TRANSACTIONS OF SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES 1973 REPORTS

I. GROUP WEEKLY INDEMNITY INSURANCE

of the morbidity experience of Group Weekly Indemnity insurance. In compiling this report, the Committee has included the available experience of employer/employee groups and has excluded the experience of trusteeship and association cases insuring employees of the member employers and the experience of union cases, whether or not insurance depends upon continued employment. The experience of plans written under State Cash Sickness Laws has been excluded. Beginning with this report, the Committee is no longer accumulating Canadian experience because of the impact of the Canadian Unemployment Insurance Act.

RATIO OF ACTUAL TO TABULAR CLAIMS

Throughout this report experience is presented in the form of ratios of actual to tabular claims, based on the 1947-49 weekly indemnity tabulars, as reported in the 1962 Reports. Caution must be used in interpreting the data contained in this report because, among other reasons, the 1947-49 tabulars may not accurately reflect current claim patterns. The maternity tabulars do not reflect the substantial decline in birth rates in recent years, with the result that the actual-to-tabular ratios for maternity benefits are generally somewhere near 50 per cent, while the actual-to-tabular ratios for nonmaternity benefits are generally near 100 per cent or even higher; this wide difference is concealed and may create distortions when the experience for maternity and that for nonmaternity are combined. The tabulars also do not reflect certain factors, such as age distribution, industry classification, or size of case, which may have a relevant effect on the experience results.

CONTRIBUTING COMPANIES

The Committee wishes to express its gratitude to the companies that generously contributed data to this study. This report contains experience for the years 1968, 1969, 1970, 1971, and 1972. Eight companies contributed data for all five years, and one additional company contributed data for 1968. While the results generally reflect the composite effect of variations in company practice in administration and claim procedures, as well as variations in experience among groups, it should be noted that the contribution of one company represents a major portion of the total experience.

The majority of the companies contribute exposures and claims based upon policy years ending in the calendar year designated. If the renewal dates for all cases included in the study were distributed uniformly over the year, then the central point of the exposure for each policy year would be approximately January 1 of that year. However, this assumption may not be very precise because of a concentration of policy renewals in January and July.

The following companies contributed experience for this study:

Aetna Life Insurance Company
Connecticut General Life Insurance Company
Continental Assurance Company
Equitable Life Assurance Society
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company
Occidental Life Insurance Company of California
Prudential Insurance Company of America
The Travelers Insurance Company

ANALYSIS OF EXPERIENCE

Table 1 shows experience for the latest three policy years combined for all size groups with a six-week maternity benefit. Table 2 shows separate experience for the same period for maternity and nonmaternity benefits, with jumbo groups (those containing 1,000 or more insured employees) excluded. Table 2 also shows the experience for plans with no maternity benefits. Table 3 displays the ratios of actual to tabular claims on nonjumbo groups for each of the last five years. Table 4 shows the experience by size of case.

Table 1 shows ratios on twenty-six-week plans, which continue to run higher than those on thirteen-week plans. While the ratios in Tables 2 and 3 confirm this result for plans with maternity benefits, the plans with no maternity benefits generally show opposite results. There is no apparent explanation for this difference, which may be caused by differences in age, industry, size of group, or other characteristics not reflected in the tabulars.

Tables 2 and 3 show that the ratios for plans with no maternity benefits are generally lower than the corresponding ratios for plans with maternity benefits. Table 3 shows that this result, which may also be caused by plan characteristics not reflected in the tabulars, has existed for several years.

Table 3 ratios for 1972 experience are lower than the 1971 ratios, with very few exceptions.

Table 4 shows that the ratios tend to increase as the size of the group increases.

Two years ago we published an analysis of experience by female percentage. Table 5 shows that for nonjumbo groups with no maternity benefits, with all benefit periods combined, and with more than 10 per cent female, there is a tendency for the ratios to increase as the female percentage increases. The table also shows a relatively higher ratio for groups with less than 11 per cent female. Both of these results are consistent with those published in the 1971 Reports.

TABLE 1

GROUP WEEKLY INDEMNITY EXPERIENCE
PLANS WITH SIX WEEKS' MATERNITY BENEFIT
ALL SIZE GROUPS
COMBINED 1970-72 POLICY YEARS' EXPERIENCE, BY PLAN

Plan	No. of Experience Units	Weekly Indemnity Exposed (000)	Actual Claims Including Maternity (000)	Ratio of Actual to 1947-49 Weekly Indemnity Tabular	
1-4-13 4-4-13 1-8-13 8-8-13	750 248 2,848 528	4,397 1,245 17,770 4,060	3,030 659 12,858 2,866	97% 82 109 104	
Total, 13-week plans	4,374	27,472	19.413	105%	
1-4-26 4-4-26 1-8-26 8-8-26	337 52 2,061 261	4,460 670 28,117 7,997	4,852 506 28,196 5,147	133% 86 133 88	
Total, 26-week plans	2,771	41,244	38,701	124%	
Total, all plans	7,085	68,716	58,114	117%	

TABLE 2 GROUP WEEKLY INDEMNITY EXPERIENCE GROUPS WITH LESS THAN 1,000 EMPLOYEES EXPOSED 1970-72 POLICY YEARS' EXPERIENCE, BY PLAN

	Nonmatern	ITY AND MATERN	ITY COMBINED EX	CPERIENCE*		Nonwa	TERNITY AND MAT	ERNITY SEPAI	RATE EXPERIE	NUE*	
PLAN No. of	Weekly Actual	Ratio of Actual to	No. of Experience Units	Weekly Indemnity Exposed (000)	Actual Claims		Ratio of Actual to 1947-49 Weekly Indemnity Tabular				
	Experience Units Exposed (000)	Claims 1947~49 (000) Indemnity Tabular			Nonmaternity (000)	Maternity (000)	Non- maternity	Maternity	Combined		
					Plans with 6 W	eeks' Maternit	y Benefit				
13-week: 4th-day sickness 8th-day sickness	988 3,311	4,513 18,311	2,909 13,049	93% 108	726 2,114	3,420 12,439	2,273 8,307	88 558	103% 116	43°° 49	98% 106
Total	4,299	22,824	15,958	105%	2,840	15,859	10,580	646	113%	48%	105%
26-week: 4th-day sickness 8th-day sickness	375 2,240	3,996 21,585	3,948 19,748	118C 120	281 1,389	2,714 14,332	2,662 13,282	53 468	124% 131	38% 47	119% 124
Total	2,615	25,581	23,696	119%	1,670	17,046	15,944	521	130%	46%	123%
		1			Plans with N	o Maternity B	Benefits	1		<u> </u>	
13-week: 4th-day sickness 8th-day sickness					455 6,677	2,796 30,013	1,900 17,913		103% 102		
Total					7,132	32,809	19,813		102%		
26-week: 4th-day sickness 8th-day sickness					402 7,022	3,875 36,487	2,887 26,179		91¢/6 98		
Total					7,424	40,362	29,066		97°%		

^{*} The separate experience exposure is less than the combined experience exposure because separate experience is not available for all groups.

TABLE 3—GROUP WEEKLY INDEMNITY EXPERIENCE GROUPS WITH LESS THAN 1,000 EMPLOYEES EXPOSED 1968-72 POLICY YEARS' EXPERIENCE, BY PLAN

	RATIOS OF ACTUAL TO 1947-49 TABULAR FOR POLICY YEAR ENDING IN:						
PLAN	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972		
	Plans with 6 Weeks' Maternity Benefit						
Nonmaternity and maternity combined experience:							
13-week: 4th-day sickness8th-day sickness	92% 102	97% 109	94% 112	92% 108	93% 103		
Total	100%	106%	108%	105%	101%		
26-week: 4th-day sickness	112% 110	113% 121	118% 118	124% 122	110% 120		
Total	111%	119%	118%	122%	118%		
Nonmaternity and maternity separate experience:* Nonmaternity: 13-week:							
4th-day sickness 8th-day sickness	102% 110	109% 120	106% 121	99% 113	103% 113		
Total	108%	118%	117%	110%	111%		
26-week: 4th-day sickness 8th-day sickness	118% 123	118% 130	120% 127	134% 133	120% 133		
Total	122%	127%	125%	133%	131%		
Maternity (all plans)	51%	46%	51%	51%	40%		
Combined: 13-week: 4th-day sickness 8th-day sickness	97% 102	104% 110	100% 112	96% 106	97% 102		
Total	101%	109%	109%	103%	101%		
26-week: 4th-day sickness 8-th day sickness	115% 117	114% 123	115% 120	128% 126	114% 125		
Total	117%	121%	119%	126%	123%		
	Plans with No Maternity Benefits						
13-week: 4th-day sickness8th-day sickness	99% 102	99% 105	107% 105	102% 102	97% 99		
Total	102%	105%	106%	102%	99%		
26-week: 4th-day sickness 8th-day sickness	80% 91	103% 97	91% 94	94% 105	87% 104		
Total	90%	98%	94%	103%	102%		

^{*} The nonmaternity and maternity separate experience is also included in the nonmaternity and maternity combined experience.

TABLE 4

GROUP WEEKLY INDEMNITY EXPERIENCE
ALL SIZE GROUPS*

COMBINED 1970-72 POLICY YEARS' EXPERIENCE,
BY SIZE OF EXPERIENCE UNIT

Size	No. of Experience Units	Weekly Indemnity Exposed (000)	Actual Claims Including Maternity (000)	Ratio of Actual to 1947-49 Weekly Indemnity Tabular				
	Plans with Six Weeks' Maternity Benefit							
< 50 lives	2,172 1,814 1,763 792 373	2,822 6,044 13,775 13,292 12,471	1,772 3,916 10,948 11,344 11,675	91% 93 111 117 126				
Total < 1,000	6,914	48,404	39,655	113%				
1,000 or more	171	20,312	18,459	126%				
Grand total	7,085	68,716	58,114	117%				
	Plans with No Maternity Benefit							
< 50 lives 50–99 100–249 250–499 500–999	6,764 3,659 2,866 901 366	10,662 13,164 21,980 14,929 12,437	6,101 7,884 15,263 10,519 9,113	89% 84 106 106 106				
Total <1,000	14,556	73,172	48,880	99%				
1,000 or more	193	24,705	18,568	102%				
Grand total	14,749	97,877	67,448	100%				

TABLE 5

GROUP WEEKLY INDEMNITY EXPERIENCE
GROUPS WITH LESS THAN 1,000 EMPLOYEES EXPOSED
1970-72 POLICY YEARS' EXPERIENCE, BY FEMALE PER CENT
PLANS WITH NO MATERNITY BENEFIT, ALL BENEFIT PERIODS COMBINED

Female Per Cent	No. of Experience Units	Weekly Indemnity Exposed (000)	Actual Claims (000)	Ratio of Actual to 1947-49 Weekly Indemnity Tabular
<11%	6,347	29,196	18,954	101%
11-21%	2,455	12,158	6,616	86
21-31%	1,526	8,456	5,231	93
31-41%	1,157	6,542	4,471	101
11–51%	879	4,871	3,585	102
51–61%	610	3,507	2,746	107
51-71%	507	2,854	2,348	109
'1-81%	478	2,402	2,122	112
31–91%	420	2,493	2,214	108
01-100%	177	692	592	107
Total	14,556	73,172	48,880	99%

