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#### Abstract

Insurance companies use actuarial models to set appropriate reserves and adequately price products. This article provides illustrative examples of using a Paid Claims Projection model to estimate claim reserves for products in the runoff and a Cash Flow Testing model to determine longevity and profitability of existing products.


## 1 Introduction

Financial products need constant actuarial care to make sure they are performing as profitably as originally planned while they are being sold and are aging gracefully when they are in the run-off. How should an actuary treat products at different stages of products' lives and what actuarial models are appropriate to handle their claim projection and cash flows?
This paper explores the use of Paid Claims Projection model to handle claim projection and reserves estimation for products at the end of their lives and Cash Flow Testing model to determine longevity and profitability of products that are currently being sold. It offers practical guidance and advice for actuarial and financial practitioners in both insurance and consulting industry.

## 2 Paid Claims Projection Model

When an insurance company stops selling a product, there remains a need of a qualified claims administration service to run-off existing claims. This service includes:

- Claim litigation and mediation management
- Quality reserve establishment
- Reinsurance compliance and reporting
- Claim payment and resolution
- Salvage/Subrogation recoveries
- Ability to recognize and control Extra Contractual Obligations (ECO) and Excess of Policy Limits (EPL) exposures.

If a company is no longer equipped to handle this obligation, the claims administration can be transferred to a Third Party Administrator (TPA). If a decision is made to handle the product in the run-off within the company, an actuarially sound approach to incurred and paid claim projection as well as claims reserve establishment becomes of the utmost importance. Let us start with an example.

### 2.1 Paid Claims Projection Model: Problem Set Up

Suppose a product was sold during a time period between $t_{1}$ and $t_{m}$, and the current valuation date (CVD) is $t_{n}: t_{n}>t_{m}, n>m$. Our task is to:

1. Evaluate the reserves as of the CVD;
2. Project the paid claims past the CVD; and
3. Evaluate the remaining paid claims and reserves.

Let $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}$ be the claims durations: $d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{n}$, and let $t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{m}$ be the times when these claims incurred: $t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{m}$. For a product in the runoff $m<n$. Note that as of CVD, claims incurred at time $t_{j}$ have duration $d_{n-j+1}$. In particular, claims incurred at time $t_{1}$ have duration $d_{n}$.
Suppose the following completions factors were developed during the products life: $\left\{c f_{i j}\right\}_{i, j}$, where $c f_{i j}$ is the completion factor corresponding to cumulative claims in duration $d_{i}$ incurred at time $t_{j}$. Let $P_{j}$ be the total claims incurred at time $t_{j}$ paid
for all durations $\left\{d_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n-j+1}$, and $Q_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} P_{j}$ be the total paid claims at the CVD corresponding to time $t_{n}$. The following table summarizes the notation above.

Table 1: CF Table for the Current Life of the Product

|  | Incurred Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t_{1}$ | $t_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $t_{m-1}$ | $t_{m}$ |
| Duration | $t_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{1}$ | $c f_{11}$ | $c f_{12}$ | $\cdots$ | $c f_{1 m-1}$ | $c f_{1 m}$ |
| $d_{2}$ | $c f_{21}$ | $c f_{22}$ | $\cdots$ | $c f_{2 m-1}$ | $c f_{2 m}$ |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{m}$ | $c f_{m 1}$ | $c f_{m 2}$ | $\cdots$ | $c f_{m m-1}$ | $c f_{m m}$ |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{n}$ | $c f_{n 1}$ | $c f_{n 2}$ | $\cdots$ | $c f_{n m-1}$ | $c f_{n m}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Paid | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $P_{m-1}$ | $P_{m}$ |

Let us calculate the claim reserves as of the CVD.

### 2.2 Incurred Claims and Claim Reserves as of CVD

The incurred claims and reserves as of the current date can be determined by known paid claims $\left\{P_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ and completion factors $\left\{c f_{i j}\right\}_{i, j}, i=n, n-1, \cdots, n-m+1, j=$ $1,2, \cdots, m$ and are estimated as follows:

Table 2: Incurred Claims and Reserves for the Life of the Product

| Incurred <br> Date $t_{j}$ | Duration <br> $d_{n-j+1}$ | Paid <br> Claims $P_{j}$ | Completion <br> Factor $c f_{n-j+1 j}$ | Incurred <br> Claims $I C_{j}$ | Reserves <br> $R_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $d_{n}$ | $P_{1}$ | $c f_{n 1}$ | $P_{1} / c f_{n 1}$ | $I C_{1}-P_{1}$ |
| $t_{2}$ | $d_{n-1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $c f_{n-12}$ | $P_{2} / c f_{n-12}$ | $I C_{2}-P_{2}$ |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{m}$ | $d_{n-m+1}$ | $P_{m}$ | $c f_{n-m+1 m}$ | $P_{m} / c f_{n-m+1 m}$ | $I C_{m}-P_{m}$ |
|  |  | $Q_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} P_{j}$ |  | $I C_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} I C_{j}$ | $R_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} R_{j}$ |

Note that the incurred claims in this case are estimated in a classical way of estimat-
ing incurred claims for creditable months. This is possible due to the time $t_{n}$ being removed from the time $t_{m}$, the last incurred claims date.

### 2.3 Paid Claims and Reserves Projections

To project paid claims and reserves past the CVD, an actuary will need to perform a completion factor study for the entire life of the product:

Table 3: CF Table for the Entire Life of the Product

|  | Incurred Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duration | $t_{1}$ | $t_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $t_{m-1}$ | $t_{m}$ |
|  | $c f_{11}$ | $c f_{12}$ | $\cdots$ | $c f_{1 m-1}$ | $c f_{1 m}$ |
| $d_{1}$ | $c f_{21}$ | $c f_{22}$ | $\cdots$ | $c f_{2 m-1}$ | $c f_{2 m}$ |
| $d_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $c f_{m 1}$ | $c f_{m 2}$ | $\cdots$ | $c f_{m m-1}$ | $c f_{m m}$ |
| $d_{m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $c f_{n 1}$ | $c f_{n 2}$ | $\cdots$ | $c f_{n m-1}$ | $c f_{n m}$ |
| $d_{n}$ | $c f_{n+11}$ | $c f_{n+12}$ | $\cdots$ | $c f_{n+1 m-1}$ | $c f_{n+1 m}$ |
| $d_{n+1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\vdots$ |  | $c f_{N 1}$ | $\cdots$ | $c f_{N m-1}$ | $c f_{N m}$ |
| $d_{N}$ | $c f_{N 1}$ | $c f_{N 2}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |

Here $N$ is the last projected period of product's life.
Then the paid claims can be projected into the future periods $t_{n+1}, t_{n+2}, \cdots, t_{N}$ as
follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{n+1}=\left[\frac{P_{1}}{c f_{n 1}}-\frac{P_{1}}{c f_{n+11}}\right]+\left[\frac{P_{2}}{c f_{n-12}}-\frac{P_{2}}{c f_{n 2}}\right]+\cdots+ \\
& +\left[\frac{P_{m}}{c f_{n-m+1 m}}-\frac{P_{m}}{c f_{n-m m}}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{m} P_{j}\left[\frac{1}{c f_{n-j+1 j}}-\frac{1}{c f_{n-j j}}\right] \\
& Q_{n+2}=\left[\frac{P_{1}}{c f_{n+11}}-\frac{P_{1}}{c f_{n+21}}\right]+\left[\frac{P_{2}}{c f_{n 2}}-\frac{P_{2}}{c f_{n+12}}\right]+\cdots+ \\
& +\left[\frac{P_{m}}{c f_{n-m+2 m}}-\frac{P_{m}}{c f_{n-m+1 m}}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{m} P_{j}\left[\frac{1}{c f_{n-j+2 j}}-\frac{1}{c f_{n-j+1 j}}\right] \\
& \vdots \\
& Q_{N}=\left[\frac{P_{1}}{c f_{N-11}}-\frac{P_{1}}{c f_{N 1}}\right]+\left[\frac{P_{2}}{c f_{N-2} 2}-\frac{P_{2}}{c f_{N-12}}\right]+\cdots+ \\
& +\left[\frac{P_{m}}{c f_{N-m m}}-\frac{P_{m}}{c f_{N-m-1 m}}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{m} P_{j}\left[\frac{1}{c f_{N-j j}}-\frac{1}{c f_{N-j-1 j}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Summarizing for $k=1,2, \cdots, N-n$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{n+k}=\left[\frac{P_{1}}{c f_{n+k-11}}-\frac{P_{1}}{c f_{n+k 1}}\right]+\left[\frac{P_{2}}{c f_{n+k-22}}-\frac{P_{2}}{c f_{n+k-12}}\right]+\cdots+ \\
& +\left[\frac{P_{m}}{c f_{n+k-m m}}-\frac{P_{m}}{c f_{n+k-m-1 m}}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{m} P_{j}\left[\frac{1}{c f_{n+k-j j}}-\frac{1}{c f_{n+k-j-1 j}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining reserve is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{n+1}=R_{n}-Q_{n+1} \\
& R_{n+2}=R_{n}-Q_{n+1}-Q_{n+2}=R_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{2} Q_{n+j} \\
& \vdots \\
& R_{N}=R_{n}-Q_{n+1}-Q_{n+2}-\cdots Q_{N}=R_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{N-n} Q_{n+j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Summarizing for $k=1,2, \cdots, N-n$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{n+k}=R_{n}-Q_{n+1}-Q_{n+2}-\cdots Q_{n+k}=R_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} Q_{n+j}, \text { or, recursively: } \\
& R_{n+k}=R_{n+k-1}-Q_{n+k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following numerical example will illustrate the general Paid Claims Projection problem just discussed.

### 2.4 Paid Claims Projection Model: Example

Suppose a product was sold during a time period between January and June of 2008 and the current valuation date (CVD) is March 31, 2010. Note that as of the CVD the oldest product's claim is in its 27th duration and the youngest one is in its 22nd duration.

Let us first evaluate the reserves as of the CVD.
Suppose the completion factor chart and the paid claims for each incurred date up to the CVD are:

Table 4: CF Table for the Current Life of the Product

|  | Incurred Period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 200801 | 200802 | 200803 | 200804 | 200805 | 200806 |
|  | 0.9919 | 0.9928 | 0.9911 | 0.9883 | 0.9876 | 0.9861 |
| 22 | 0.9943 | 0.9929 | 0.9915 | 0.9888 | 0.9881 | 0.9881 |
| 24 | 0.9946 | 0.9935 | 0.9918 | 0.990 | 0.9900 | 0.9900 |
| 25 | 0.9954 | 0.9937 | 0.992 | 0.9920 | 0.9920 | 0.9920 |
| 26 | 0.9958 | 0.994 | 0.9940 | 0.9940 | 0.9940 | 0.9940 |
| 27 | 0.996 | 0.9960 | 0.9960 | 0.9960 | 0.9960 | 0.9960 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Paid | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 | 4000 | 5000 | 6000 |

The incurred claims and reserves as of the CVD can be determined by known paid claims $\left\{P_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{6}$ and completion factors $\left\{c f_{i j}\right\}_{i, j}, i=22,23, \cdots, 27, j=1,2, \cdots, 6$ and are estimated as:

Table 5: Incurred Claims and Reserves for the Life of the Product

| Incurred <br> Date $t_{j}$ | Duration <br> $d_{n-j+1}$ | Paid <br> Claims $P_{j}$ | Completion <br> Factor $c f_{n-j+1} j$ | Incurred <br> Claims $I C_{j}$ | Reserves <br> $R_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200801 | 27 | 1000 | 0.996 | 1004 | 4 |
| 200802 | 26 | 2000 | 0.994 | 2012 | 12 |
| 200803 | 25 | 3000 | 0.992 | 3024 | 24 |
| 200804 | 24 | 4000 | 0.990 | 4040 | 40 |
| 200805 | 23 | 5000 | 0.9881 | 5060 | 60 |
| 200806 | 22 | 6000 | 0.9861 | 6085 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 21,000 |  | 21,225 | 225 |

Here, for example, $I C_{1}=1004=1000 / 0.996$ and $R_{1}=4=1004-1000$.
To calculate paid claim and reserve projections, suppose we developed completion factors for the future life of the product:

Table 6: CF Table for the Entire Life of the Product

|  | Incurred Period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 200801 | 200802 | 200803 | 200804 | 200805 | 200806 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 0.9919 | 0.9928 | 0.9911 | 0.9883 | 0.9876 | 0.9861 |
| 23 | 0.9943 | 0.9929 | 0.9915 | 0.9888 | 0.9881 | 0.9881 |
| 24 | 0.9946 | 0.9935 | 0.9918 | 0.990 | 0.990 | 0.990 |
| 25 | 0.9954 | 0.9937 | 0.992 | 0.992 | 0.992 | 0.992 |
| 26 | 0.9958 | 0.994 | 0.994 | 0.994 | 0.994 | 0.9940 |
| 27 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.9960 | 0.9960 |
| 28 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.9980 | 0.9980 | 0.9980 |
| 29 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.9990 | 0.9990 | 0.9990 | 0.9990 |
| 30 | 1.00 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total Paid | 1000 | 2000 | 3000 | 4000 | 5000 | 6000 |

Then, projecting paid claims and estimating remaining reserve, we obtain:

Table 7: Estimated Future Paid Claims and Reserves

|  | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | Total Est <br> Paid | Remaining <br> Reserve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201004 | 2.01 | 4.02 | 6.05 | 8.08 | 10.12 | 12.17 | 42 | 183 |
| 201005 | 1.00 | 4.02 | 6.04 | 8.06 | 10.10 | 12.15 | 41 | 142 |
| 201006 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 6.02 | 8.05 | 10.08 | 12.12 | 39 | 102 |
| 201007 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 3.01 | 8.03 | 10.06 | 12.10 | 35 | 67 |
| 201008 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 4.01 | 10.04 | 12.07 | 29 | 38 |
| 201009 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 5.01 | 12.05 | 21 | 17 |
| 201010 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.01 | 6.01 | 11 | 6 |
| 201011 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.01 | 6 | 0 |
| 201012 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 225 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 555 |  |

Here, for example, the future paid claims in duration 27 for April 30, 2010 valuation date are projected to be $2.01=1000 / 0.996-1000 / 0.998$, and the total projected paid claims as of April 30, 2010 are calculated following the gold set of completion factors in Table 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1000\left(\frac{1}{0.996}-\frac{1}{0.998}\right)+2000\left(\frac{1}{0.994}-\frac{1}{0.996}\right)+3000\left(\frac{1}{0.992}-\frac{1}{0.994}\right)+ \\
& +4000\left(\frac{1}{0.99}-\frac{1}{0.992}\right)+5000\left(\frac{1}{0.9881}-\frac{1}{0.99}\right)+6000\left(\frac{1}{0.9861}-\frac{1}{0.9881}\right)=42
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining reserve as of April 30, 2010 is then $225-42=183$.
Similarly, the future paid claims in duration 26 for June 30, 2010 valuation date are projected to be $2.00=2000 / 0.998-2000 / 0.999$, and the total projected paid claims as of June 30, 2010 are calculated following the violet set of completion factors in Table 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1000\left(\frac{1}{0.999}-1\right)+2000\left(\frac{1}{0.998}-\frac{1}{0.999}\right)+3000\left(\frac{1}{0.996}-\frac{1}{0.998}\right)+ \\
& +4000\left(\frac{1}{0.994}-\frac{1}{0.996}\right)+5000\left(\frac{1}{0.992}-\frac{1}{0.994}\right)+6000\left(\frac{1}{0.990}-\frac{1}{0.992}\right)=39
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining reserve as of June 30, 2010 is then $142-39=103$. The difference due to rounding.

In this example, by the end of the year we can expect to pay another $\$ 225$ in claims and hold a total of $\$ 555$ in claim reserve.
In the next section we will show how to apply a different model to test longevity and profitability of a product that is currently being sold.

## 3 Cash Flow Testing Model

A typical life, health, or property/casualty insurance company periodically performs cash flow testing of its products. This is done for purposes of:

- Reserve and Capital Adequacy
- Product Development
- Investment Strategy Evaluation
- Financial Projections
- Actuarial Appraisals
- Testing of Nonguaranteed Elements

The cash flows of some assets can be projected on the basis of asset structure alone (e.g., high quality noncallable bonds) or on the basis of a combination of their structure and external factors (e.g., callable bonds or mortgage-backed securities). When performing cash flow analysis, an actuary should consider:

- Reinvestment strategy
- Asset segmentation
- Use of derivative contracts
- Insolvency or other nonperformance of reinsurers
- Expenses associated with maintaining, collecting, or paying out policy cash flows
- External factors such as interest rates
- Policyholder options
- Claim settlement and benefit payment practices
- Expense-control strategies

The following example illustrates a cash flow testing scenario.

### 3.1 Cash Flow Testing Model: Assumptions

The goal of the cash flow testing analysis is to estimate the present value of its cash flows. The cash flows could be determined as the difference between the break-even and projected claims that will be determined below. Before we get to the discussion of the break-even claims, let us first make assumptions about the product's lapse rates, persistency rates and loss ratios.
Let $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}$ be the claims durations: $d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{n}$. Let $P_{i}$ be the premiums paid, $l_{i}, p_{i}$, and $L R_{i}$ be the lapse, persistency and loss ratio rates at durations $d_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ expressed as follows:

Table 8: Premium by Duration

| Duration | Premium |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d_{n}$ | $P_{n}$ |
| $d_{n-1}$ | $P_{n-1}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $d_{2}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| $d_{1}$ | $P_{1}$ |
| Total | $P=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}$ |

Table 9: Lapse by Duration

| Duration | Lapse |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d_{n+}$ | $l_{n+}$ |
| $d_{n-1}$ | $l_{n-1}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $d_{2}$ | $l_{2}$ |
| $d_{1}$ | $l_{1}$ |

Table 10: Persistency by Duration

| Duration | Persistency |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $d_{n+}$ | $p_{n+}$ |
| $d_{n-1}$ | $p_{n-1}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $d_{2}$ | $p_{2}$ |
| $d_{1}$ | $p_{1}$ |

Table 11: Loss Ratio by Duration

| Duration | Loss Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $d_{n+}$ | $L R_{n+}$ |
| $d_{n-1}$ | $L R_{n-1}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $d_{2}$ | $L R_{2}$ |
| $d_{1}$ | $L R_{1}$ |

### 3.2 Cash Flow Testing Model: Projections

Given the lapse, persistency and loss ratio assumptions above, the lapse, persistency and loss ratio projections can be expressed as follows:
Lapse: $\left\{l_{i j}\right\}$, where

$$
l_{i j}= \begin{cases}l_{i+j-1} & i+j \leq n \\ l_{n+} & i+j>n\end{cases}
$$

Or

Table 12: Lapse Projection

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Projection Year |  |  |  |
| Duration | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ | $n-1$ | $n+$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{n+}$ | $l_{n+}$ | $l_{n+}$ | $\cdots$ | $l_{n+}$ | $l_{n+}$ |
| $d_{n-1}$ | $l_{n-1}$ | $l_{n+}$ | $\cdots$ | $l_{n+}$ | $l_{n+}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $d_{2}$ | $l_{2}$ | $l_{3}$ | $\cdots$ | $l_{n+}$ | $l_{n+}$ |
| $d_{1}$ | $l_{1}$ | $l_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $l_{n-1}$ | $l_{n+}$ |

Persistency: $\left\{p_{i j}\right\}$, where

$$
p_{i j}= \begin{cases}p_{i+j-1} & i+j \leq n \\ p_{n+} & i+j>n\end{cases}
$$

Or

Table 13: Persistency Projection

|  | Projection Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duration | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ | $n-1$ | $n+$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{n+}$ | $p_{n+}$ | $p_{n+}$ | $\cdots$ | $p_{n+}$ | $p_{n+}$ |  |
| $d_{n-1}$ | $p_{n-1}$ | $p_{n+}$ | $\cdots$ | $p_{n+}$ | $p_{n+}$ |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |
| $d_{2}$ | $p_{2}$ | $p_{3}$ | $\cdots$ | $p_{n+}$ | $p_{n+}$ |  |
| $d_{1}$ | $p_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $p_{n-1}$ | $p_{n+}$ |  |

Note that $p_{i j}=1-l_{i j}$.
Loss Ratio: $\left\{L R_{i j}\right\}$, where

$$
L R_{i j}= \begin{cases}L R_{i+j-1} & i+j \leq n \\ L R_{n+} & i+j>n\end{cases}
$$

Or

Table 14: Loss Ratio Projection

|  | Projection Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ | $n-1$ | $n+$ |
|  | $L R_{n+}$ | $L R_{n+}$ | $\cdots$ | $L R_{n+}$ | $L R_{n+}$ |
| $d_{n+}$ | $L R_{n-1}$ | $L R_{n+}$ | $\cdots$ | $L R_{n+}$ | $L R_{n+}$ |
| $d_{n-1}$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $L R_{2}$ | $L R_{3}$ | $\cdots$ | $L R_{n+}$ | $L R_{n+}$ |
| $d_{2}$ | $L R_{1}$ | $L R_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $L R_{n-1}$ | $L R_{n+}$ |
| $d_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Then the premium $\left\{P_{i j}\right\}$ for projection year $j$ and duration $i$ can be found using the current year premium and persistency above:

$$
P_{i j}=P_{i} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} p_{i+k}, Q_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i j}
$$

Recursively:

$$
P_{i j}=P_{i j-1} * p_{i j}=P_{i j-1} * p_{i+j-1}
$$

Or

Table 15: Premium Projection

|  | Projection Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 1 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $n-1$ | $n+$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & d_{n+} \\ & d_{n-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} P_{n} * p_{n+} \\ P_{n-1} * p_{n-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P_{n} * p_{n+}^{2} \\ P_{n-1} * p_{n-1} * p_{n+} \end{gathered}$ | ... | $\begin{gathered} P_{n} * p_{n+}^{n-1} \\ P_{n-1} * p_{n-1} * p_{n+}^{n-2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P_{n} * p_{n+}^{n} \\ P_{n-1} * p_{n-1} * p_{n+1}^{n-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\vdots$ | : |  | $\ldots$ |  | : |
| $d_{2}$ | $P_{2} * p_{2}$ | $P_{2} * p_{2} * p_{3}$ | $\ldots$ | $P_{1} * \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} p_{j}$ | $P_{2} * \prod_{j=2}^{n} p_{j}$ |
| $d_{1}$ | $P_{1} * p_{1}$ | $P_{1} * p_{1} * p_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $P_{1} * \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{j}$ | $P_{1} * \prod_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}$ |
| Total | $Q_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i 1}$ | $Q_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $Q_{n-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i n-1}$ | $Q_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i n}$ |

### 3.3 Cash Flow Testing Model: Break-Even and Anticipated Claims

To find the cash flows, let us first define the break-even loss ratio for each projection year.
Let $N I I_{j}$ be percent of investment income, $C_{j}$ - commissions, $P T_{j}$ - premium taxes, $L A E_{j}$ - loss adjustment expense, and $P A_{j}$ - premium administration expense for a projection year $j$. Then the break-even loss ratio in year $j$ for the next $n$ years is:

$$
L R_{B E_{j}}=100 \%+N I I_{j}-C_{j}-P T_{j}-L A E_{j}-P A_{j}
$$

For a discount rate of $r \%$, let us now calculate the cash flow $C F_{j}$ for the product for a projection year $j$.
Let $M P_{j}$ be the projected annualized earned premium:

$$
M P_{j}=\frac{Q_{j-1}+Q_{j}}{2}
$$

Then for a projection year $j$, the anticipated loss ratio $A L R_{j}$ :

$$
A L R_{j}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i j} \cdot L R_{i j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i j}}
$$

Projected claims:

$$
C L_{j}=M P_{j} \cdot A L R_{j}
$$

Break-even claims:

$$
C L_{B E_{j}}=M P_{j} \cdot L R_{B E_{j}}
$$

and the cash flow:

$$
C F_{j}=M P_{j} \cdot\left(L R_{B E_{j}}-A L R_{j}\right)
$$

Finally, the total anticipated loss ratio and the break-even loss ratio are calculated by taking present values of these amounts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A L R=\frac{\sum_{j} P V_{j}\left(C L_{j}\right)}{\sum_{j} P V_{j}\left(M P_{j}\right)} \\
& L R_{B E}=\frac{\sum_{j} P V_{j}\left(C L_{B E_{j}}\right)}{\sum_{j} P V_{j}\left(M P_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following numerical example will illustrate the general Cash Flow Testing problem just discussed.

### 3.4 Cash Flow Testing Model: Example

Suppose we are given the following premium, lapse rates, persistency rate and loss ratio assumptions.

Table 16: Premium by Duration

| Duration | Premium |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 5 | 1000 |
| 4 | 1200 |
| 3 | 1100 |
| 2 | 1000 |
| 1 | 900 |
| Total | $P=5200$ |

Table 17: Lapse by Duration

| Duration | Lapse |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 5 | $25 \%$ |
| 4 | $25 \%$ |
| 3 | $25 \%$ |
| 2 | $41 \%$ |
| 1 | $55 \%$ |

Table 18: Persistency by Duration

| Duration | Persistency |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 5 | $75 \%$ |
| 4 | $75 \%$ |
| 3 | $75 \%$ |
| 2 | $59 \%$ |
| 1 | $45 \%$ |

Table 19: Loss Ratio by Duration

| Duration | Loss Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 5 | $62 \%$ |
| 4 | $65 \%$ |
| 3 | $68 \%$ |
| 2 | $67 \%$ |
| 1 | $55 \%$ |

Given the lapse, persistency and loss ratio assumptions above, the lapse, persistency and loss ratio projections can be expressed as follows:
Lapse:

Table 20: Lapse Projection

|  | Projection Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duration | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 4 | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 3 | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 2 | $41 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 1 | $55 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

Persistency:

Table 21: Persistency Projection

|  | Projection Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| 4 | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| 3 | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| 2 | $59 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| 1 | $45 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

Note that $p_{i j}=1-l_{i j}$.
Loss Ratio:

Table 22: Loss Ratio Projection

|  | Projection Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Duration | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| 4 | $65 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| 3 | $68 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| 2 | $67 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| 1 | $55 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $62 \%$ |

Therefore, the premium projection using the current year premium and persistency above is:

Table 23: Premium Projection

|  |  | Projection Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duration | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1000 | 750 | 563 | 422 | 316 | 237 |  |
| 4 | 1200 | 900 | 675 | 506 | 380 | 285 |  |
| 3 | 1100 | 825 | 619 | 464 | 348 | 261 |  |
| 2 | 1000 | 590 | 443 | 332 | 249 | 187 |  |
| 1 | 900 | 405 | 239 | 179 | 134 | 101 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5200 | 3470 | 2538 | 1903 | 1427 | 1071 |  |

Here, for the first two projection years:

Table 24: Premium Projection:Detail

|  | Projection Year <br>  <br> Duration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| 5 | $750=1000 \cdot 0.75$ | $563=1000 \cdot 0.75^{2}=750 \cdot 0.75$ |
| 4 | $900=1200 \cdot 0.75$ | $675=1200 \cdot 0.75^{2}=900 \cdot 0.75$ |
| 3 | $825=1100 \cdot 0.75$ | $619=1100 \cdot 0.75^{2}=825 \cdot 0.75$ |
| 2 | $590=1000 \cdot 0.59$ | $443=1000 \cdot 0.59 \cdot 0.75=590 \cdot 0.59$ |
| 1 | $405=900 \cdot 0.45$ | $239=900 \cdot 0.45 \cdot 0.59=405 \cdot 0.45$ |
|  |  |  |
| Total | 3470 | 2538 |

For the break-even point calculation, we assume no investment income, $22 \%$ commission in the 1st year, $10 \%$ in the 2nd year and $8.4 \%$ in the subsequent years, $2.4 \%$ premium tax, $4 \%$ loss adjustment expense (LAE), and $8.4 \%$ administrative expense (as percentage of premium). Then

Table 25: Break-even Loss Ratio

|  |  | Projection Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| Investment Income | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| Commissions | $22 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |  |
| Premium Taxes | $2.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |  |
| LAE | $4.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |  |
| Administrative Expense | $8.4 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |  |
| Net (Break-even point) | $63.2 \%$ | $75.2 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ |  |

Finally, using discount rate of $r=4.0 \%$,

Table 26: Cash Flow Analysis

|  |  | Projection Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | PV |
| Projected Annualized | 5200 | 4335 | 3004 | 2220 | 1665 | 1249 | 15,932 |
| Earned Premium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Loss | $55.0 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $63.1 \%$ | $62.3 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ |
| Ratio (ALR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Break-even Loss Ratio | $63.2 \%$ | $75.2 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ |
| Projected Claims | 2860 | 2785 | 1930 | 1401 | 1037 | 774 | 9702 |
| Break-even Claims | 3286 | 3260 | 2307 | 1705 | 1279 | 959 | 11,492 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Flow | 426 | 475 | 377 | 304 | 242 | 185 | 1789 |

Here the Projected Annualized Earned Premium is interpolated between two years and the Anticipated Loss Ratio in each projection year is calculated as the projected premium in each duration multiplied by the corresponding projected loss ratio divided by the total projected premium for the year.
For example, in year two the Anticipated Loss Ratio calculation is:

Table 27: ALR Calculation: Year 2

| Dur | $L R_{i 2}$ | $P_{i 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 5 | $62 \%$ | 563 |
| 4 | $62 \%$ | 675 |
| 3 | $65 \%$ | 619 |
| 2 | $68 \%$ | 443 |
| 1 | $67 \%$ | 239 |
|  |  |  |
| Total |  | 2538 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A L R_{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{5} P_{i 2} \cdot L R_{i 2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{5} P_{i 2}}= \\
& =\frac{563 \cdot 0.62+675 \cdot 0.62+619 \cdot 0.65+443 \cdot 0.68+239 \cdot 0.67}{2538}= \\
& =\frac{1631.28}{2538}=0.6427
\end{aligned}
$$

The Cash Flow is calculated as a difference between Break-even Loss Ratio and Anticipated Loss Ratios multiplied by the Projected Annualized Earned Premium. For example, in year three the cash flow will be $(0.768-0.631) \cdot 2220=304.14$ :

Table 28: Cash Flow Calculation: Year 3

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dur | 3 |
| Proj EP | 2220 |
| ALR | $63.1 \%$ |
| BE LR | $76.8 \%$ |
| Proj Clms | 1401 |
| BE Clms | 1705 |
|  |  |
| CF | 304 |

The calculation in Table 26 shows that the product is going to be profitable for at least 5 years.

Here we have chosen a scenario where the Anticipated Loss Ratios were based on lapse rate and calendar year loss ratio assumptions. Other scenarios are possible. For example, we could have Anticipated Loss Ratios following scenario above for the first two years, deteriorating to a break-even point in year three and beyond.

## 4 Conclusion

This paper showed an application of different actuarial models to answer questions for a product at different stages of life.

At the end of a product's life, when the product is no longer sold, or is in a runoff, an actuary needs to make competent paid claims projections as well as current
and remaining reserves estimates. Paid Claims Projection model was appropriate to handle such questions.
At the beginning or mid-life of a product, when a product is being sold, it is important to make periodic estimates of the present value of net cash flows that the product will bring. Cash Flow Testing model was appropriate to accomplish this.
Both models are necessary to insure a product's and, ultimately, company's, financial health and vitality.
Finally, it is important to note that a more comprehensive approach toward solving these and similar problems would be application of the Monte Carlo simulation techniques which provide a number of advantages over deterministic, or single-point estimate analysis, presented in this paper.
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