Measuring the Rate Change of a Non-Static Book of Property and Casualty Insurance Business

Neil M. Bodoff,* FCAS, MAAA

Copyright 2008 by the Society of Actuaries.
All rights reserved by the Society of Actuaries. Permission is granted to make brief excerpts for a published review. Permission is also granted to make limited numbers of copies of items in this monograph for personal, internal, classroom or other instructional use, on condition that the foregoing copyright notice is used so as to give reasonable notice of the Society's copyright. This consent for free limited copying without prior consent of the Society does not extend to making copies for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for inclusion in new collective works or for resale.

* Neil Bodoff is senior vice president at Willis Re. He currently focuses on client solutions, with emphasis on property and casualty insurance. Neil is a fellow of the Casualty Actuarial Society and a member of the American Academy of Actuaries. Please contact the author at neil.bodoff@willis.com or neil_bodoff@yahoo.com.

Abstract

Motivation. Calculated rate change factors can substantially affect loss ratio forecasts and thus are critical parameters for enterprise risk management (ERM). However, current methods are not well suited to a changing book of business.

Method. The analysis first explores the conceptual underpinnings of rate change and then applies the conclusions of this analysis to several practical problems.

Results. The proposed approach shows improved accuracy as compared to current methods, with particular significance for a non-static book of business.

Conclusions. I conclude that "rate change" measures the change in premium *relative to loss potential*. One can then apply this conceptual formulation in order to solve several problems that one confronts in practice: how to adjust for shifts in limits and deductibles, how to blend together changes in exposures when the portfolio uses several different exposure bases, and how to properly weight together granular measures of rate change (e.g., for each policy, subline, etc.) into an overall rate change for the entire portfolio.