TRANSACTIONS OF SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES 1963 REPORTS

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON EXPERIENCE UNDER GROUP HEALTH INSURANCE

I: GROUP WEEKLY INDEMNITY INSURANCE AND GROUP HOSPITAL AND SURGICAL EXPENSE INSURANCE

HIS is the sixteenth annual report on the continuing study of the morbidity experience of Group Weekly Indemnity insurance and Group Employee and Dependent Hospital and Surgical Expense insurance.

In compiling these reports, the Committee includes the available experience of employer-employee groups. The experience of insured groups outside the United States, and of trusteeship and association cases insuring employees of the member employers, and of union cases, whether or not insurance depends on continued employment, is excluded. The data for Group Weekly Indemnity insurance exclude the experience of plans written under State Cash Sickness laws.

Data in the report for Weekly Indemnity and Employee Hospital insurance are based on the experience of groups in those industrial classifications which the contributing companies individually rate standard for premium purposes; tables covering these plans are headed "Nonrated Industries." Data for Surgical Expense insurance, Employee or Dependent, and for Dependent Hospital Expense insurance are based on the experience of groups regardless of industrial classification; tables covering these plans are headed "All Industries."

The tables in this report show the experience for all exposure size groups combined or for exposure size groups 0-7 only. The data are shown for size groups 0-7 in order to minimize the effect that jumbo groups might have upon the ratio of actual to tabular claims in any of the groupings shown. The following exposure constitutes exposure size groups 0-7:

Weekly Indemnity—Less than \$40,000 of weekly indemnity.

Hospital-Less than \$10,000 of daily benefit.

Surgical—Less than 2,000 exposure units of the \$150 or \$200 maximum benefit schedules.

Ratios of Actual to Tabular Claims

The results of the study are presented in the form of ratios of actual to tabular claims. The basis of the hospital and surgical tabular claim

factors is outlined in the 1961 report of this Committee; the weekly indemnity factors are described in the 1962 report. For purposes of measuring 1962 and later hospital experience, the 1957 Hospital Tabular claim factors have been extended to include daily benefit amounts of \$21 through \$25. These additional factors will not be published, but they may be obtained by writing the chairman of the Committee on Experience under Group Health Insurance.

Tables showing ratios of actual to tabular claims by female per cent, amount of hospital daily benefit, and amount of surgical maximum benefit have not been included in this report, since such tables would merely reconfirm what last year's tables showed, namely: that the relationship between male and female tabulars is satisfactory for weekly indemnity and hospital, but the male surgical tabular is relatively low and the female surgical tabular is relatively high; that the hospital tabulars yield consistent results when the actual to tabular ratios are examined by the amounts of daily benefit provided; and that there is a tendency for the ratio of actual to tabular to increase as the surgical maximum benefit increases.

The committee wishes to point out that the presentation of Group Accident and Health experience in the form of ratios of actual to tabular claims is still in the experimental state, with many factors affecting experience not reflected by the tabulars. For example, the tabulars do not contemplate a variation in experience caused by the age distribution or the geographic location of the employees. These limitations, as well as other factors which may influence the results of an analysis according to a particular characteristic of the experience, would indicate that caution should be used when interpreting the data contained in these reports.

Contributing Companies

Nine companies have contributed to the investigation covered in this report. The results are the composite experience of variations in company practice, in underlying administration and claim procedures, as well as variations in experience among groups. It should be recognized that many groups may have significantly different claim costs from those indicated in this report.

This report contains experience for years labeled 1957, 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961, and 1962. This year's report contains some additional experience for the year 1961, which was not available for last year's report. The majority of the companies contribute exposure and claims based upon policy years ending in the calendar year designated; others have contributed using different periods. When the various contributions are

weighted according to the period covered, the central point of the exposure submitted for each year is as shown below. Since each of the coverages centered near the same period, only one central period is shown for each year.

	Central Month of
Year	Period of Exposure
1957	September, 1956
1958	September, 1957
1959	December, 1958
1960	December, 1959
1961	December, 1960
1962	December, 1961

The assumption was made that each company's contribution was distributed uniformly over the period of exposure, which may be improper because of a concentration of policy renewals in January and July.

The following companies contributed experience for the investigation covered in this report:

Aetna Life Insurance Company
Connecticut General Life Insurance Company
Continental Assurance Company
Equitable Life Assurance Society
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company
Occidental Life Insurance Company of California
Prudential Insurance Company of America
The Travelers Insurance Company

"Instructions to Contributing Companies," used to prepare contributions for these studies, may be obtained by writing the chairman of the Committee on Experience under Group Health Insurance.

WEEKLY INDEMNITY

The basic results of the study of Weekly Indemnity insurance are presented in Table 1, which included all size groups for the three latest policy years combined. The exposure unit is one dollar of weekly benefit.

Experience is indicated in Table 2 for each of the two latest policy years for nonjumbo groups. Table 2A summarizes the ratios of actual to tabular for years 1957 through 1962 and indicates the trend of experience. The ratios shown indicate that experience has remained fairly constant over the period for all plans.

TABLE 1

COMBINED 1960-62 POLICY YEARS' EXPERIENCE
GROUP WEEKLY INDEMNITY INSURANCE
WITH SIX WEEKS MATERNITY BENEFIT
ALL SIZE GROUPS, NONRATED INDUSTRIES

Plan	Number of Experience Units	Weekly Indemnity Exposed	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actual to 1947-49 Weekly Indem- nity Tabular
1-4-13	2,585	15,709,070	10,969,951	101%
4-4-13	281	1,423,350	872,377	90
1-8-13	9,609	46,474,020	27,369,071	94
8-8-13	1,271	11,746,930	7,169,810	98
Total, 13-week plans: All size groups Size groups 0-7	13,746	75,353,370	46,381,209	96%
	13,486	49,400,880	29,231,286	92
1-4-26.	536	8,943,390	7,203,314	99%
4-4-26.	87	3,410,160	3,017,132	108
1-8-26.	3,276	45,755,780	34,826,913	103
8-8-26.	449	22,282,700	16,947,129	107
Total, 26-week plans: All size groups Size groups 0-7	4,348	80,392,030	61,994,488	104%
	4,025	26,332,920	19,112,477	97
Total, all plans: All size groups Size groups 0-7	18,094	155,745,400	108,375,697	100%
	17,511	75,733,800	48,343,763	94

TABLE 2A GROUP WEEKLY INDEMNITY INSURANCE, WITH 6 WEEKS MATERNITY BENEFIT RATIO OF ACTUAL CLAIMS TO 1947–49 WEEKLY INDEMNITY TABULAR BY YEAR AND PLAN SIZE GROUPS 0-7 ONLY, NONRATED INDUSTRIES

Plan	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962
13-week plans: 4th day sickness 8th day sickness	93% 91	96% 90	94% 90	96% 92	92% 93	91% 91
Total	91%	91%	91%	93%	93%	91%
26-week plans: 4th day sickness 8th day sickness	95% 96	99% 95	99% 97	95% 98	95% 95	94% 98
Total	95%	96%	97%	97%	95%	97%

TABLE 2

GROUP WEEKLY INDEMNITY INSURANCE, WITH SIX WEEKS MATERNITY BENEFIT
RATIO OF ACTUAL CLAIMS TO 1947–49 WEEKLY INDEMNITY TABULAR BY YEAR AND PLAN
SIZE GROUPS 0-7 ONLY, NONRATED INDUSTRIES

Year and Plan	Number of Experience Units	Weekly Indemnity Exposed	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actual to 1947-49 Weekly Indem- nity Tabular	Number of Experience Units	Weekly Indemnity Exposed	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actual to 1947-49 Weekly Indem- nity Tabular
		13-Wee	k Plans			26-We	ek Plans	
1961: 4th day sickness 8th day sickness	907 3,496	3,023,750 13,106,420	1,881,936 7,710,501	92%	185 1.158	1,329,110 7,527,180	1,014,151 5,320,915	95% 95
Total	4,403	16,130,170	9,592,437	93%	1.343	8,856,290	6,335,066	95%
1962: 4th day sickness 8th day sickness	855 3,212	2,972,030 12,139,130	1,823,879 7,040,956	91% 91	184 1,094	1,239,550 7,012,460	960,255 5,124,011	94% 98
Total	4,067	15,111,160	8,864,835	91%	1.278	8,252,010	6,084,266	97%

The Committee wishes to point out that the 1947-49 Weekly Indemnity Tabular is based on rather old continuation data and may, therefore, be unsuitable for purposes other than the measurement of trends based on the plans studied by the Committee.

HOSPITAL

The basic results of the study of Hospital Expense insurance are presented in Tables 3 and 4 for plans grouped according to nonmaternity

TABLE 3

COMBINED 1960-62 POLICY YEARS' EXPERIENCE
EMPLOYEE GROUP HOSPITAL EXPENSE INSURANCE
ALL SIZE GROUPS, NONRATED INDUSTRIES

Plan Identification	Number of Experience Units	Daily Benefit Exposed	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actual to 1957 Hospital Tabular
31 day:				
10×	3,509	5,755,690	10,501,647	105%
15×	1,186	2,311,010	4,261,929	104
20×	2,750	4,550,675	9,049,451	110
$20 \times +75\%$ of	1	, ,	1 ' '	
excess	1,000	1,450,101	3,263,784	116
70 day:	´	, ,	, ,	
10×	583	1,034,225	2,030,528	113
15×	405	829,757	1,704,954	114
20×	2,499	4,550,613	9,486,176	115
$20 \times +75\%$ of	<i>'</i>	, ,	, ,	
excess	1,486	2,216,769	5,163,456	120
120 day:	,	, ,	' '	
10×	20	47,503	90,405	115
15×	23	30,512	83,836	150
20×	192	561,305	1,141,510	115
$20 \times +75\%$ of	ĺ	,	, ,	
excess	163	418,108	1,030,079	129
Total	13,816	23,756,268	47,807,755	111%

room-and-board duration and miscellaneous-fee benefit. The experience shown includes all size groups for the three latest policy years.

The employee and dependent experience is indicated in Table 5 for each of the two latest policy years. The 1957, 1958, and 1959 experience for Table 5 is shown in the 1961 report, and the 1960 experience is shown in the 1962 report. Table 5A summarizes the ratios of actual to tabular for years 1957 through 1962 and indicates the trend of experience. Both employee and dependent experience continue to show a substantial increase in claim cost by year of experience. Because of the age of the data and the substantial increases in claim cost which have occurred in the

past, caution should be used when projecting the data contained in these reports to estimate current or future claim costs.

The experience in Table 5A also indicates that the use of the 1957 Tabular results in a higher ratio of actual to tabular claims as the size of the miscellaneous benefit increases. The 1957 Hospital Tabular is based

TABLE 4

COMBINED 1960-62 POLICY YEARS' EXPERIENCE
DEPENDENT GROUP HOSPITAL EXPENSE INSURANCE
ALL SIZE GROUPS, ALL INDUSTRIES

Plan Identification	Number of Experience Units	Daily Benefit Exposed	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actual to 1957 Hospital Tabular
With maternity benefits				
31 day:	0.004	2 202 707	40 070 007	
10×	2.891	3,202,587	12 372,227	109%
15×	1,195	1,188,911	5,169,843	117
20×	3,224	3,254,976	14,314,427	118
$20 \times +75\%$ of	1 160	1 140 072	! E EOA 270	133
excess	1,169	1,149,873	5,504,378	122
10×	479	640,324	2,374,370	105
15×	356	489,980	2,201,268	123
20×	2,867	3,250,082	14,603,496	123
$20 \times +75\%$ of	2,007	3,230,002	14,000,490	122
excess	1,687	1,938,032	9,446,136	125
120 day:	1,007	1,700,002	7,410,130	123
10×	20	25,519	90,963	105
15×	31	60,990	230,321	106
20×	224	284,583	1,306,034	127
$20 \times +75\%$ of	"	,		
excess	194	349,492	1,960,930	145
Total	14,337	15,835,349	69,574,393	119%
No maternity benefits				
31 day:	283	400 255	1 517 172	11607
10×	283	490,355	1,547,173	116%
70 day: 10×	63	325,759	1,097,684	126
10 ×	03	343,139	1,097,004	120
Total	346	816,114	2,644,857	120%

Note: Dependent maternity benefits are subject to a 9 months' waiting period.

upon an annual frequency of claim which does not vary by plan and an average miscellaneous benefit which does vary by plan. This average benefit is based upon an actual distribution of miscellaneous benefit charges. No direct evidence is available to indicate whether the variations in actual to tabular by miscellaneous benefit are the results of inflation, the average miscellaneous benefit assumed by the tabular, an increased

TABLE 5

EMPLOYEE AND DEPENDENT HOSPITAL EXPERIENCE
RATIO OF ACTUAL CLAIMS TO 1957 HOSPITAL TABULAR BY YEAR AND PLAN
SIZE GROUPS 0-7 ONLY, EMPLOYEE-RATED INDUSTRIES EXCLUDED

Policy Year and Duration	Number of Experience Units	Daily Benefit Exposed	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actual to 1957 Hos- pital Tabular	Number of Experience Units	Daily Benefit Exposed	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actual to 1957 Hos- pital Tabular
E m ployee		All 10	× Plans			All 20	X Plans	
1961: 31 day	1,131 183 7	1,288,628 238,312 16,024	2,329,731 470,765 29,385	105% 114 112	894 836 63	1,136,313 970,641 114,456	2,297,201 2,002,052 223,881	112% 113 111
Total	1,321	1,542,964	2,829,881	106%	1,793	2,221,410	4,523,134	112%
1962: 31 day 70 day 120 day	972 166 6	1,185,719 177,613 15,781	2,165,533 332,904 30,298	106% 112 117	869 845 60	1,180,807 1,035,976 100,799	2,381,220 2,201,373 194,350	113% 117 110
Total	1,144	1,379,113	2,528,735	107%	1,774	2,317,582	4,776,943	115%
		All 15	× Plans	<u> </u>		All 20×+75%	of Excess Plans	1
1961: 31 day	394 125 7	494,913 190,671 8,658	927,969 344,850 27,610	106% 101 170	348 516 52	435,411 677,560 102,533	1,002,294 1,524,285 267,782	120% 115 140
Total	526	694,242	1,300,429	106%	916	1,215,504	2,794,361	119%
1962: 31 day 70 day 120 day	337 122 8	430,989 184,166 10,110	853,549 330,805 23,969	112% 102 129	301 485 53	428,809 630,925 101,479	1,020,652 1,507,369 235,241	123% 125 122
Total	467	625,265	1,208,323	109%	839	1,161,213	2,763,262	124%

TABLE 5-Continued

Policy Year and Duration	Number of Experience Units	Daily Benefit Exposed	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actual to 1957 Hos- pital Tabular	Number of Experience Units	Daily Benefit Exposed	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actua to 1957 Hos- pital Tabular
Dependent		A11 10	× Plans			All 20	X Plans	
1961: 31 day	1,025 177 7	879,357 194,637 8,428	3,391,774 715,496 32,434	109% 106 113	1,034 932 66	916,009 811,634 61,948	4,058,801 3,684,607 284,835	119% 124 126
Total	1,209	1,082,422	4,139,704	109%	2,032	1,789,591	8,028,243	121%
1962: 31 day 70 day 120 day	883 165 7	824,612 158,413 12,121	3,219,473 565,436 45,668	113% 105 113	1,061 1,063 99	1,002,922 887,237 87,191	4,585,526 4,156,579 384,854	125% 129 124
Total	1,055	995,146	3,830,577	112%	2,223	1,977,350	9,126,959	127%
		All 15	× Plans		All 20×+75% of Excess Plans			
1961: 31 day	401 110 10	357,777 134,892 19,162	1,533,385 599,561 65,167	115% 121 94	428 581 61	377,389 536,695 100,767	1,823,791 2,742,237 560,605	123% 130 142
Total	521	511,831	2,198,113	116%	1,070	1,014,851	5,126,633	129%
1962: 31 day	350 125 8	322,265 136,877 6,771	1,427,882 599,691 36,232	121% 122 152	358 602 77	361,493 515,154 94,184	1,723,403 2,608,252 486,603	123% 132 135
Total	483	465,913	2,063,805	122%	1,047	970,831	4,818,258	129%

frequency of claim under plans with larger miscellaneous benefits, or to other factors

The annual increases in the ratio of actual to tabular indicated by Table 5A are based on the experience (except for a small amount of dependent experience) of plans that contain maternity benefits. No breakdown between maternity and nonmaternity experience is directly available from the annual study.

TABLE 5A

EMPLOYEE AND DEPENDENT HOSPITAL EXPERIENCE
RATIO OF ACTUAL CLAIMS TO 1957 HOSPITAL
TABULAR BY YEAR AND PLAN
SIZE GROUPS 0-7 ONLY, EMPLOYEE-RATED INDUSTRIES EXCLUDED

Policy Year	All 10× Plans	All 15× Plans	All 20× Plans	All 20×+75% of Excess Plans
Employee				
1957	90%		94%	<i>.</i>
1958	95	. <i></i>	99	. <i>.</i>
1959	102		108	
1960	104	107%	111	116%
1961	106	106	112	119
1962	107	109	115	124
Dependent				
1957	94		102	
1958	94		107	
1959	104		116	
1960	106	113	116	122
1961	109	116	121	129
1962	112	122	127	129
1902	112	122	14/	129

Table 6 shows the results of an analysis of combined employee and dependent experience by state for the three latest policy years combined. The experience is presented for all exposure size groups combined and for exposure size groups 0–7 only. The groups included in the experience for any state are those groups with 75 per cent or more of the insured employees in that state. Where it was not possible to assign a group to a particular state, it was assigned to a region if 75 per cent or more of the insured employees were in that region.

When interpreting the variations in experience by area, it should be borne in mind that the Hospital Tabulars do not include an adjustment for the expected variation in costs by area. On the other hand, the tabulars do recognize that for any given dollar maximum miscellaneous fee benefit the average benefit payable will increase as the dollar amount of daily benefit increases. For the 1957 Tabulars to produce reasonably accurate miscellaneous-fee claims costs, it is necessary that the amount of

TABLE 6

EMPLOYEE AND DEPENDENT 1960-62 POLICY YEARS' EXPERIENCE
ANALYSIS OF HOSPITAL EXPERIENCE BY STATE
EMPLOYEE-RATED INDUSTRIES EXCLUDED

Location			Size Groups	0-7	RATIO OF ACTUAL TO 1957 HOSPITAL TABULAR	
LOCATION CODE		Number of Expe- rience Units	Daily Benefit Exposed	Actual Claims	Size Groups 0-7	All Size Groups
20	Region	39	53,033	121,146	97%	97%
21	Connecticut	640	953,896	2,687,013	112	112
22	Maine	171	232,244	716,590	119	94
23 24	Massachusetts	1,366	1,669,931	4,439,484	109	109
25	New Hampshire Rhode Island	182 31	305,856 50,359	706,343 163,370	97 115	96 115
26	Vermont	136	184,152	446,439	105	105
	Total	2,565		9.280,385	109%	107%
!	Laborator Vergagnes - up					
30	Region	33	39,044	114,499	-110%	-110%
31	Delaware	14	31,250	106,161	136	136
32 33	Dist. Columbia New Jersey	84 718	108,121 $647,701$	288,998 1,523,594	117 96	117 96
34	New York	2,053	647,701 2,095,553	5,222,859	103	107
35	Pennsylvania	2,563	2,762,498	7,732,170	110	112
	Total	5,465	5,684,167	14,988,281	106%	108%
40	Region	172	227,685	738,830	119%	117%
41	Illinois	2,337	2,500,866	7,615,711	112	110
12	Indiana	1,376	1,621,697	4,723,762	110	109
43	Kentucky	212	171,944	498,759	116	124
44	Michigan	1,496	1,729,108	5,764,989	131	129
45	Ohio	1,726	2,407,660	7,448,841	118	117
46 47	Wisconsin West Virginia	667 364	806,887 $323,510$	2,785,066 1,093,604	127 119	123 119
	Total	8,350	9,789,357	30,669,562	118%	117%
50	Region	38	43,016	166,856	135%	135%
51	Iowa	484	385,365	1,462,041	129	129
52	Kansas	375	305,220	1,089,609	129	138
53	Minnesota	291	216,390	818,385	129	123
54	Missouri	734	632,555	2,173,488	119	123
55	Nebraska	277	251,084	810,659	120	120
56	North Dakota	34	25,547	104,216	152	152
57	South Dakota	49	17,751	74,857	138	138
	Total	2,282	1,876,928	6,700,111	125%	127%
50	Region	11	7,850	22,861	130%*	130%
[0.01]	Colorado	106	93,476	298,959	129	126
62	Idaho	69	67,688	218,696	110	109
63	Montana Novedo	18	19,507	71,853	139 132	139 132
64 65	Nevada Utah	16 69	21,783 84,672	72,684 193,266	132 96	95
65 66	Wyoming	15	9,003	31,519	121*	121*
	Total	304	303,979	909,838	116%	114%

^{*}Less than \$50,000 of tabular claims.

TABLE 6-Continued

_			Size Groups	0-7	то 1957	P ACTUAL HOSPITAL ULAR
LOCATION CODE	STATE OR REGION	Number of Expe- rience Units	Daily Benefit Exposed	Actual Claims	Size Groups 0-7	All Size Groups
70 71 72 73	Region California Oregon Washington	11 426 86 96	19,829 456,110 47,266 106,945	62,164 1,436,923 116,824 303,764	111% 115 99 99	111% 117 99 96
	Total	619	630,150	1,919,675	111%	113%
80 81 82 83 84 85 86	Region Arizona Arkansas Louisiana New Mexico Oklahoma Texas	73 143 374 497 65 286 1,397	63,314 144,017 304,150 315,301 47,476 194,354 1,286,602	254,835 545,613 1,033,635 1,282,720 177,926 745,205 4,725,615	143% 139 120 143 122 127 130	143% 127 120 143 122 126 132
	Total	2,835	2,355,214	8,765,549	131%	131%
90	Region Alabama Florida Georgia Maryland Mississippi North Carolina South Carolina Tennessee Virginia	129 203 619 832 471 195 965 239 442 836	221,887 162,141 622,043 836,216 506,092 117,870 826,100 208,930 416,174 706,929	695,235 615,976 2,006,117 2,651,050 1,400,330 444,263 2,632,237 653,530 1,416,611 2,087,880	108% 141 119 119 105 131 117 119 126 116	108% 141 122 119 104 131 122 119 128 112
	Total	4,931	4,624,382	14,603,229	118%	118%
01 02	Hawaii Alaska	16 19	31,495 29,519	110,233 54,483	93% 90	96% 90
	Total, all loca- tions above	27,386	28,774,662	88,001,346	116%	116%
	All other†	656	1,419,038	4,626,488	115%	116%
	Total, all loca- tions	28,042	30,193,700	92,627,834	116%	116%

[†] Less than 75 per cent of employees in one state or region.

daily benefit provided be reasonably related to the level of hospital room-and-board charges. The area variations in experience shown in Table 6 may be due to variations in the relationship of miscellaneous charges to room-and-board charges in an area, variations in frequency or average duration of hospital confinement, or a combination of these factors. However, since the daily room-and-board benefit provided is limited to a dollar amount and the miscellaneous-fee benefits provided have aggregate dollar maximums, it is possible that a substantial part of the variations in experience for area shown in Table 6 is due to the frequency of hospital confinement.

TABLE 7

COMBINED 1960-62 POLICY YEARS' EXPERIENCE
EMPLOYEE AND DEPENDENT GROUP SURGICAL EXPENSE INSURANCE
ALL SIZE GROUPS, ALL INDUSTRIES

Plan Identification	Number of Experience Units	Maximum Indemnity Exposed per \$150 or \$200 Basic Unit	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actual to 1955 Surgical Tabular
Employee With obstetrical benefits \$150 schedule	5,240	1,475,888	6,559,925	111%
\$200 schedule	19,241	5,234,496	30,821,735	110
Total	24,481	6,710,384	37,381,660	110%
Dependent With obstetrical benefits				
\$150 schedule	3,089 18,666	695,560 3,114,123	8,975,430 49,792,675	104% 106
Total	21,755	3,809,683	58,768,105	106%
No obstetrical benefits \$150 schedule	386	99,134	964,151	127%
\$200 schedule	3,364	499,423	6,545,959	128
Total	3,750	598,557	7,510,110	128%

Note: Dependent obstetrical benefits are subject to a 9 months' waiting period.

The volume of hospital experience shown for California is relatively small and may be atypical because of the exclusion of employee hospital plans which are integrated with California UCD hospital benefits.

The results of the area analysis can be presented only as a composite experience of groups having various industry classifications, distributions of exposure by age, and different types of claim administration. Moreover, it should be understood that the experience of any particular area

is affected by various social and economic factors and that variations in experience may be chance fluctuations resulting from an insufficient volume of experience. The analysis indicates a higher claim level in the Plains States and the Southwestern States. A lower claim level is indicated in the Middle Atlantic States and the New England States. The experience of some states within a given region varies considerably from the region average. There may also be marked variations within a given state.

TABLE 8

EMPLOYEE AND DEPENDENT SURGICAL EXPERIENCE
RATIO OF ACTUAL CLAIMS TO 1957 SURGICAL TABULAR BY PLAN AND YEAR
SIZE GROUPS 0-7 ONLY, ALL INDUSTRIES

	\$150 Schedule				\$200 Schedule				
Policy Year	Number of Ex- perience Units	Maximum Indemnity Exposed per \$150 Basic Unit	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actual to 1957 Sur- gical Tabular	Number of Ex- perience Units	Maximum Indemnity Exposed per \$200 Basic Unit	Actual Claims	Ratio of Actual to 1957 Sur- gical Tabular	
	Employee, with Obstetrical Benefits								
1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962	3,466 2,358 2,137 2,090 1,691 1,343	634,950 422,219 389,772 402,255 326,005 245,771	2,657,390 1,786,986 1,732,522 1,781,763 1,402,202 1,085,844	103 109 109 106	7,832 6,127 6,621 7,125 6,361 5,394	1,372,404 1,006,267 1,147,791 1,305,363 1,153,320 984,546	5,620,354 6,667,432 7,459,059 6,643,355	103 107 106 107	
	Dependent, with Obstetrical Benefits								
1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962	1,937 1,335 1,207 1,248 1,010 791	264,337 191,401 179,727 203,616 164,906 117,641	2,350,427 2,316,635 2,535,782	99 104 100 101	6,880 5,188 5,833 6,863 6,160 5,484	609,919 716,638 871,639 95,890	13,413,743 9,301,983 11,205,245 13,575,316 12,782,800 11,032,387	102 104 104 107	
	Dependent, No Obstetrical Benefits								
1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962	270 151 134 141 130 107	40,630 20,865 24,675 25,015 25,666 20,060	335,249 171,911 196,981 236,412 239,373 190,580	107 104 123 122	1,037 722 803 1,166 1,151 1,016	127,180 69,196 86,135 118,881 127,841 111,191	843,423 1,093,695 1,420,012	119 124 116 123	

TABLE 9

EMPLOYEE AND DEPENDENT 1960-62 POLICY YEARS' EXPERIENCE
ANALYSIS OF SURGICAL EXPERIENCE BY STATE
ALL INDUSTRIES

			RATIO OF ACTUAL TO 1957 SURGICAL TABULAR			
Location Code	STATE OR REGION	Number of Experience Units	Maximum Surgical Indemnity Exposed	Actual Claims	Size Groups 0-7	All Size Groups
20	Region Connecticut Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont	70 1,081 232 1,977 231 99 210	13,564 246,497 38,942 329,866 61,668 16,920 53,562	99,783 2,236,481 339,203 2,890,775 488,250 115,560 278,542	93% 99 106 101 95 96 104	93% 100 106 104 95 96 104
30	Total Region	3.900	741,019 16,579	6,448,594 146,930	100% 92%	102% 94%
31 32 33 34 35	Delaware Dist. Columbia New Jersey New York Pennsylvania	20 170 1,042 3,424 4,053	2,629 25,613 120,573 498,018 636,490	23,324 206,960 955,986 4,039,735 5,682,860	106* 100 92 96 103	106* 99 97 101 104
	Total	8,764	1,299,902	11,055,795	99%	102%
40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47.	Region Illinois Indiana Kentucky Michigan Ohio Wisconsin West Virginia	312 4,532 2,050 348 2,603 3,220 1,004 565	67,372 734,798 320,425 37,463 404,010 642,383 181,558 61,928	745,818 6,044,826 3,092,040 335,093 4,297,916 6,079,605 2,001,981 528,134	114% 94 109 107 117 105 113 103	114% 98 108 107 118 108 116 103
	Total	14,634	2,449,937	23,125,413	105%	107%
50	Region Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska North Dakota South Dakota	61 897 626 665 1,128 407 110 127	20,190 112,066 86,373 95,149 173,654 45,406 9,858 11,135	218,789 1,158,928 898,109 1,279,120 1,607,184 453,740 85,869 117,187	117% 115 110 125 99 110 101 103	117% 115 115 125 101 110 101 103
*	Total	4,021	553,831	5,818,926	110%	111%

^{*} Less than \$50,000 of tabular claims.

TABLE 9-Continued

_			RATIO OF ACTUAL TO 1957 SURGICAL TABULAR			
LOCATION CODE	STATE OF REGION	Number of Experience Units	Maximum Surgical Indemnity Exposed	Actual Claims	Size Groups 0-7	All Size Groups
60	Region Colorado Idaho Montana Nevada Utah Wyoming	10 197 114 67 82 189 27	724 30,816 18,953 11,979 15,075 30,146 2,275	8,379 333,711 184,835 129,988 145,819 349,440 25,207	144%* 117 110 117 105 125 111*	144%* 119 110 117 105 125 111*
	Total	686	109,968	1,177,379	116%	117%
70 71 72 73	Region California Oregon Washington	29 3,490 216 219	6,314 459,672 28,860 34,522	66,102 4,893,808 318,035 360,064	115% 122 119 115	115% 125 120 112
ŀ	Total	3,954	529,368	5,638,009	121%	124%
80 81 82 83 84 85 86	Region Arizona Arkansas Louisiana New Mexico Oklahoma Texas	84 271 510 864 139 594 2,870	10,016 36,863 63,993 91,989 19,920 66,907 391,306	97,131 413,560 625,663 972,022 229,428 763,003 3,941,627	107% 126 112 121 115 126 118	107% 142 110 122 109 126 119
	Total	5,332	680,994	7,042,434	119%	120%
90	Region Alabama Florida Georgia Maryland Mississippi North Carolina South Carolina Tennessee Virginia	123 309 1,088 968 745 380 1,211 360 317 1,219	26,923 56,915 137,307 126,492 117,313 37,203 134,895 50,124 52,574 125,863	233,630 525,784 1,376,438 1,129,151 1,000,591 371,937 1,246,638 452,604 486,570 1,038,645	91% 109 113 106 100 107 104 113 115	101% 110 114 107 101 107 105 113 115 106
	Total	6,720	865,609	7,861,988	106%	107%
01	Hawaii Alaska	28 41	5,936 3,520	80,681 31,761	107% 111*	123% 111*
	Total, all loca- tions above	48,080	7,240,084	68,280,980	107%	108%
	All other†	1,190	448,861	4,489,083	106%	112%
	Total, all loca- tions	49,270	7,688,945	72,770,063	107%	109%

^{*} Less than \$50,000 of tabular claims.
† Less than 75 per cent of employees in one state or region.

SURGICAL

The basic results of the study of Surgical Expense insurance are presented in Table 7 for the three latest policy years combined. The results appear to indicate that dependent plans with no obstetrical benefits have a nonmaternity claim level considerably higher than plans that provide obstetrical benefits. This difference may be due to the age of the group or other factors not measured by the tabular.

The trend of experience for employee and dependent experience is indicated in Table 8 for each of the six latest policy years. Employee and dependent experience is virtually unchanged since 1961, except for an indicated increase in the claim cost of dependent plans with no obstetrical benefits.

Table 9 contains an analysis of surgical experience by state corresponding to Table 6 for hospital experience. Some of the warnings given with respect to the interpretation of the hospital experience by area also apply to the surgical experience. The Committee would like to point out that the tabulars do not include a factor for variations in claim cost by area or by amount of schedule maximum.

The surgical analysis by area indicates a higher claim level in the Mountain States, the Pacific States, and the Southwestern States. A lower claim level is indicated in the Middle Atlantic States and the New England States. The experience of some states within a given region varies considerably from the region average. There may also be marked variations within a given state.

The surgical variations in ratios of actual to tabular claims by area are probably due primarily to the variation in claim frequencies, since nearly all claim payments are for the maximum amount allowed for the procedure performed. If frequencies are the same, minor variations in the ratios by area may still occur because of differing frequency distributions of procedures performed, provided such distributions result in different average benefits.