand the circumstances when a switchover might occur, and to bring that discussion into the board’s strategic considerations when relevant. Equally, we would expect the CRO to maintain sight over a range of metrics, both currently and over the business planning horizon, that might not otherwise concern the board, in case any grow to become significant.
- The discussion of strategy and risk appetite should not be restricted to financial or quantifiable risks. Ultimately, the risk appetite needs to reflect the board’s attitude toward risk, not just now but over time and as the business evolves. Fully capturing that attitude, and the implications, are the key to elevating the risk appetite into the heart of the business planning and strategic decision-making processes.
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