## TRANSACTIONS OF SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES 1967 REPORTS

## IV. GROUP LONG-TERM DISABILITY INSURANCE

THIS brief report is the first on the study of the morbidity experience of Group Long-Term Disability insurance. Because of the rapid growth of insured plans providing such income replacement benefits, the Committee in 1965 called for contributions of experience in order to supplement the continuing study of Group Weekly Indemnity insurance, presented in the preceding Section I. The Committee believes that contributions to date are of insufficient volume to justify a detailed report with many subdivisions of data, but in view of the interest in this benefit the Committee is publishing the experienced rates of disablement for plans with the six-month elimination period, for which the largest exposure has been reported. Additional data will be published in future reports as meaningful experience develops.

Groups selected for the study provide occupational as well as non-occupational coverage but with benefits integrated with workmen's compensation. Virtually all the cases contributed provide also that gross monthly benefits are integrated with some or all of any social security benefits and frequently other benefits payable as a result of the disability. Benefits are payable only on claims incurred prior to age 65 and generally cease at age 65, the normal retirement date for plans included in the study. In addition to the available experience of employeremployee groups in the United States, the study also includes experience which may have been contributed of insured groups in Canada and of trusteeship, association, and union cases. However, groups which the contributing companies individually consider atypical or classify as substandard because of industry hazards are excluded from the study. Experience of the calendar year of issue is excluded.

## Contributing Companies

Eleven companies have contributed to the investigation for the calendar years of 1962, 1963, 1964, and 1965 covered in this report. The results are the composite experience of variations in company practices and in underlying administration and claim procedures as well as in experience among groups.

Aetna Life Insurance Company Bankers Life Company Continental Assurance Company Continental Casualty Company

TABLE 1

GROUP LONG-TERM DISABILITY INSURANCE
(Crude Rates of Disablement per 1,000 Lives; Six-Month Elimination Period; Calendar Year of Issue Excluded; Calendar Years of Experience 1962-65)

Attained Age	Life Years Exposed	No. Claims	Rate of Disablement per 1,000 Lives
	All Experience: Males, Females, and Sex Unknown		
Under 40 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	176,550 59,240 48,905 36,488 24,692 12,926	187 144 190 215 252 170	1.06 2.43 3.89 5.89 10.21 13.15
All ages	358,801	1,158	3.23
	Male		
Under 40 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 All ages	74,311 27,360 22,966 17,193 12,062 6,944	56 39 53 79 104 90	0.75 1.43 2.31 4.59 8.62 12.96
	Female		
Under 40 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	13,188 4,399 3,990 3,320 2,197 1,218	23 13 26 23 24 10	1.74 2.96 6.52 6.93 10.92 8.21
All ages	28,312	119	4.20

Equitable Life Assurance Society
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company
New York Life Insurance Company
Provident Life and Accident Insurance Company
Prudential Insurance Company of America
Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada

## Analysis of Rates of Disablement

Crude rates of disablement by lives from 1962-65 experience are presented for the six-month elimination period by sex and age group in Table 1. The first presentation in Table 1 is the aggregate experience by age groupings for male, female, and sex-unknown data combined. Much of the experience contributed could not be separated by sex, but that experience which was sex-coded is presented in the bottom two sections of the table. Attention is called to the substantial reduction in the number of claims available for study when analyzed by sex and to the variation in experience for these subclasses as compared with the aggregate experience. Experience also varied considerably among contributors, most of whom based the definition of disability on the claimant's "own occupation." About 20 per cent of the experience, however, used "any occupation" in the definition of disability applicable at the beginning of benefits.

Claims were reported and included in rates of disablement even though no benefits may have been payable as a result of integration. In an analysis whose rates are not shown here, disablement rates by amounts were generally lower than by lives in a comparison of just those cases where experience was available by both lives and amounts of benefit (prior to social security or other offsets).

Accidents accounted for 10 per cent of the claims coded for a known cause of disablement.

The Committee wishes to point out that these rates result from a study which is still in the course of development; hence the results presented here should be viewed only as early indications of expected rates of disablement. The exposure to date consists primarily of nonbargained plans; therefore, the experience indicated in this report may not be typical of other types of plans. Furthermore, the experience covers a period of favorable economic conditions and generally high employment. Therefore, the Committee recommends that caution be used in interpreting the results.