## TRANSACTIONS OF SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES 1958 REPORTS

## REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON DISABILITY AND DOUBLE INDEMNITY

## EXPERIENCE UNDER ACCIDENTAL DEATH BENEFIT PROVISIONS IN ORDINARY INSURANCE POLICIES BETWEEN 1951 AND 1956 POLICY ANNIVERSARIES

The Intercompany Double Indemnity Table currently in wide use was developed by the Joint Committee on Mortality from the combined experience of six companies during the period 1926 to 1933.<sup>1</sup> This experience is more than 25 years old. Accidental death rates have changed greatly during that period, both as to their general level and as to their incidence by age. The Committee undertook the new investigation, with the approval of the Board of Governors, in order to provide more modern information on the accidental death experience under double indemnity clauses included in Ordinary insurance policies.

A preliminary invitation to participate in a new intercompany study was sent on October 18, 1956 to companies with more than 300,000,000of Ordinary double indemnity coverage in force on December 31, 1955. There were 34 such companies. The invitation included an indication of the probable scope and nature of the investigation. Following receipt of the responses to this invitation, the specifications for the study were drawn up and were sent on March 11, 1957 to those companies that had indicated they could contribute to the study. The specifications of the study are reproduced in Appendix B.

Data have been furnished by the 17 companies listed in Table 1. Included in this table are percentages of the total exposures (in terms of amounts of insurance) that were contributed by each of these companies to the various sections of the study. These percentages give an indication of the relative size of the contributions of the different companies.

#### SCOPE OF THE STUDY

#### Years of Issue

The basic material for the study was derived from the issues of 1935 to 1940 and 1946 to 1955. The intervening issue years were omitted because of the distorting effect that the unusually high proportion of insurance issued to women during those war years would have had. Supplementary data for years of issue prior to 1935 were obtained from five of the larger companies which issued lifetime benefits in those years and were

<sup>1</sup>See TASA XXXV, 381.

therefore in a position to furnish data pertaining to the higher attained ages of coverage.

## Years of Observation

The years between 1951 and 1956 policy anniversaries were selected as the period of observation. These were the most recent years available and

#### TABLE 1

#### LIST OF CONTRIBUTING COMPANIES AND PROPORTION OF TOTAL EXPOSURES\* CONTRIBUTED BY EACH TO VARIOUS SECTIONS OF STUDY

		Total Data	Special Analyses, All Years of Issue		
Company	Issue Years 1935–40, 1946-55	Issue Years before 1935	All Issue Years	By Sex	By Sex and Policy Size
Prudential Metropolitan New York Life Equitable, New York Mutual Life, New York	28.3% 23.2 13.6 10.2 4.4	31.0% 2 8 37 8 13.6 14.8	28.8% 20.0 17.1 10.7 6.0	48.7% 21.4 11.6	42.877. 23.2
John Hancock New England Mutual Connecticut Mutual Aetna Penn Mutual	4.4 2.3 2.3 2.2 1.8		3.7 2.0 1.9 1.9 1.5	6.3 3.3 2.6	12.6 6.7 5.2
Sun Life, Canada National Life and Accident Massachusetts Mutual Connecticut General Bankers	1.6 1.3 1.2 1.0		1.3 1.1 1.0 .8 .8	2.2 1.7 1.3	4.4
Union Central Equitable, Iowa	.7 .6		.6 .5	.9	1.7
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total Exposure (in \$1,000 units)	\$116,298,977	\$21,471,836	\$137,770,813	\$81,357,354	<b>\$40,69</b> 7,345
Total Claims Number of Policies Amount Paid	12,396 \$ 39,547,069	3,952 <b>\$</b> 11,152,179	16,348 \$50,699,248	8,345 \$28,757,595	3,948 \$15,256,663

\* In terms of amounts of insurance. All companies other than Metropolitan and Aetna also supplied data by policies. Prudential's data for policy year one were not included in the study because sufficiently accurate encourses were not available.

actuate exposures were not available. The contributions of a few companies did not cover the full observation period or all years of issue. Two companies submitted data for the period between 1952 and 1956 anniversaries only, one for issues of 1946 and later only, and three for premium paying business only. Some of the companies contributing to the special analyses were able to furnish subdivisions of their data by sex, or by sex and policy size, for only part of their total data entering the basic study.

provided a sufficient volume of data to give significant results. The Korean War was being waged from the beginning of this observation period until July 1953, but this was not deemed to invalidate the significance of the findings because companies generally excluded accidental deaths as a result of service in the military forces of a country at war. Some increase of the double indemnity claims might be expected because training accidents were covered by about half of the companies. In general, however, war deaths were not considered to have a material effect on the level of claims incurred.

## Other Features of the Data

Further details regarding the material furnished will be found in the specifications of the study as reproduced in Appendix B. The following points may be of special interest:

- 1. The investigation was in general confined to double indemnity clauses issued at standard rates. Several companies were not able to exclude substandard clauses, particularly when attached to standard policies. In the case of such companies, when the claims on substandard clauses did not exceed 5% of the company's total claims, the contribution was included without adjustment. When the proportion was in excess of 5%, as was true for five companies, the claims on substandard clauses were reduced by applying a factor equal to the reciprocal of the rating. For example, claims on clauses which were issued at  $1\frac{1}{2}$  times the standard premium rate were included in the study for 2/3 of their actual amount.
- 2. Clauses automatically incorporated in life insurance policies were excluded from the investigation.
- 3. Companies were given the option of including clauses added after the issuance of a policy.
- 4. Sampling methods were permitted in the derivation of a company's data, but the contribution was translated to the equivalent of a 100% basis before transmission.

No attempt was made to differentiate the companies according to the liberality of their clauses or of their administration. There are obvious difficulties in arriving at any such differentiation, and in any case it was questionable that significant subdivisions could be established in this way. Information was obtained from the companies as to the exclusions contained in their double indemnity clauses, and this information is summarized later in the report. The over-all results for each company were also analyzed separately to measure the variation in the experience of the individual companies. The findings in this regard are discussed later.

## Subdivisions of the Analysis

The data for each of policy years 1, 2 and 3 were submitted separately by age at issue, and the data for later durations were combined and submitted by attained age. The experience by amount of insurance was furnished by all companies. Data by numbers of policies were also requested, and 15 of the 17 companies were able to comply with this request.

Ten of the contributing companies were able to break their contribution down by sex. This permitted separate analyses to be made of the experience on male lives and female lives for a portion of the total contribution.

An effort was made to investigate the experience by size of policy. This was regarded as an index of how the experience might be correlated with the total amount of accidental death benefit on a policyholder's life. Three amount subdivisions were specified, *i.e.*, less than \$5,000, \$5,000 to \$19,999, and \$20,000 and over. Only eight of the companies were in a position to subdivide their material by policy size and sex, and this limited the significance of the findings in this area, particularly since the two largest contributors were not able to make the subdivision.

Information as to cause of death was also requested from all 17 companies, pursuant to the code subdivisions listed in Appendix B.

#### Volume of Data

Statistics as to the volume of exposures and claims appear at the bottom of Table 1. For all years of issue combined, the exposure came to almost \$138 billion, while the claims were 16,348 in number and \$50,699,-248 in amount. In the previous intercompany investigation the issues of 1918-32 observed between 1926 and 1933 anniversaries produced \$42,-045,000 in claims on an exposed to risk of  $664\frac{1}{2}$  billion. Thus with more than double the exposure, the current investigation's claims were only 20% higher than those of the earlier study.

#### RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

Table 2 summarizes the data of the present investigation for attained age groups and relates the claims to the 1926-33 Intercompany Table. All durations and both sexes have been combined. The table shows the amount exposed, the number and amount of claims,<sup>2</sup> and the ratio of the claims by amount to the claims expected on the basis of the 1926-33 Table.

The improvement in the claim rates as evidenced by the ratios in Table 2 has been substantial at all ages. The over-all ratio is 49%, but there is considerable variation by attained age. Where the volume of claims is significant, the ratios are lowest in the age range from 50 to 69.

 $^2$  Throughout the report the claim amounts used were the amounts actually paid. In the case of compromise settlements, these amounts were lower than the corresponding exposed to risk amounts.

#### TABLE 2

#### EXPERIENCE UNDER ORDINARY ACCIDENTAL DEATH BENEFITS BETWEEN 1951 AND 1956 POLICY ANNIVERSARIES RELATIVE TO 1926–33 INTERCOMPANY DOUBLE INDEMNITY TABLE\* ALL POLICY DURATIONS, BOTH SEXES COMBINED

		Actua	MORTALITY					
Attained Age	EXPOSURE (in \$1,000 Units)	Number of Policies	Amount Paid	RATIO BY Amount				
	All Years of Issue in Study							
0-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 50-54 50-54 55-59 60-64 65-69 70-74 75-79 80-84 85-89 90-94 95-99 To 34 35-44	\$ 4,237 252,350 927,399 3,642,785 9,168,336 15,070,949 19,373,037 21,254,748 20,346,985 16,988,899 12,414,990 8,589,133 5,161,709 2,613,434 1,314,525 514,257 120,550 12,269 213 8 \$ 48,439,093 41,601,733	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 25\\ 96\\ 870\\ 1,765\\ 1,440\\ 1,685\\ 1,816\\ 1,848\\ 1,803\\ 1,471\\ 1,209\\ 878\\ 575\\ 428\\ 285\\ 133\\ 21\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ \hline 5,881\\ 3,664 \end{array}$	\$ 0 58,050 115,232 2,027,163 4,685,491 4,566,231 5,988,599 6,152,868 6,015,785 4,538,950 3,737,804 2,551,238 1,793,337 1,278,950 791,742 369,324 45,325 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 31\% \\ 16 \\ 69 \\ 75 \\ 63 \\ 66 \\ 53 \\ 48 \\ 48 \\ 48 \\ 37 \\ 37 \\ 37 \\ 33 \\ 37 \\ 43 \\ 47 \\ 60 \\ 49 \\ \hline 66\% \\ 50 \\ \end{array} $				
45-54.	29,403,889 18,326,098	3,274	10,554,735	43				
All	\$137,770,813	16,348	\$50,699,248	49%				
	Issue Years 1935-40, 1946-55							
To 34	\$ 48,366,143 39,769,129 22,316,637 5,847,068 \$116,298,977	5,862 3,352 2,289 893 12,396	\$17,417,748 11,553,168 8,099,911 2,476,242 \$39,547,069	$ \begin{array}{r}     66\% \\     50 \\     44 \\     33 \\     53\% \end{array} $				
		Icene Vears I	pefore 1935					
m 14								
To 34 35-44 45-54 55 and over	\$ 72,950 1,832,604 7,087,252 12,479,030	19 312 985 2,636	\$ 23,018 582,859 2,454,824 8,091,478	66% 52 39 39				
All	\$ 21,471,836	3,952	\$11,152,179	40%				

\* Including extensions below age 15 and for ages 95-99 as developed by the Actuarial Society of America and the American Institute of Actuaries for the reserve tables published in 1947 based on the 1926-33 Intercompany Table combined with CSO Mortality.

## TABLE 3

## GRADUATED 1951-56 ACCIDENTAL DEATH CLAIM RATES

## (Aggregate experience based on amount of claims paid, extended to include rates at ages 1 through 100)

Age	CLAIM PER	Rате 1,000	Ratio of 1951-56	Age	Claim per	кате 1,000	Ratio 0 1951-56
	1951-56	1926-33	то 1926-33		1951-56	1926-33	то 1926-3
1	.424	.875	48%	51	. 362	.936	39%
2	. 347	. 860	40	52	.367	. 999	37
3	. 298	.845	35	53	. 374	1.050	36
4	. 264	.830	32	54	. 383	1.088	35
5	. 239	.815	29	55	.395	1.115	35
6	. 220	.800	28	56	.409	1.146	36
$7 \dots$	. 207	.767	27	57	.425	1.180	36
8	. 202	. 733	28	58	.442	1.244	30
.9	. 198	. 099	28	59	.460	1.310	35
10	203	002		00	.480	1.378	33
11	. 212	.044	35	62	. 502	1.440	25
12	. 230	.002	33	63	.348	1 590	33
10	200	.190	3.4	64	580	1 656	35
15	366	.001		65	622	1 720	36
16	400	854	57	66	656	1 783	37
17	556	827	67	67	692	1 844	38
18	578	801	72	68	730	1.906	38
19	583	776	75	69	771	1.974	39
20	.575	752	76	70	.819	2.053	40
21	554	728	76	71	.878	2.148	41
22	519	.697	74	72	.952	2.264	42
23	471	.654	72	73	1.046	2.409	43
24	.420	. 605	69	74	1.166	2.586	45
25	.377	. 555	68	75	1.315	2.801	47
26	. 345	. 509	68	76	1.494	3.059	49
27	. 324	.473	68	77	1.703	3.362	51
28	. 309	. 449	69	78	1.943	3.711	52
29	.300	. 439	68	79	2.216	4.100	54
30	. 294	.438	67	80	2.521	4.519	56
31	. 290	.447	65	81	2.852	4.956	58
32	. 287	. 464	62	82	3.206	5.405	59
33	.286	.481	59	83	3.584	5.867	61
34	.286	. 494	58	84	3.988	0.363	63
35	.286	. 502	5/	85	4.419	0.920	04
30	.287	. 511	50	80	4.8/8	1.385	04
31	. 289	. 525	55	8/	5.30/	8.304	64
38	. 291	. 344	55	80	5.000	10 278	62
39	. 293	. 571	40	09	7 022	11 344	62
40	. 293	. 390	49	90	7 642	12 411	62
41	305	637	40	02	8 208	13 408	62
42	313	640	48	03	8 001	14 250	63
44	313	.049	40	04	0.771	14 802	65
45	331	.000	40	95	10 405	15 265	60
46	330	606	40	96	11 308	15 450	73
47	346	726	48	97	12 164	15 590	78
48	351	765	46	98	13 064	15.710	83
49	355	815	44	99	14 009	15.820	89
50	.358	.873	41	100	15.000		

## COMPARED TO 1926-33 INTERCOMPANY TABLE AS EXTENDED

Separate sections of the table are devoted to the issues of 1935 and later and to the issues which preceded 1935, the analysis being in broad age groups. The ratios in the two sections are quite similar for the corresponding age groups, but because of the very different weighting of the experience by attained age, the over-all ratio for the issue years before 1935 is substantially lower than that for the later issue years.

#### Graduated Claim Rates

To facilitate the analysis of the various subdivisions of the study, graduated claim rates by amount were derived and are presented in Table 3. They are based on the total contribution covering all durations,

TABLE 4

	MORTALITY RATIOS BY AMOUNT				
ATTAINED AGE	Issue Years 1935–40, 1946–55	Issue Years before 1935	All Issue Years		
То 34	100%	110%*	100%		
35–44 45–54	98	104	98		
55 and over	93	101	99		
All	100%	100%	100%		

# Aggregate Experience Relative to Graduated 1951–56 Rates in Table 3

 $\ast$  Based on 19 claims. Each of the other ratios shown is based on more than 300 claims.

and thus form an aggregate table. All years of issue were combined in the derivation of this table because of the similarity of the results for the different years of issue examined. Due to the paucity of data at the young and high ages, it was necessary to fall back on population data as a basis for an extension of the graduated rates to those ages.

The graduation process and the method of extension are described in Appendix A. Table 4 summarizes the relationship of the actual experience to the graduated rates for each set of issue years separately and for all years combined.

Also shown in Table 3, for comparison purposes, are the rates of the 1926-33 Intercompany Table (as extended below age 15 and above age 94 in connection with the publication in 1947 of the reserve tables based on the 1926-33 Table combined with CSO mortality). Ratios of the 1951-56 to the 1926-33 claim rates are also included in Table 3.

## RUSHMORE MUTUAL LIFE LIBRARY

#### 52 COMMITTEE ON DISABILITY AND DOUBLE INDEMNITY

The Committee wishes to emphasize that the graduated claim rates for the present study were produced for analysis purposes only, and not as a table deemed suitable for premium or valuation purposes. The claim rates represent the actual experience during the 1951 to 1956 observation period for the particular group of lives studied, with some extension at the low and high ages. They have no loading in them for fluctuations or contingencies. As will be brought out later, there were significant variations from the over-all experience for many of the contributing companies, as well as by policy duration and sex. It is probable, also, that the 1951–56 period was a favorable one from a claim point of view because of the good economic conditions which prevailed. For example, companies are not always successful in excluding suicides when paying accidental death benefit claims, and in depressed times such claims are bound to be more frequent than in prosperous times.

#### Causes of Accidental Death

The companies were requested to give the cause of death on each claim card. The causes studied were derived from the 1950 Code for Causes of Death (see TSA I, 621). They appear in Appendix B, together with the equivalent codes of the Sixth Revision of the International Lists of Diseases and Causes of Death.

The distribution of the amount of claims by cause of accidental death for four age groups and for all ages combined appears in Table 5. Motor vehicle accidents were by far the most important cause, accounting for 55% of the claims when all ages are considered together. Accidental falls came next in order, and represented almost 9% of the total claims. Drowning and aircraft accidents each accounted for about 5% of the claims. No other cause produced as much as  $3\frac{1}{2}\%$  of the claims.

There are some interesting variations by attained age in the proportions attributable to the individual causes of death. Motor vehicle accidents comprised 63% of the claims at ages under 35, with smaller proportions applying at higher ages. The proportions due to accidental falls increase with age, rising to over 20% for ages 55 and over. For ages 70 and over, the proportion was 42%. Drowning death proportions are in the range of 4% to 6% for the four broad age groups shown in the table, but it may be of interest to note that the proportion was 11% for attained ages under 20. Deaths due to accidental poisoning by solid or liquid substances took a heavier toll at the middle age range of 35 to 54 than at the low and high ages. This was true for the rates of death as well as for the percentages of the total. Some other causes of death also show high percentages at the middle age range, but the rates of deaths themselves do not display the same hump.

## TABLE 5

## DISTRIBUTION BY CAUSE OF DEATH, AGGREGATE EXPERIENCE, ALL COMPANIES BASED ON AMOUNT OF CLAIMS PAID

-

		Attained Age					
Cause of Death	To 34	35-44	45-54	55 and Over	All		
		Percent	age Distri	bution			
Motor Vehicle Accidents. Accidental Falls. Accidental Drowning and Submersion (ex- cluding Water Transport Accidents)	63.2% 3.7 5.6 4 3	54.7% 5.0 4.3	49.3% 9.5 5.7 5.6	50.0% 20.8 4.3 3.5	55.2% 8.8 5.1 4 7		
Accident Caused by Firearm Accident Caused by Fire, Explosion, etc Homicide Water Transport Accidents	2.5 2.6 2.5 3.3	4.6 4.0 4.0 3.5	4.8 3.6 3.5 2.9	2.2 3.3 2.5 2.0	3.4 3.3 3.1 3.0		
Accident Caused by Machinery Accident Caused by Electric Current Blow from Falling Object Accidental Poisoning by Gases and Vapors	1.9 2.6 1.5 0.8	2.0 1.8 1.7 0.9	2.3 1.3 1.3 1.0	2.0 0.7 1.0 0.7	2.0 1.8 1.4 0.9		
Suffocation Accidental Poisoning by Solid and Liquid Substances Suicide All Other Claims	0.5 0.2 0.4 4.4	0.3 0.9 0.4 6.6	1.6 1.5 0.6 5.5	0.9 0.2 0.5 5.4	0.8 0.6 0.5 5.4		
All	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
	Amo	int of Cla	ims Paid	(in \$1,000	Units)		
Motor Vehicle Accidents. Accidental Falls. Accidental Drowning and Submersion (ex- cluding Water Transport Accidents) Aircraft Accidents.	\$11,008 645 974 752	\$ 6,621 601 526 645	\$ 5,185 1,001 604 595	\$ 5,282 2,197 458 366	\$28,096 4,444 2,562 2,358		
Accident Caused by Firearm Accident Caused by Fire, Explosion, etc Homicide Water Transport Accidents	438 458 431 583	563 484 484 426	504 380 371 310	230 353 262 211	1,735 1,675 1,548 1,530		
Accident Caused by Machinery Accident Caused by Electric Current Blow from Falling Object Accidental Poisoning by Gases and Vapors	339 460 265 138	241 219 212 114	238 140 135 110	214 74 106 79	1,032 893 718 441		
Suffocation Accidental Poisoning by Solid and Liquid Substances. Suicide	82 35 66 767	37 104 53 806	170 161 65 585	93 17 53 573	382 317 237 2,731		
All	\$17,441	\$12,136	\$10,554	<b>\$</b> 10,568	\$50,699		

An analysis of the distribution of claims by number of policies was also made but has not been included in the report because the percentages are in general very similar to those by amount. One cause that showed a consistent excess by amounts over numbers was aircraft accidents. The average size of aircraft accident claim was \$4,525 as against an over-all average of \$3,101. There was a substantial excess of average size for this cause in each age group. This was not true of any other cause of death.

Comparison with Table C in TASA XXXV, page 387, reveals that the distribution by cause has changed substantially since the 1918-1933 period which was covered by that earlier table. In the earlier study motor vehicle accidents accounted for about  $38^{C'}_{LO}$  of the claims compared with the present 55% of the claims. Drowning and falls were the second and third most important causes in the earlier study; this was true in the present study but in reverse order. The proportion is slightly higher for accidental falls (8.8% versus 7.9%). Drowning deaths have a lower proportion (5.1%) versus 9.5\%), but the decrease may be partly accounted for by a change in the way in which water transport accidents were handled beginning with the 1929 revision of the causes of death. They were placed in a separate subdivision then, but prior to 1929 these accidents were included with drowning. Aircraft accidents, the fourth most important cause of death, were not separately reported in the previous study and now account for almost 5% of the claims. There is a general decrease in the other causes in terms of the percentage of the whole. Some of this decrease is due to the greater importance of motor vehicle accidents in the present era.

Claims paid on cases reported as suicide amounted to .5% of the total, which is a substantial decrease from the 1.6% ratio in the earlier study. This is not surprising, as the last study included several depression years in the observation period and those years were marked by high suicide rates. Suicides are excluded by the policy terms from accidental death coverage, but companies are not always successful in establishing proof of suicide, and in some states the statutory provisions do not permit exclusions of suicides under certain conditions.

## Analysis by Policy Duration

Table 6 shows, for policy years 1, 2 and 3 separately and for policy years 4 and later combined, mortality ratios by amounts of insurance for the issues of 1935-40 and 1946-55 in relation to the graduated claim rates of Table 3. These ratios are shown for several attained age groups.

The results displayed in Table 6 run counter to the report in TASA XXXV, which found no selection either for or against the companies in

the claim rates analyzed by policy duration. In the present instance policy years 1 and 3 have ratios above 100% in each age group under 55, with the age group 45-54 especially high. On the other hand, policy year 2 is below 100% in all age groups.

The explanation for the higher ratio in the first policy year may well lie in antiselection, possibly including the simulation of accidental death conditions by persons who actually committed suicide. In this connection,

#### TABLE 6

#### ANALYSIS BY POLICY DURATION EXPERIENCE OF ALL COMPANIES,† YEARS OF ISSUE 1935-40 AND 1946-55 Mortality Ratios Based on Amount of Insurance Relative to Graduated 1951-56 Rates in Table 3

<b>b</b> 17	Attained Age								
POLICY YEAR	To 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 and Over	All				
- Hughtong taking -	Mortality Ratios								
1 2 3 4 and over All	107% 95 103 99 100%	103% 93 101 98 98%	125% 93 119 101 103%	* 97 95 93%	107% 94 105 99 100%				
	Number of Claims (Policies)								
1 2 3 4 and over	800 838 748 3,476	170 217 201 2,764	84 108 105 1,992	2 11 21 859	1,056 1,174 1,075 9,091				
All	5,862	3,352	2,289	893	12,396				
	Amount of Claims Paid								
1 2 3 4 and over All	\$ 2,963,575 3,151,005 2,672,599 8,630,569 \$17,417,748	\$ 1,065,195 1,142,003 1,113,011 8,232,959 \$11,553,168	\$ 543,866 508,683 622,192 6,425,170 \$8,099,911	\$ 4,698 35,359 64,834 2,371,351 \$2,476,242	\$ 4,577,334 4,837,050 4,472,636 25,660,049 \$39,547,069				

† As indicated in a footnote to Table 1, the Prudential's data for policy year one were not included in the study.

\* Mortality ratios not shown since number of claims is less than 10.

it is interesting to note that analysis of the causes of death shows that motor vehicle deaths incurred in the first policy year were high in each age group by comparison with the deaths expected on the basis of the aggregate experience. By amounts, the ratio was 116% for ages under 35, 113% for ages 35-44 and 118% for ages 45-54. There were only 2 deaths at ages 55 and over. No other single cause with a significant number of deaths stood out. (The "expected" deaths by cause were derived by applying the percentage distributions of Table 5 for each of the four age groups to the total expected claims at each duration for the corresponding age group.)

The high ratio in policy year 3 is puzzling. There is the possibility that some policyholders are postponing suicides which are made to look like accidents until the suicide limitation period of the policies have run out in order not to jeopardize the payment of the basic face amounts, but this is not apparent from an analysis of the causes of death. Automobile accidents did not stand out in the same degree for this policy year, and no pattern of "suicide antiselection" was disclosed by the other causes of death. Moreover, further analysis of the experience by numbers of policies did not produce the same excess of mortality ratio for policy year 3 as by amount of insurance.

#### Policies versus Amounts

Data by policies as well as by amount of insurance were contributed by 15 companies (14 for the first policy year). Comparison of policy versus amount mortality for these companies is made in Table 7, using broad attained age groups.

It would appear from this table that in the first policy year the experience by amount is very similar to that by number of policies. For the later policy years there is a decided excess in the mortality ratios by amount over the ratios by policies for all ages combined. When the age groups are examined separately, it is seen that the excess decreases with advancing age, and that the ratios by policies become higher than the ratios by amount for ages 55 and over.

Table 7 suggests antiselection by amount of insurance. This is confirmed by the claim experience by size group and sex which is presented in Table 11 and is discussed on pages 60–61 and 65–66. The mortality ratios are there found to increase with the size of policy.

In interpreting Table 7 it is well to keep in mind that although the expected deaths are based upon the graduated claim rates derived from the experience of all 17 contributing companies, the actual deaths and the exposed to risk to which the graduated rates were applied pertain only to the companies which had policy data available. As an interesting sidelight it may be noted that the mortality ratio by amount for the first policy year is 112% for these companies, a figure well above the 107% which emerged for all companies.

## Analysis by Sex

The material of the ten companies which were able to break their material down by sex of the insured is analyzed in Table 8 for broad age

#### TABLE 7

ANALYSIS BY NUMBER OF POLICIES AND BY AMOUNT OF INSURANCE EXPERIENCE OF 15 COMPANIES, ALL YEARS OF ISSUE COMBINED Mortality Ratios Expressed Relative to Graduated 1951-56 Rates in Table 3

Attained	Polic	y Year 1	POLICY YEAR	2 AND HIGHER	ALL POLICY YEARS			
Age	Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Number	Amount		
	Mortality Ratios							
To 34 35–44 45–54 55 and over.	114% 114 109 *	115% 110 114 *	90% 88 95 103	103% 99 99 99 99	92% 89 95 103	105% 99 100 99		
All	113%	112%	94%	100%	95%	101%		
	Claims (Amounts in \$1,000 Units)							
To 34 35–44 45–54 55 and over. All	468 120 47 2 637	\$1,998 797 347 5 \$3,147	3,430 2,610 2,540 3,180 11,760	\$11,072 8,598 8,159 9,729 \$37,558	3,898 2,730 2,587 3,182 12,397	\$13,070 9,395 8,506 9,734 \$40,705		

\* Ratios not shown where number of claims is less than 10.

groups. Since all of these companies provided policy data along with amount data, mortality ratios by both policies and amount are shown in this table. The expected deaths were based upon the graduated 1951-56 claim rates of Table 3.

The over-all mortality ratio for females is 36% of the corresponding ratio for males by policies and 41% by amount. These percentages vary considerably by attained age, however, increasing from under 30% at the young ages to 60% or over at the upper ages. The over-all claim ratio on male lives is 107% of the expected deaths based on the mixed male-female experience.

Analysis of the causes of death for each sex reveals for males a fairly general excess of claims for each cause over the "expected" calculated by applying the Table 5 percentages to the expected claims underlying Table 8. Aircraft accidents and accidental falls were on the high side (116% and

#### TABLE 8

#### ANALYSIS BY SEX

## EXPERIENCE OF 10 COMPANIES, ALL YEARS OF ISSUE COMBINED Mortality Ratios Expressed Relative to Graduated 1951-56 Rates in Table 3

	Males		Fema	FEMALES		RATIO OF FEMALE TO MALE		
Aftained Ages	By Number of Policies	By Amount	By Number of Policies	By Amount	By Num- ber of Policies	By Amount		
	MORTALITY RATIOS Policy Year 1							
To 34	138% 104 118 *	125% 104 134 *	40% * *	33% *	29%	26%		
Aii	128%	119%	44%	51%	34%	43%		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	P	olicy Year 2 and	d Higher				
To 34	115% 100 105 99	115% 105 104 93	27% 39 53 66	32% 45 68 55	23% 39 50 67	28% 43 65 59		
All	106%	106%	38%	44%	36%	42%		
	All Policy Years							
To 34 35-44 45-54 55 and over	117% 100 105 98	116% 105 105 92	28% 39 54 66	32% 48 70 55	24% 39 51 67	28% 46 67 60		
All	107%	107%	38%	44%	36%	41%		

\* Mortality ratios not shown since number of claims is less than 10.

ATTAINED	М	ALES	FEMALES				
Aces	Number	Amount	Number	Amount			
	CLAIMS (Amounts in \$1,000 Units) Policy Year 1						
Γο 34 35-44 15-54 55 and over	315 73 33 0	\$ 1,535 566 297 0	34 7 4 1	\$ 54 36 24 1			
All	421	\$2,398	46	<b>\$</b> 115			
		Policy Year 2	and Higher	<u>.</u>			
Го 34 35-44 15-54 55 and over	2,731 1,840 1,465 1,041	\$ 9,428 6,800 5,372 3,347	282 207 176 136	\$ 457 326 343 172			
All	7,077	\$24,947	801	\$1,298			

TABLE 8-Continued

111%, respectively) relative to the over-all ratio of 107% for male lives. Among women, the ratios to the "expected" were generally low, in keeping with the over-all ratio of 44%, except for accidents caused by fire, explosion, etc., and homicides, which with 66 and 55 claims, respectively, had ratios of 96% and 85%. These are not far from the male experience. Causes of death which were particularly low for women were drowning and aircraft accidents, but the number of claims was quite limited.

Table 9 has been prepared to show the relationship of the volume of data on female lives to the total volume on male and female lives combined. The percentages in this table are based on the exposed to risk data underlying Table 8. The proportion on females decreases steadily with advancing age, and is greater by number of policies than by amount of insurance because of the lower average size policy written on women. For all ages together the female proportion is 24% by number of policies and 11% by amount of insurance.

The ten companies which contributed material to this section of the study displayed a considerable variation in the proportion of their exposed to risk which was on female lives. For all ages combined, four of the companies had female proportions ranging from 22% to 27% by number of policies and 8% to 14% by amount of insurance. Five companies had proportions of 13% to 19% by number of policies and 6% to 9% by amount of insurance. In the case of one company (with a relatively small amount of data) female lives accounted for 8% of the total by number of policies and 4% by amount of insurance. Different age distributions in new business sales probably account for some of the variations among companies in these figures.

To provide a standard of comparison for those companies which desire to analyze their experience on male lives separately from that on female

Attained Ages	By Number of Policies	By Amount of Insurance
Under 15	35%	25%
5-24	35	19
5-34	26	11
5-44	22	10
5-54.	19	9
5-64	18	9
5-74	14	7
5 and over	11	6
All Ages	24%	11%

TABLE 9						
PROPORTIONS OF TOTAL EXPOSED TO RIS	K ENTERING					

lives, a table of graduated claim rates on male lives only has been prepared and is presented in Table 10. The graduation process is described in Appendix A. It should be noted that this table was derived by applying to the aggregate experience of the 17 companies on which Table 3 is based graduated ratios of the claim rates on males to the claim rates on males and females combined of only 10 companies. The claim rates in Table 10 tend to approach those of Table 3 with advancing age, because of decreasing proportions of female lives, and agree with those of Table 3 for ages 84 and over.

#### Analysis by Size Group and Sex

As a further refinement of the investigation, the experience of eight companies that were in a position to furnish data subdivided by size group and sex is presented in Table 11. Because of the wide disparity in the ratios for the two sexes and the substantial differences in the size of policy applied for by men and women, it was considered necessary to make the "two-way" analysis and to eliminate some material which included a subdivision by size of policy but not by sex.

The ratios in Table 11 display a general upward trend as the size of

#### TABLE 10

## GRADUATED 1951-56 MALE ACCIDENTAL DEATH CLAIM RATES BASED ON THE AGGREGATE MALE EXPERIENCE OF 10 COMPANIES, BY AMOUNT OF CLAIMS PAID

EXTENDED TO INCLUDE RATES AT AGES 1 THROUGH 100

Age	Claim Rate per 1,000	Age	Claim Rate per 1,000	Age	Claim Rate per 1,000
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ \end{array} $	.466	35	. 303	68	.742
	.382	36	. 304	69	.783
	.329	37	. 306	70	.830
	.293	38	. 307	71	.889
	.267	39	. 309	72	.963
6	. 248	40	.310	73	1.058
7	. 236	41	.313	74	1.178
8	. 235	42	.318	75	1.327
9	. 233	43	.326	76	1.506
10	. 241	44	.334	77	1.715
11         12         13         14         15	.252	45	.342	78	1.955
	.274	46	.350	79	2.227
	.310	47	.357	80	2.531
	.358	48	.361	81	2.861
	.434	49	.365	82	3.212
16	. 579 . 656 . 680 . 685 . 675	50.         51.         52.         53.         54.	. 368 . 372 . 377 . 385 . 396	83 84 85 86 87	3.588 3.988 4.419 4.878 5.367
21	. 649	55	. 409	88	5.886
22	. 605	56	. 424	89	6.437
23	. 546	57	. 441	90	7.022
24	. 482	58	. 458	91	7.642
25	. 428	59	. 476	92	8.298
26	.387	60         61         62         63         64	. 495	93	8.991
27	.359		. 517	94	9.723
28	.339		. 541	95	10.495
29	.326		. 569	96	11.308
30	.317		. 600	97	12.164
31 32 33 34	.311 .306 .304 .304	65 66 67	. 634 . 668 . 704	98 99 100	$\begin{array}{c} 13.064 \\ 14.009 \\ 15.000 \end{array}$

#### TABLE 11

## ANALYSIS BY POLICY SIZE GROUP AND SEX EXPERIENCE OF 8 COMPANIES, ALL YEARS OF ISSUE COMBINED RATIOS EXPRESSED RELATIVE TO GRADUATED 1951-56 RATES IN TABLE 3

			Nu	NUMBER OF CLAIMS (Policies)		Mortali	Mortality Ratio by Number of Policies		MORTALITY RATIO BY AMOUNT		
	ATTAINED AGES	POLICY SIZE	Policy Year			Policy Year			Policy Year		
			1	2 and Higher	Ali	<u>.</u>	2 and Higher	All	1	2 and Higher	All
62						MALES			·	<u> </u>	
	То 34	Less than \$5,000 \$5,000 to \$19,999 \$20,000 and over	135 167 4	857 406 16	992 573 20	134% 143 *	132% 128 179	133% 132 144	134% 129 *	124% 128 185	126% 128 147
		All	306	1,279	1,585	137%	131%	133%	125%	130%	129%
	35-44	Less than \$5,000 \$5,000 to \$19,999 \$20,000 and over	21 45 5	533 326 31	554 371 36	91% 111 *	100% 118 185	100% 117 166	81% 112 *	95% 117 170	95% 117 149
		All	71	890	961	103%	108%	107%	101%	118%	116%
	45-54	Less than \$5,000 \$5,000 to \$19,999 \$20,000 and over	9 17 5	404 249 16	413 266 21	* 113%	110% 121 114	109% 120 128	* 122% *	104% 108 92	104% 109 102
		All	31	669	700	113%	114%	114%	130%	105%	107%

		Number of Claims (Policies)			MORTALITY RATIO BY NUMBER OF POLICIES			Mortality Ratio by Amount		
ATTAINED AGES	POLICY SIZE		Policy Year			Policy Year			Policy Year	
		1	2 and Highe <del>r</del>	All	1	2 and Higher	All	1	2 and Higher	All
	Males-Continued									
55 and over	Less than \$5,000 \$5,000 to \$19,999 \$20,000 and over	0 0 0	188 92 5	188 92 5	*	111% 111 *	110% 109 *	* * *	106% 93 *	105% 91 *
	All	0	285	285	*	111%	110%	*	97%	95%
All	Less than \$5,000 \$5,000 to \$19,999 \$20,000 and over	165 229 14	1,982 1,073 68	2,147 1,302 82	122% 132 112	115% 121 153	116% 123 144	122% 123 94	109% 116 140	110% 118 130
	All	408	3,123	3,531	127%	118%	119%	117%	117%	117%
	Females									
То 34	Less than \$5,000 \$5,000 to \$19,999 \$20,000 and over	31 2 0	118 16 0	149 18 0	40% *	30% 101 *	32% 80 *	38% *	31% 91 *	32% 71 *
	All	33	134	167	39%	33%	34%	33%	40%	38%

TABLE 11-Continued

\* Mortality ratios not shown where number of claims is less than 10.

63

		NUMBER OF CLAIMS (Policies)		Mortal	ity Ratio by of Policies	NUMBER	MORTALITY RATIO BY AMOUNT			
Attained Ages	POLICY SIZE		Policy Year			Policy Year			Policy Year	
		1	2 and Higher	All	1	2 and Higher	All	1	2 and Higher	All
<u> </u>		1		Fema	LES-Contin	ued	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		
35-41	Less than \$5,000 \$5,000 to \$19,999 \$20,000 and over	4 2 1	92 12 0	96 14 1	* *	43% 115 *	43% 112 *	* * *	46% 128 *	45% 119 *
	All	7	104	111	*	46%	47%	*	59%	63%
45-54	Less than \$5,000 \$5,000 to \$19,999 \$20,000 and over	2 2 0	68 12 1	70 14 1	* * *	53% 124 *	53% 130 *	* * *	56% 137 *	57% 149 *
	All	4	81	85	*	58%	59%	*	81%	84%
55 <b>an</b> d over	Less than \$5,000 \$5,000 to \$19,999 \$20,000 and over	1 0 0	50 3 0	51 3 0	*	76% *	77% *	* * *	65% *	65% *
	All	1	53	54	*	75%	76%	*	61%	60%
All	Less than \$5,000 \$5,000 to \$19,999 \$20,000 and over	38 6 1	328 43 1	366 49 2	42% *	41% 105 *	41% 97 *	39% *	41% 109 *	41% 100 *
	All	45	372	417	44%	44%	44%	52%	54%	54%
		!	<u></u>	<u> </u> ]	BOTH SEXES	<u>!</u>	l	1	1	1
All	All	453	3,495	3,948	107%	100%	101%	111%	110%	110%

TABLE 11-Continued

policy increases. Thus for male lives the ratio by amount of claims for all ages combined is 110% for the under \$5,000 group, 118% for the \$5,000 to \$19,999 group and 130% for the \$20,000 and over group. The ratios by number of policies are higher in each instance, progressing from 116% to 123% to 144% for the three size groups. Payments of less than the face amount under compromised claims are a possible explanation for this relationship between amount and number ratios.

The data for women are much more limited and therefore must be interpreted with caution. The increase from 41% in the under \$5,000 group to 100% in the \$5,000 to \$19,999 group is nevertheless noteworthy

TABLE 12	
ACCIDENTAL DEATH CLAIM	RATES

UNDE	R COMME ETWEEN Annivers	RCIAL ACCIDEN 1948 and 1952 H FARIES, MALES C	T POLICI POLICY )NLY*	ES
	Princi	PAL SUM UNDER \$10,000	PRINCIP	al Sum \$10,000 nd Over
ATTAINED AGE	Claims	Annual Claim Rate by Number (per 1,000)	Claims	Annual Claim Rate by Number (per 1,000)
0–39. 40–49. 50–59.	49 87 111	.36 .41 .45	10 25 30	.51 .60 .48
60 and over	356	.68	38 103	.87

\* Source: Exhibit 3 of report published in 1955 by the Bureau of Accident and Health Underwriters entitled "Combined Personal Accident Experience, Commercial Policies, Policy Years 1948, 1949, 1950, and 1951 Combined."

even though there are only 49 deaths in the latter group. A ratio of 100% is high for female lives.

The upward trend in the claim ratios as the size of policy increases is corroborated by the experience under Personal Accident insurance. Data on male lives published by the Bureau of Accident and Health Underwriters covering the period between 1948 and 1952 policy anniversaries are presented in Table 12. Comparison of the death rates for principal sums under \$10,000 and principal sums of \$10,000 and over reveals excesses for the larger policies even greater than those observed in the present double indemnity investigation.

The deaths entering into Table 11 were analyzed by cause in relation to

the deaths by cause that might have been expected on the basis of the ratios of Table 5 applied to the expected claims of each of the four age groups separately. Table 13 gives for male lives the ratios of actual claims to such expected claims for separate causes of death and two policy size groups. This table has been confined to male lives because the paucity of deaths among women in the higher amount groups made subdivisions by cause difficult to interpret. The \$5,000 to \$19,999 category has been com-

#### TABLE 13

#### ANALYSIS BY POLICY SIZE GROUP AND CAUSE OF DEATH MALE EXPERIENCE OF 8 COMPANIES, ALL YEARS OF ISSUE AND ALL AGES COMBINED Ratios Expressed Relative to Graduated 1951-56 Rates in Table 3 Expected Claims Distributed in Proportion to Data in Table 5

	NUMBER OF CLAIM (POLICIES)		Mortality Ratios			
CAUSE OF DEATH	Less	\$5,000	Less than \$5,000		\$5,000 an	id Over
	than \$5,000	and Over	By Number of Policies	By Amount	By Number of Policies	By Amount
Motor Vehicle Accidents	1,238	837	118%	114%	133%	129%
Accidental Falls.	125	60	99	85	81	60
Aircraft Accidents Accidents Caused by Fire-	87	79	98	94	147	164
arms	96	64	145	131	159	130
Accidental Drowning and	00	(0)		0.7	121	104
Submersion	89	09	94	93	121	104
Water Transport Accidents. Accidents Caused by Fire,	89	- 37	152	149	(105)	(118)
Explosion, etc.	71	33	116	110	(90)	(90)
Homicides	72	28	123	100	(79)	(84)
All Other Claims	280	177	112	109	117	115
All	2,147	1,384	116%	110%	124%	120%

NOTE.-Ratios are shown in parentheses where based on 10 to 49 claims.

bined with the \$20,000 and over group for this analysis. All ages were combined because there were few causes with as many as 50 deaths when the analysis was made in the broad age groups of Table 11.

Table 13 reveals that for the \$5,000 and over group aircraft accidents produced a very high ratio, and that accidents caused by firearms and by motor vehicles also contributed to the high mortality in a significant way. Accidents caused by firearms also produced a high ratio in the under \$5,000 group, as did water transport accidents.

#### Company Variations

Early in the investigation, it was evident from an examination of crude over-all ratios in relation to the 1926–1933 Table that there was considerable variation among the individual contributors in the level of claim rates experienced. Correspondence was conducted with companies which appeared to be out of line without a plausible explanation, such as

#### TABLE 14

## ANALVSIS BY COMPANY ALL YEARS OF ISSUE AND POLICY DURATIONS COMBINED RATIOS EXPRESSED RELATIVE TO GRADUATED 1951–56 RATES IN TABLE 3

	Number of	MORTALITY RATIO			
Company	Claims (Policies)	By Number of Policies	By Amount		
A B D E F G H J K J K J K D G H J K J K J K All, excluding E and F	50 453 176 4,265 3,727 224 1,501 201 1,249 80 3,388 93 132 205 309 208 87 16,348 12,397	$\begin{array}{c} 75\pm7\%\\ 75\pm2\\ 88\pm4\\ 82\pm1\\ *\\ 99\pm2\\ 113\pm5\\ 104\pm2\\ 104\pm8\\ 107\pm1\\ 114\pm8\\ 124\pm7\\ 108\pm5\\ 115\pm4\\ 112\pm5\\ 131\pm9\\ \hline\\ 95\pm1\%\\ \end{array}$	50%           84           85           91           94           99           101           102           107           108           113           117           118           121           128           130           169           100%           101%		

\* Exposure by policies not submitted.

small volume of data or the territory in which they operated. Some errors in the contributions were found in this way, but in other instances the original contributions were substantiated after further checks were made.

Table 14 lists the companies in ascending order of ratios of actual deaths by amount to the expected based on the graduated 1951-56 claim rates of Table 3. For the companies that contributed policy data, ratios based on policies are also given, along with the probable deviation of such

ratios, which was computed by the formula that has been used for the medical impairment investigations, namely,  $\pm \frac{2}{3}MR/\sqrt{\theta'}$ , where MR is the mortality ratio and  $\theta'$  is the number of policies terminated by death. Assuming a normal distribution, the chances are theoretically even that an observed mortality ratio will fall within the range covered by the plus and minus probable deviation from the true value.

The amount ratios, ranging from 50% to 169%, have a greater spread than the policy ratios, which are not as subject to statistical fluctuations. The policy ratios are seen to range from 75% to 131%. It may be significant that the two companies with the highest policy ratios do much of their business in farm states. Population data indicate that the accidental death rate is higher in rural areas than in urban.

The two companies with the lowest policy ratios were asked whether they could think of an explanation for their more favorable experience. Company A suggested as a possibility that it wrote a larger proportion of its business on white collar workers than did other companies. Company B advanced several possibilities: conservative claim practices, stricter underwriting standards some years ago, perhaps a smaller proportion of higher amount policies and a larger proportion of policies on the lives of women.

Differing distributions of business by size of policy and sex are probably an important reason for the variation in the level of mortality ratios from company to company. The general class of business written must also be a factor. The cause of death experience of several of the large companies was examined, and while no clear pattern of differences from company to company was apparent, it was seen that three large companies which write both ordinary and industrial insurance had a better than average experience with respect to motor vehicle accidents, while the three largest companies which did not write industrial insurance had moderately high ratios for this cause of death. When it came to aircraft accidents the distinction was more marked. The "Combination" companies were low in their ratios for this cause of death while the "Ordinary" companies were definitely high.

Whatever the reasons for the company variations, it is evident that the graduated 1951-56 claim rates of Table 3 are not adequate to cover the actual deaths of most of the companies which contributed to the present investigation. Eleven of the 17 contributors had amount ratios of over 100%, four of them being over 120% and three between 110% and 120%. Some of the variance is due to limited volume of data, as may be inferred from the fact that the more extreme departures from 100% are generally associated with larger probable deviations.

#### COMPARISON WITH POPULATION DATA

Table 15 has been prepared because a comparison of insurance company experience with population data was considered to be of interest. Only the data that ten companies were able to submit with the exposed to risk subdivided by sex was used, since it was evident from a preliminary analysis that the relationship was quite different for female lives than for

#### TABLE 15

## COMPARISON OF INSURANCE COMPANY ACCIDENTAL DEATH EXPERIENCE WITH POPULATION EXPERIENCE

#### INSURANCE COMPANY RATES BASED ON AGGREGATE DATA BY POLICIES OF 10 COMPANIES

		MALES		Females			
Attained Ages	Rates p	ver 1,000	Ratio,	Rates p	Rates per 1,000		
	Insurance Data 1951–56	U.S. White Population 1952-55*	Insurance to Population Data	Insurance Data 1951–56	U.S. White Population 1952-55*	Insurance to Population Data	
15–19 20–24 25–29 30–34 35–39	.737 .664 .359 .305 .296	.882 1.213 .869 .738 .719	84% 55 41 41 41 41	.149 .124 .098 .088 .101	.228 .194 .158 .151 .173	65% 64 62 58 58	
<b>40–44</b> . 45–49. 50–54. 5 <b>5–</b> 59 60–64	-298 -362 -383 -408 -455	.745 .797 .873 .933 1.110	40 45 44 44 41	. 134 . 182 . 202 . 252 . 369	.188 .211 .252 .289 .372	71 86 80 87 99	
65–69 70–74 75–79 80–84	.735 1.015 1.751 4.297	1.338 1.660 2.583 4.022	55 61 68 107	.354 .898	.556 .950	64 95	

\* These rates reflect all accidental deaths coded E800 to E965 and E980 to E985, Sixth Revision, International List. Suicides are not included. The rates are based on numbers of deaths as given in Vital Statistics —Special Reports, National Summaries, published by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare and estimates of the population from Current Population Reports, Population Estimates, Series P-25, No. 146, published by U.S. Department of Commerce.

male lives. Claim rates by number of policies were derived from the data for males and females separately and were compared with the corresponding death rates during 1952 to 1955 of white lives in the United States population.

For male lives the insurance claim rates are less than 50% of the population accidental death rates over the important age range from 25 to 64. The explanation no doubt lies in a difference in the classes of lives included in the respective sets of data. The population figures must, for ex-

ample, include proportionately more individuals subject to industrial accident hazards than do the standard Ordinary insurance data.

In the case of women, the insurance claim rates are much closer to the population experience. This should perhaps not be surprising as industrial hazards do not play the same role here. Even though different socioeconomic classes of lives may be included in the respective analyses, the variation among such classes in the accidental death rates for women cannot be as great as for men.

It may also be of interest to note that the ratios shown for males in Table 15 at ages 20–49 are very similar to the ratios at these ages appearing for male and female lives combined in Table H of the TASA XXXV report. This would seem to indicate that the insurance companies have experienced the same degree of improvement in their accidental death claim rates as has taken place in the population accidental death rates.

#### EXCLUSIONS FROM COVERAGE

In order to acquire some knowledge of the nature of the exclusions from coverage being administered by the companies contributing to the study, they were asked to furnish information about the types of exclusions appearing in their clauses in the years 1935, 1940, 1946 and 1955 which were actually being enforced in their claims administration during the observation period of this study. Table 16 summarizes the replies received from the companies, the exclusions being listed generally according to the frequency with which they appear in the clauses used in 1955 by 16 of the contributing companies.

All of the companies presently provide coverage on fare-paying aircraft passengers, whereas four of the contributing companies had not provided this coverage on their 1935 and 1940 issues. Causes of accidental death that have been excluded from coverage to an increasing extent over the past twenty years include those due to medical treatment or surgery and to drugs. On 1935 issues only one company excluded death due to medical treatment or surgery and none excluded drugs; on 1955 issues the corresponding numbers with such exclusions were 7 and 6 respectively. The number of companies excluding deaths due to submarine activities has declined from 9 for 1935 issues to 6 for 1955 issues. For all other causes included in the illustrative list in Table 16, there has been no significant change in the degree of usage by the companies over the twenty year period.

A supplementary questionnaire was sent to the companies to elicit more detailed information about their treatment of accidental deaths among persons in military service. It was found that all of the contributing companies excluded service-connected deaths when due to enemy action. As to service-connected deaths not directly due to enemy action, 12 of the 17 companies excluded coverage when the death was in the Korean area and 9 did so when it was not in the Korean area. Accidental deaths which were not service-connected were excluded by only one company in the Korean area and by none outside of Korea.

Another area that required supplementation had to do with the exclusion of coverage with respect to nonfare-paying passenger aviation deaths.

#### TABLE 16 SUMMARY OF EXCLUSIONS FROM DOUBLE INDEMNITY COVERAGE APPLICABLE DURING THE 1951–1956 OBSERVATION PERIOD FOR 16 OF THE CONTRIBUTING COMPANIES\*

Issue Year of Policy           Issue Year of Policy           1935         1940         1946         1955           Self-destruction         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         10         1935         1935         1940         1946         1955         15         15         15         15         15         14         14         14         14         14         14         14         14         14         14         14         14         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16         16 <th <="" colspan="2" th="" th<=""><th></th><th colspan="6">NUMBER OF COMPANIES APPLYING SPECIFIC Exclusion during Period of Study</th></th>	<th></th> <th colspan="6">NUMBER OF COMPANIES APPLYING SPECIFIC Exclusion during Period of Study</th>			NUMBER OF COMPANIES APPLYING SPECIFIC Exclusion during Period of Study					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Exclusion		Issue Yea	r of Policy					
Self-destruction       16       16       16       16         Illness or disease       15       15       15       15       15         Committing assault or felony       14       15       15       15       14         Inhaling gas or fumes       13       14       14       13         Air travel, except as fare-paying       12       12       14       16         Mir travel, even as fare-paying       12       12       14       16         Air travel, even as fare-paying       12       12       14       16         Not.       8       10       10       10       10         Riot.       8       10       10       8       6         Medical treatment or surgery       1       5       6       7         Drugs       0       2       5       6       6         Sedatives and narcotics       0       0       1       1       0         Iomicide       1       1       0       0       0       0		1935	1940	1946	1955				
Illness or disease       15       15       15       15       15         Committing assault or felony       14       15       15       14         Inhaling gas or fumes       13       14       14       13         Air travel, except as fare-paying passenger       12       12       14       16         Air travel, even as fare-paying passenger       4       4       2       0         Insurrection       8       10       10       10         Riot       8       10       10       8         Submarine activities       9       8       8       6         Medical treatment or surgery       1       5       6       7         Orgs       0       2       5       6         Sedatives and narcotics       0       0       1       1         Airistion       1       1       0       0         Airistion       0       0       0       0       0	Self-destruction	16	16	16	16				
Committing assault or felony       14       15       15       14         Inhaling gas or fumes       13       14       14       13         Air travel, except as fare-paying passenger       12       12       14       16         Air travel, even as fare-paying passenger       4       4       2       0         Insurrection       8       10       10       10         Riot       9       8       8       6         Medical treatment or surgery       1       5       6       7         Drugs       0       2       5       6         Sedatives and narcotics       0       0       1       1         Joincide       1       1       0       0         Apprixition       0       0       0       0       0	llness or disease	15	15	15	15				
Inhaling gas or fumes       13       14       14       13         Air travel, except as fare-paying passenger       12       12       14       16         Iir travel, even as fare-paying passenger       12       12       14       16         passenger       8       10       10       10       10         Riot       8       10       10       8       10       10         Riot       9       8       8       6       6       7         Drugs       0       0       1       1       0         Odice duty	Committing assault or felony	14	15	15	14				
Air travel, except as fare-paying passenger.       12       12       14       16         Air travel, even as fare-paying passenger.       4       4       2       0         nsurrection.       8       10       10       10         Riot.       8       10       10       8         Submarine activities.       9       8       8       6         Medical treatment or surgery.       1       5       6       7         Orugs.       0       2       5       6         Sedatives and narcotics.       0       0       1       1         olice duty.       2       2       1       0         Air travel, even as fare-paying passenger.       1       1       0       0         Riot.       8       10       10       10       10         Riot.       9       8       8       6       7         Orugs.       0       0       1       1       1         olice duty.       2       1       0       0       0       0         Homicide.       1       1       0       0       0       0       0	nhaling gas or fumes	13	14	14	13				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Air travel, except as fare-paying								
Air travel, even as fare-paying passenger.       4       4       2       0         nsurrection.       8       10       10       10         Stot.       8       10       10       8         Submarine activities.       9       8       8       6         Medical treatment or surgery.       1       5       6       7         Orugs.       0       2       5       6         Sedatives and narcotics.       0       0       1       1         Jolice duty.       2       2       1       0         Airdinele.       1       1       0       0         Airdinele.       0       0       0       0	passenger	12	12	14	16				
passenger       4       4       2       0         nsurrection       8       10       10       10         Riot       8       10       10       8         Submarine activities       9       8       8       6         Medical treatment or surgery       1       5       6       7         Drugs       0       2       5       6         Sedatives and narcotics       0       0       1       1         Jolice duty       2       2       1       0         Iomicide       1       1       0       0         Sphyxiation       0       0       0       0	hir travel, even as fare-paying								
nsurrection       8       10       10       10         Riot       8       10       10       8         Submarine activities       9       8       8       6         Medical treatment or surgery       1       5       6       7         Drugs       0       2       5       6         sedatives and narcotics       0       0       1       1         Police duty       2       2       1       0         Homicide       1       1       0       0         Application       0       0       0       0	passenger	4	4	2	0				
Riot.       8       10       10       8         Submarine activities.       9       8       8       6         Aedical treatment or surgery       1       5       6       7         Drugs.       0       2       5       6         edatives and narcotics.       0       0       1       1         Police duty.       2       2       1       0         Homicide.       1       1       0       0         sphyxiation.       0       0       0       0	nsurrection	8	10	10	10				
Submarine activities       9       8       8       6         Medical treatment or surgery       1       5       6       7         Drugs       0       2       5       6         iedatives and narcotics       0       0       1       1         olice duty       2       2       1       0         Homicide       1       1       0       0         sphyxiation       0       0       0       0	Riot	8	10	10	8				
Medical treatment or surgery       1       5       6       7         Orugs       0       2       5       6         iedatives and narcotics       0       0       1       1         olice duty       2       2       1       0         Homicide	ubmarine activities	9	8	8	6				
brugs       0       2       5       6         bredatives and narcotics       0       0       1       1         olice duty       2       2       1       0         Iomicide       1       1       0       0         sphyxiation       0       0       0       0       0	fedical treatment or surgery	1	5	6	7				
iedatives and narcotics       0       0       1       1         olice duty       2       2       1       0         Iomicide       1       1       0       0         sphyxiation       0       0       0       0	Drugs	0	2	5	6				
Olice duty         2         2         1         0           Iomicide         1         1         0	edatives and narcotics	0	0	1	1				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	olice duty	2	2	I	U U				
	iomicide	1		0	0				
	spnyxiation	0	0	0					

\* The company omitted from this table did not submit data for years of issue prior to 1946.

The original questionnaire did not bring this information out sufficiently well. Reference to the 1958 edition of *The Handy Guide* disclosed that of the 17 contributors to the study, seven currently grant coverage to nonfare-paying passengers without restriction, three do so except on military planes, three have a restriction with respect to military personnel on military planes, and two have exceptions that would exclude passengers on private or military planes. Only two exclude nonfare-paying passengers entirely. It is evident that the trend has been toward extending coverage to aircraft passengers beyond the fare-paying group.

#### APPENDIX A

#### DESCRIPTION OF GRADUATION PROCESS

#### 1951-56 Aggregate Accidental Death Claim Rates

The table of graduated 1951-56 accidental death claim rates was produced to facilitate the analysis of the various subdivisions of the study. The 1926-33 Table was not suitable for this purpose because the improvement in accidental death claim rates since the period covered by that table varies so markedly with age.

As indicated in the report, the new table is based on the total contribution by amounts to all sections of the study to the extent that the crude rates were significant. Thus, aggregate data for both sexes and all policy durations were used. The material submitted by five companies on their lifetime coverage benefits issued before 1935 was combined with the data for the later years of issue of all companies, since the crude rates indicated a similar level of experience by attained age for these two issue year groups. These crude data, together with the final graduated rates as extended to include all ages 1 through 100, are set forth in Table 17.

The first phase of the graduation process was the development of graduated values for each attained age 20 through 82 by application of the Whittaker-Henderson third difference A formula with a = 3. The formula was not applied at ages below 20, to avoid flattening out the hump in the accidental death rates that occurs around age 18, nor was it applied at ages over 82, since each of these ages involved less than 25 claims.

A graphic technique was then employed to produce rates for ages 1 to 38, and the Whittaker-Henderson values at ages 20 through 38 were replaced by the graphic values because of the somewhat better fit of the latter rates to the observed experience. For ages 14 through 38 the graphic graduation was developed with reference to the crude values. Since there were fewer than 25 deaths at each age below 14, the graphic graduation for these ages was developed with reference to percentages of population accidental death rates for white lives for the years 1952 through 1955. The percentages were designed to represent the ratio of insurance company to population accidental death rates and were based on examination of the computed ratios for ages 15 and over. The percentages used were 100% for ages 1 to 4, 95% for ages 5 to 9, and 85% for ages 10 to 14. The population accidental death rates used in this operation were determined by combining the rates for male and female lives on a basis which re-

## TABLE 17

## SUMMARY OF TOTAL CONTRIBUTION TO STUDY AS USED IN DEVELOPMENT OF GRADUATED 1951-56 ACCIDENTAL DEATH CLAIM RATES

----

ATTAINED	Number of	Amount of Claims	Claim per	Rates 1,000	ATTAINED	NUMBER OF	AMOUNT OF	Claim per	RATES
AGE	CLAIMS	PAID	Crude	Grad.	AGE	Claims	PAID	Crude	Grad.
1 2 3 4 5	0 0 0 0 1	3 0 0 0 1,000	* * * *	.424 .347 .298 .264 .239	51 52 53 54 55	290 283 308 255 218	\$938,334 881,753 873,835 839,546 703,423	. 353 . 358 . 380 . 390 . 347	. 362 . 367 . 374 . 383 . 395
6 7 8 9 10	5 7 6 8	$\begin{array}{r} 25,050\\ 8,000\\ 10,000\\ 14,000\\ 8,000\end{array}$	* * *	.220 .207 .202 .198 .203	56 57 58 59 60	275 254 231 231 190	742,131 742,938 784,122 765,190 575,604	400 434 501 535 459	. 409 . 425 . 442 . 460 . 480
11 12 13 14 15	13 16 18 41 60	$14,500 \\19,016 \\18,000 \\55,716 \\121,945$	.096 .101 .081 .217 .352	.212 .230 .260 .301 .366	61 62 63 64 65	163 173 179 173 131	448,400 527,741 493,744 505,749 472,060	.396 .515 .532 .613 .737	. 502 . 528 . 557 . 589 . 622
16 17 18 19 20	128 137 247 298 324	279,119 309,130 588,187 728,782 761,823	.586 .486 .614 .594 .525	.490 .556 .578 .583 .575	66 67 68 69 70	104 133 126 81 112	264,466 433,867 378,372 244,572 352,578	.456 .833 .819 .596 .996	. 656 . 692 . 730 . 771 . 819
21 22 23 24 25	355 382 368 336 311	833,914 1,006,804 1,116,202 966,748 926,352	.512 .553 .539 .416 .360	.554 .519 .471 .420 .377	71 72 73 74 75	84 84 64 84 56	235,861 292,821 175,673 222,017 145,424	.782 1.141 .809 1.223 .957	.878 .952 1.046 1.166 1.315
26 27 28 29 30	276 287 276 290 311	$\begin{array}{r} 858,267\\942,233\\913,710\\925,669\\1,104,954\end{array}$	.305 .310 .283 .271 .304	.345 .324 .309 .300 .294	76 77 78 79 80	70 67 51 41 39	248,326 193,224 133,088 71,680 151,265	1.999 1.926 1.706 1.200 3.432	1.494 1.703 1.943 2.216 2.521
31 32 33 34 35	365 333 343 333 313	1,309,318 1,178,781 1,245,890 1,149,656 880,084	.346 .304 .312 .281 .213	. 290 . 287 . 286 . 286 . 286 . 286	81 82 83 84 85	33 33 15 13 11	97,629 61,573 41,357 17,500 18,500	3.127 2.891 2.853 1.851 3.187	2.852 3.206 3.584 3.988 4.419
36 37 38 39 40	395 372 360 376 373	1,379,359 1,269,850 1,372,399 1,081,467 1,338,383	.330 .297 .321 .253 .314	- 287 - 289 - 291 - 293 - 295	86 87 88 89 90	9 0 1 0 0	25,825 0 1,000 0 0	* * *	4.878 5.367 5.886 6.437 7.022
41 42 43 44 45,	393 316 362 404 407	1,409,164945,5641,226,5051,233,2521,257,770	.337 .232 .309 .319 .337	.299 .305 .313 .322 .331	91 92 93 94 95	0 0 0 0		* *	7.642 8.298 8.991 9.723 10.495
46 47 48 49 50	377 363 339 317 335	1,308,756 1,250,835 1,091,762 1,106,662 1,005,482	.366 .366 .338 .365 .354	. 339 . 346 . 351 . 355 . 358	96 97 98 99 100	0 0 0 0		* * *	$11.308 \\ 12.164 \\ 13.064 \\ 14.009 \\ 15.000$

\* Rates not shown where the number of claims is less than 10.

flected the composition of insurance data by sex. Further, one-half of the homicides and all the suicides were excluded from the population rates so that those rates would be more consistent with insurance company data as shown in Table 5.

The graduated values were extended to age 100 by fitting a third degree curve to the graduated rates at ages 80, 81 and 82 and a rate of 15 per thousand at age 100. The latter rate was arrived at by inspection of population accidental death rates for the years 1952 through 1955.

Table 18 contains a comparison of the actual and tabular claims in five

#### TABLE 18

Attained Age Group	Actual Claims	Tabular Claims	Actual minus Tabular Claims	Ratio of Actual to Tabular Claims
1-4. 5-9. 10-14. 15-19. 20-24.	8 0 58,050 115,232 2,027,163 4,685,491	$\begin{array}{r} & 1 \\ & 52,280 \\ & 232,546 \\ & 1,982,423 \\ & 4,633,616 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	111.0% 49.6 102.3 101.1
25–29	4,566,231 5,988,599 5,983,159 6,152,868 6,015,785	4,948,120 5,588,819 6,111,539 6,235,626 5,840,518	$\begin{array}{r} - 381,889 \\ 399,780 \\ - 128,380 \\ - 82,758 \\ 175,267 \end{array}$	92.3 107.2 97.9 98.7 103.0
50-54 55-59 60-64 65-69 70-74	4,538,950 3,737,804 2,551,238 1,793,337 1,278,950	4,567,966 3,636,578 2,713,002 1,792,742 1,238,006	$\begin{array}{rrrr} - & 29,016 \\ & 101,226 \\ - & 161,764 \\ & 595 \\ & 40,944 \end{array}$	99.4 102.8 94.0 100.0 103.3
75–79 80–84 85–89 90–94 95–100	791,742 369,324 45,325 0 0	840,245 358,113 59,521 1,598 89	$\begin{array}{rrrr} - & 48,503 \\ & 11,211 \\ - & 14,196 \\ - & 1,598 \\ - & 89 \end{array}$	94.2 103.1 76.1
All	\$50,699,248	\$50,834,515	-\$135,267	99.7%
To 24. 25-34. 35-44. 45-54. 55-64. 65 and over.	\$ 6,885,936 10,554,830 12,136,027 10,554,735 6,289,042 4,278,678	\$ 6,902,033 10,536,939 12,347,165 10,408,484 6,349,580 4,290,314	$\begin{array}{r rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	99.8% 100.2 98.3 101.4 99.0 99.7
All	\$50,699,248	\$50,834,515	-\$135,267	99.7%

Test of Graduation 1951–56 Aggregate Accidental Death Claim Rates year and in broader age groups. It may be noted that where the data are significant the greatest departure of the graduated values from the crude values occurs in the age groups 25-29 and 30-34, the graduated values going above the crude values in the first group and below in the second. A better fit for each of these age groups would have resulted in a wave in the mortality rates in the age range 25 to 40. There would have been a dip in the 25-29 group, a slight rise in the 30-34 group, another dip in the 35-39 group, followed by continuous increases to the end of the table. Since this wave pattern does not appear in population data nor in the contributions of several of the contributing companies, it was decided to depart from the crude data to the extent indicated.

#### 1951-56 Male Accidental Death Claim Rates

For companies desiring to analyze their experience on male lives separately, a table representing male accidental death rates consistent with the 1951-56 aggregate accidental death claim rates in Table 3 was prepared. A female table can be approximated by applying to the male table the ratios shown in Table 8, or appropriate modifications thereof.

Ratios of the crude male claim rates by amount of insurance to combined claim rates for both sexes were computed based on the data of the ten companies submitting a breakdown by sex. These ratios for ages 15 through 65 were graduated by the Whittaker-Henderson third difference A formula with a = 3. The resulting ratios graded down from 118.6% at age 15 to 102.7% at ages 50 and 51, increased to 103.7% for ages 56-58, then graded down to 101.9% for ages 64 and 65.

These graduated ratios were extended graphically to a value of 110.0% for age 1, such ratio being established by reference to population data, taking account of insurance data male proportions and the relative male-female population accident rates. For age 66 to age 84 the ratios were extended linearly to a value of 100% for age 84. A 100% ratio was also used for ages 85 through 100, where the exposure would be almost entirely on male lives.

The graduated ratios were then applied to the 1951-56 aggregate accidental death claim rates, which were based on the experience of all 17 companies, to arrive at the male table. In the absence of male data for all 17 companies and with a different level of mortality prevailing for the ten companies which submitted separate male and female data, none of the standard tests of fit of the resulting male accidental death rates were appropriate. The ratios used, however, represented a close fit to the data of the ten companies which had subdivided their data by sex.

#### APPENDIX B

## SPECIFICATIONS OF 1957 INTERCOMPANY DOUBLE INDEMNITY STUDY

(As transmitted with letter of March 11, 1957)

#### Purpose

To study the accidental death rate under double indemnity provisions issued by life insurance companies as a part of Ordinary insurance policies in the United States and Canada. It is probable that a new table of double indemnity mortality rates will be developed.

## Scope of Investigation

Issue Years: 1935 to 1940 and 1946 to 1955. Companies with lifetime coverage clauses issued in earlier years are also asked to contribute data on those clauses.

Observation Period: 1951 to 1956 policy anniversaries.

The investigation will in general be confined to standard double indemnity clauses. However, those companies which cannot separate standard from substandard clauses should nevertheless contribute their data if the claims on substandard clauses do not exceed 5% of the total claims.

Clauses automatically incorporated in life insurance policies are to be excluded from the investigation.

The data for policy years 1, 2 and 3 are to be studied separately by age at issue, and the data for later durations are to be combined by attained age.

Riders added after the issuance of a policy may be included, but it is not necessary to do so. Determination of the proper policy duration may be a problem. To the extent practical, the duration should be counted from the time of addition. It is recognized that some companies will not be able to differentiate such clauses from those included in policies at the time of original issue, in which case duration would, of course, be measured from original issue.

The experience will be investigated by amount of insurance and, in so far as the data are available on that basis, by number of policies also.

Sampling methods may be used in the derivation of a company's contribution. The contribution itself should be the equivalent of 100% of the data.

Care should be taken to omit exposures after the limiting age for the benefit.

#### Special Subdivisions of Material

To the extent that the records of the companies make such subdivisions possible, the data are to be studied according to

- 1. The sex of the insured
- 2. The size of the policy
  - a) Less than \$5,000
  - b) \$5,000 to \$19,999
  - c) \$20,000 and over

The policy size at time of issue is preferred. The amount classification for exposures and claims should in any event be consistent.

#### Causes of Accidental Death

An analysis of causes of death will be made, using the code subdivisions listed in Enclosure D [reproduced on p. 78].

#### Pending, Resisted and Unreported Claims

The date of death, rather than the date of payment, should determine whether a claim is to be included in the experience and whether it is to be assigned to the first, second, third, or later policy years.

Claims that are being resisted at the time of the compilation of the data should be reviewed for the purpose of determining the proper share of them that should be included in the investigation as though they were approved claims.

Claims approved after the close of the observation period should be reviewed to as late a date as possible prior to the submission of a company's data, for the selection of the cases that properly belong in the investigation.

If a company's past experience suggests that the above procedure will result in the omission of a significant number of cases, the company should indicate what percentage adjustment of its claims over the five year observation period is required to offset the omission.

#### Method of Transmitting Data

- 1. Summary punch cards for exposed to risk and claims paid, by individual issue ages for policy years 1, 2 and 3 separately, and by individual attained ages for policy years 4 and later combined. (Separate instructions are given for companies whose data are available only in five year issue age groups.)
- 2. Individual punch cards for the claims, including information regarding the causes of death.

CAUSE (	of Death Codes for	1957
INTERCOMPANY I	DOUBLE INDEMNITY I	NVESTIGATION

Code	Title	6th Revision International List Codes	1950 Intercompany Cause Code
01	Motor Vehicle Accidents	E810-835	88
02	Aircraft Accidents	E860-866	89
03	Accidental Poisoning by Solid and Liquid Substances	E870-888	Part of 90
04.	Accidental Poisoning by Gases and		
	Vapors	E890-895	Part of 90
05	Accidental Falls	E900-904	91
06	Accident Caused by Machinery	E912	92
07	Accident Caused by Fire, Explosion,		
	etc.	E916-918	93
08	Accident Caused by Firearm	E <b>9</b> 19	94
09	Accidental Drowning & Submersion		
	(excluding water transport acci-		
	dents)	E929	95
10	Water Transport Accidents	E850-858	Part of 96
11	Accident Caused by Electric Current	E914	Part of 96
12	Blow from Falling Object	E910	Part of 96
13	Suffocation	E921-922	Part of 96
		E924-925	
14	Suicide	E970-979	97
15	Homicide	E980-985	98
16	All other claims	Residual	