

Inventory of ACO, PCMH, and other major payment reform initiatives
Rough draft

Many potential solutions are being developed to improve the health system that are being implemented and tested at the state or local level. The goal is improved health and lower trends. Given the many new programs, the Society of Actuaries health section has recently conducted an inventory and identified over 150 of these programs.

This inventory includes a variety of different types initiatives. These include:

- Formal programs through Medicare, such as the Shared Savings, Pioneer ACOs and Physician Group Demonstration projects.

- Patient Centered Medical Homes (PCMH) through a variety of states

- State or local collaborations between carriers and providers (such as hospital or physicians)

- Program focused on Medicaid or underserved populations.

- New programs such as the federal Co-Op programs.

This attached inventory is a basic inventory of programs. It provides a snapshot of some of the many organizations who are working on these topics.

The information was collected in late 2011 and early 2012 from publicly - available material sources. This inventory has not been independently validated and the Society of Actuaries and contributors make no warranty about the underlying capabilities of the organizations.

For almost all programs, the inventory lists a web reference for these programs. However, the depth of the reference varies widely. In some cases, the weblink is simply a summary or press release about the program. In others, the programs has developed extensive material for public use.

List of contributors

The report was compiled by the Society of Actuaries workgroup on payment reform (and related programs). The contributors were:

John Bertko
Kristi Bohn

Kim Hiemenz
Susan Pantely
Gregory Pence
Bob Tate
Greger Vigen
Rina Vertes
Alexander Vojta
William Whitmore
Kurt Wrobel
Jian Yu

Questions for Society

1. We will be listing names of contributors on the document that describes the inventory and at the end of the listing. We want to decide whether to include names on each entry. Our sense is that we would not include names on each entry. Two reasons: readers do not assume a depth of understanding of the programs, and readers will not try for free advice from the contributors.

Does this make sense?

2. In the vast majority of cases, the listing includes a weblink. But, in a few cases a weblink was not provided. At one level, this is not a big deal, on the other hand, we might be either ask the original contributor to supplement this or have someone do a quick web search.