

SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES

Article from:

Small Talk Newsletter

May 2001 – Issue No. 17



small

NEWSLETTER OF THE

URANCE COMPANY SECTION

MAY 2001

ISSUE 17

Small Talk from the High Chair

by Edward J. Slaby

n the introductory piece I wrote for this newsletter as the incoming Chair of the Section Council, I commented on the enjoyment of actuarial work and promised to expand on this topic in a future issue. Well, time flies and the editor's memory is long, and so what follows will, I hope, redeem that promise.

Recently I was interviewed by a consultant that had been retained by our CEO to advise on the latest installment of strategic and operational planning. I knew that his major interest was in the organizational structure of the company, and, in particular any opportunities for Doing Things Differently, which is to say with fewer people. So it was disconcerting to have him ask, with his first question, whether I considered my work to add anything to the overall benefit of humankind. He was smiling as he asked this, but I was intrigued and disconcerted by his choice of question. What on earth did this have to do with anything? I replied somewhat defensively that I saw my role as a technician and manager involved with the design and management of systems to transfer and spread financial risk, etc. Later, I thought of all the clever retorts and more elevated sentiments I could have expressed, but, as usual, wit came with a lagged response.

This experience was reminiscent of a similar line of questioning. What do you do at work, Dad? Do you like it?

Let's consider this by asking the following question:

Do actuaries love their work and believe it is inherently good? Or

(continued on page 3)

Gramm Leach Bliley Survey

talk

by Edward J. Slaby

uring a recent meeting of the Smaller Insurance Company Section Council, the discussion turned to the effect of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Federal legislation on our member

ALLER

companies. This bill, which became the law of the land in 1999, seemed at the time full of ominous portent for smaller size companies in the life insurance business. We decided to survey a group of people likely to have a privileged viewpoint on this issue, namely you — our membership. A

survey questionnaire was prepared and placed on the Society of Actuaries Web site, with a blast e-mail sent to our membership to provide them a link to the survey response document. Your response was excellent, with 235 replies out of a universe of 660 potential responses.

As promised, here are the results of this survey. Six out of seven questions required a yes/no response. These ques-

> tions are shown in the table below, followed by the percent of the responses which were affirmative. Question 3 offered a choice of three responses, and the percent of the responses for each choice is shown for that question.

Question 1

The Financial Services Modernization Act (FSMA) has

removed restrictions on banks affiliating with securities firms and insurance companies. Since passage of this law has your company had or considered any proposals by banks for affiliation?

(continued on page 4, column 1)

In this Issue

Small Talk from the High Chair	
by Edward J. Slaby	1
Gramm Leach Bliley Survey	
by Edward J. Slaby	1
From the Editor	
by James R. Thompson	2
Breaking News — Legislation Introduced To Restrict Small Policies	
small Face Amount Policy Legislation	
by Alex Zeid	6
Outsourcing the Investment Function: Opportunities for the Smaller Insurer	
by Anson J. Glacy, Jr	8
The Other Insurance Products Auto Dealers	s Sel
by John H. Kerper	10
Small Policies = Big Controversy	
by Jack Ladley	12

Life Liquidity Risk
by John E. Niehus15
Standard Forms Could Bring Life Insurers Big Savings
by Lawrence Garvey16
NAIC Receives Morbidity Table for Credit Disability
by Robert J. Butler18
New Valuation Standards for Credit Insurance Companies
by Steven L. Ostlund19
Input at the Next LHATF Meeting
by James R. Thompson19
The Status of Proposed New Actuarial Opinion and Memorandum Regulation
by James R. Thompson20



Small Talk from the High Chair continued from page 1

even: Do actuaries feel fulfilled as men and women? Some would say that work should not be expected to be a source of fulfillment. We work to provide the means to a life, not life itself. But I have known enlightened human resources managers who recognize that we spend the majority of our waking hours somehow involved with work, and that workers should have a sense of fulfillment in exchange for this investment of time. I believe that fulfillment begins with a sense that our labors add to the overall benefit of our fellow humans. We can point to the great good done by actuaries in the invention and elaboration of the legal reserve system. This is a wonderful achievement, with many stories that are the stuff of legend in our profession, beginning with the heroic to this system, and its own lore and heroes. We carry this torch to the next generation. Long may it burn!

On an everyday level, what is the nature of the actuarial experience? What is it like to be an actuary now, at this time? A number of years ago, I attended a meeting of a local actuarial club in the Southern city where I lived and worked. I recall the fulminations of a retired member, a former officer of our Society, who railed against the materialists who were taking over the insurance business. I suppose he meant businessmen who were primarily profit driven, as compared to the folks who ran the evangelical insurance organizations he remembered from his actuarial youth. I mention this simply to highlight the fact that this business has undergone and survived many changes, both external and internal, and it is the

"I believe that fulfillment begins with a sense that our labors add to the overall benefit of our fellow humans. We can point to the great good done by actuaries in the invention and elaboration of the legal reserve system."

struggles of Elizur Wright, and continuing to our day in the judgment calls made by Valuation Actuaries who annually do an asset adequacy analysis of their company's reserves. This system, now elaborated to keep up with new financial products and increased investment volatility, has been an actuarial triumph. And every practice area — pension, health insurance and others — has its own counterpart destiny of each generation of actuaries to cope with the changes that come their way, as they have for so many ratebooks. With these changes comes uncertainty, but also, as compensation comes, the opportunity to be creative, which I propose is the main delight of our work, or any work. Every day, we are blessed with situations that engage our creative faculties, and the creativity we apply to solutions is the most important contribution that we make to our employers. We should be mindful of how much fun we are having in our work. We can be gratified by the important contributions that we make for the welfare of society. We are constantly challenged by important problems and need to use all of our creative abilities to effect solutions. And we're paid well, in addition!

The young actuaries that I know have a lot of enthusiasm and pride in their work, and have the same craving for achievement that has always characterized this profession. They can be confident that actuarial work will continue to be a source of enjoyment and satisfaction to its practitioners.

You see, my daughter, I find ways to keep promises. And I do like my work. A lot!

Edward J. Slaby, FSA, MAAA, is senior vice president of Investments at Unity Mutual Life Insurance Company in Syracuse, NY. He can be reached at eslaby@unity-life.com.