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The following file contains revised pages for the RP-2000 Mortality Tables Report dated July 2000. These revised and additional pages provide comparisons of annuities calculated using both the RP-2000 Combined Healthy table and the RP-2000 split Employee and Healthy Annuitant tables. The original pages only had values related to the Combined Healthy table.

## Chapter 8 - Comparison of RP-2000 to Other Tables

## Comparison to GAM-83 and UP-94 Tables

Tables 8-1 through 8-3 compare annuity values at ten-year age intervals from age 30 to age 90 , and at age 65, for the GAM-83, UP-94 without projection, UP-94 projected to 2000, and the RP2000 with and without projection. Tables 8-1A through 8-3A use the RP2000 combined healthy table. Tables 8-1B through 8-3B use the RP-2000 employee table for ages below 65 and the healthy annuitant tables for ages 65 and older. The lower section of each table gives the percentage change in the annuity values if the mortality assumption for non-disabled lives was changed to the RP-2000 table without projection. Comparisons are also made between the RP2000 without projection and the RP-2000 with generational projection. The annuities in the table are annuities due, paid monthly. Before age 65 , the annuities are deferred to age 65 . At and above age 65 , the annuities are immediate. Values are presented at 5,7 , and 9 percent interest rates.

In general, the RP-2000 values are between 2 and 11 percent higher for males and between 3 and 5 percent lower for females than the GAM-83 values. The RP-2000 values for males under age 80 are within 4 percent of the values based on the UP-94 table projected to 2000. For males at ages 80 and 90 the RP-2000 values are substantially lower than the projected UP-94 values. For females the RP-2000 values are lower than the projected UP-94 values by about 2 to 4 percent. On average, the male mortality experience used to develop the RP-2000 Table is similar to that of the UP-94 table with projection Scale AA. The female mortality is higher than the projected UP-94 table. This suggests that the mortality improvement predicted by Scale AA between the mid-point of the two female tables did not occur.

The GAM-83 table included a 10 percent margin for mortality improvement so the differences between GAM-83 and RP-2000 are lower than would be produced by applying the full mortality improvement for the 17 years between the two tables. Also, the GAM-83 female mortality rates were estimated based on relatively little actual experience so these are not as comparable to the RP-2000 tables as are the male rates.

| Table 8-1A |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparison of GAM-83, UP-94, UP-94 Projected to 2000, and Combined Healthy RP-2000 Annuity Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5\% Interest |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Annuity Due, Deferred to Age 65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | GAM-83 | UP-94 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { UP-94 } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \text { to } 2000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RP-2000 } \\ \text { Combined } \\ \text { Healthy } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RP-2000 } \\ \text { Generational } \\ \text { Combined Healthy } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 1.6719 | 1.7381 | 1.7945 | 1.8200 | 2.1210 |
| 40 | 2.7461 | 2.8578 | 2.9496 | 2.9865 | 3.3639 |
| 50 | 4.5723 | 4.7355 | 4.8813 | 4.9376 | 5.3588 |
| 60 | 7.9085 | 8.0932 | 8.3013 | 8.3474 | 8.7291 |
| 65 | 10.6849 | 10.9212 | 11.1488 | 11.1405 | 11.4608 |
| 70 | 9.0686 | 9.3717 | 9.5971 | 9.4778 | 9.7162 |
| 80 | 5.9748 | 6.2075 | 6.3437 | 6.0918 | 6.1763 |
| 90 | 3.6941 | 3.6067 | 3.6564 | 3.3759 | 3.3914 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 2.1306 | 2.0757 | 2.0996 | 2.0270 | 2.1835 |
| 40 | 3.4866 | 3.3986 | 3.4365 | 3.3168 | 3.5108 |
| 50 | 5.7373 | 5.5942 | 5.6511 | 5.4623 | 5.6820 |
| 60 | 9.5875 | 9.3480 | 9.4312 | 9.1490 | 9.3672 |
| 65 | 12.5635 | 12.3187 | 12.4164 | 12.0795 | 12.2786 |
| 70 | 10.9401 | 10.7937 | 10.9026 | 10.5153 | 10.6821 |
| 80 | 7.5385 | 7.3818 | 7.4803 | 7.2304 | 7.3054 |
| 90 | 4.5369 | 4.2686 | 4.3079 | 4.3052 | 4.3213 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Change in Monthly Annuity Due |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From GAM-83 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From UP-94 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | From UP-94 Projected to 2000 to RP-2000 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From RP-2000 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \text { Generational } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 8.86\% | 4.71\% | 1.42\% | 16.54\% |  |
| 40 | 8.75\% | 4.50\% | 1.25\% | 12.64\% |  |
| 50 | 7.99\% | 4.27\% | 1.15\% | 8.53\% |  |
| 60 | 5.55\% | 3.14\% | 0.56\% | 4.57\% |  |
| 65 | 4.26\% | 2.01\% | -0.07\% | 2.88\% |  |
| 70 | 4.51\% | 1.13\% | -1.24\% | 2.52\% |  |
| 80 | 1.96\% | -1.86\% | -3.97\% | 1.39\% |  |
| 90 | -8.61\% | -6.40\% | -7.67\% | 0.46\% |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | -4.86\% | -2.35\% | -3.46\% | 7.72\% |  |
| 40 | -4.87\% | -2.41\% | -3.48\% | 5.85\% |  |
| 50 | -4.79\% | -2.36\% | -3.34\% | 4.02\% |  |
| 60 | -4.57\% | -2.13\% | -2.99\% | 2.38\% |  |
| 65 | -3.85\% | -1.94\% | -2.71\% | 1.65\% |  |
| 70 | -3.88\% | -2.58\% | -3.55\% | 1.59\% |  |
| 80 | -4.09\% | -2.05\% | -3.34\% | 1.04\% |  |
| 90 | -5.11\% | 0.86\% | -0.06\% | 0.37\% |  |


| Table 8-1B |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparison of GAM-83, UP-94, UP-94 Projected to 2000, and Employee/Healthy Annuitant RP-2000 Annuity Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5\% Interest |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Annuity Due, Deferred to Age 65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | GAM-83 | UP-94 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { UP-94 } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \text { to } 2000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | RP2000 Employee/ Healthy Annuitant | RP-2000 Generational Employee Healthy Annuitant |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 1.6719 | 1.7381 | 1.7945 | 1.8560 | 2.1470 |
| 40 | 2.7461 | 2.8578 | 2.9496 | 3.0455 | 3.4123 |
| 50 | 4.5723 | 4.7355 | 4.8813 | 5.0350 | 5.4491 |
| 60 | 7.9085 | 8.0932 | 8.3013 | 8.4575 | 8.8410 |
| 65 | 10.6849 | 10.9212 | 11.1488 | 11.1203 | 11.4403 |
| 70 | 9.0686 | 9.3717 | 9.5971 | 9.4778 | 9.7162 |
| 80 | 5.9748 | 6.2075 | 6.3437 | 6.0918 | 6.1763 |
| 90 | 3.6941 | 3.6067 | 3.6564 | 3.3759 | 3.3914 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 2.1306 | 2.0757 | 2.0996 | 2.0504 | 2.2049 |
| 40 | 3.4866 | 3.3986 | 3.4365 | 3.3551 | 3.5472 |
| 50 | 5.7373 | 5.5942 | 5.6511 | 5.5253 | 5.7439 |
| 60 | 9.5875 | 9.3480 | 9.4312 | 9.2292 | 9.4485 |
| 65 | 12.5635 | 12.3187 | 12.4164 | 12.0578 | 12.2567 |
| 70 | 10.9401 | 10.7937 | 10.9026 | 10.5153 | 10.6821 |
| 80 | 7.5385 | 7.3818 | 7.4803 | 7.2304 | 7.3054 |
| 90 | 4.5369 | 4.2686 | 4.3079 | 4.3052 | 4.3213 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Change in Monthly Annuity Due |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From GAM-83 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From UP-94 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | From UP-94 Projected to 2000 to RP-2000 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From RP-2000 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \text { Generational } \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 11.01\% | 6.78\% | 3.43\% | 15.68\% |  |
| 40 | 10.90\% | 6.57\% | 3.25\% | 12.04\% |  |
| 50 | 10.12\% | 6.33\% | 3.15\% | 8.22\% |  |
| 60 | 6.94\% | 4.50\% | 1.88\% | 4.53\% |  |
| 65 | 4.08\% | 1.82\% | -0.26\% | 2.88\% |  |
| 70 | 4.51\% | 1.13\% | -1.24\% | 2.52\% |  |
| 80 | 1.96\% | -1.86\% | -3.97\% | 1.39\% |  |
| 90 | -8.61\% | -6.40\% | -7.67\% | 0.46\% |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | -3.76\% | -1.22\% | -2.34\% | 7.53\% |  |
| 40 | -3.77\% | -1.28\% | -2.37\% | 5.72\% |  |
| 50 | -3.70\% | -1.23\% | -2.23\% | 3.96\% |  |
| 60 | -3.74\% | -1.27\% | -2.14\% | 2.38\% |  |
| 65 | -4.02\% | -2.12\% | -2.89\% | 1.65\% |  |
| 70 | -3.88\% | -2.58\% | -3.55\% | 1.59\% |  |
| 80 | -4.09\% | -2.05\% | -3.34\% | 1.04\% |  |
| 90 | -5.11\% | 0.86\% | -0.06\% | 0.37\% |  |


| Table 8-2A |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparison of GAM-83, UP-94, UP-94 Projected to 2000, and Combined Healthy RP-2000 Annuity Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7\% Interest |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Annuity Due, Deferred to Age 65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | GAM-83 | UP-94 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { UP-94 } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \text { to } 2000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RP-2000 } \\ \text { Combined } \\ \text { Healthy } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RP-2000 } \\ \text { Generational } \\ \text { Combined Healthy } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.7471 | 0.7740 | 0.7971 | 0.8100 | 0.9280 |
| 40 | 1.4820 | 1.5370 | 1.5823 | 1.6052 | 1.7830 |
| 50 | 2.9800 | 3.0757 | 3.1622 | 3.2049 | 3.4410 |
| 60 | 6.2247 | 6.3481 | 6.4946 | 6.5434 | 6.7940 |
| 65 | 9.2421 | 9.4138 | 9.5853 | 9.5968 | 9.8220 |
| 70 | 8.0059 | 8.2450 | 8.4238 | 8.3430 | 8.5210 |
| 80 | 5.4804 | 5.6845 | 5.8012 | 5.5945 | 5.6640 |
| 90 | 3.4933 | 3.4179 | 3.4631 | 3.2074 | 3.2210 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.9307 | 0.9088 | 0.9181 | 0.8899 | 0.9483 |
| 40 | 1.8394 | 1.7969 | 1.8147 | 1.7585 | 1.8451 |
| 50 | 3.6554 | 3.5720 | 3.6037 | 3.4973 | 3.6136 |
| 60 | 7.3769 | 7.2084 | 7.2632 | 7.0742 | 7.2100 |
| 65 | 10.6232 | 10.4390 | 10.5083 | 10.2643 | 10.3974 |
| 70 | 9.4502 | 9.3420 | 9.4243 | 9.1227 | 9.2420 |
| 80 | 6.7936 | 6.6724 | 6.7551 | 6.5402 | 6.6000 |
| 90 | 4.2524 | 4.0113 | 4.0465 | 4.0321 | 4.0459 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Change in Monthly Annuity Due |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From GAM-83 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From UP-94 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | From UP-94 Projected to 2000 to RP-2000 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { From RP-2000 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \text { Generational } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 8.42\% | 4.65\% | 1.62\% | 14.57\% |  |
| 40 | 8.31\% | 4.44\% | 1.45\% | 11.08\% |  |
| 50 | 7.55\% | 4.20\% | 1.35\% | 7.37\% |  |
| 60 | 5.12\% | 3.08\% | 0.75\% | 3.83\% |  |
| 65 | 3.84\% | 1.94\% | 0.12\% | 2.35\% |  |
| 70 | 4.21\% | 1.19\% | -0.96\% | 2.13\% |  |
| 80 | 2.08\% | -1.58\% | -3.56\% | 1.24\% |  |
| 90 | -8.18\% | -6.16\% | -7.38\% | 0.42\% |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | -4.38\% | -2.08\% | -3.07\% | 6.56\% |  |
| 40 | -4.40\% | -2.14\% | -3.10\% | 4.92\% |  |
| 50 | -4.33\% | -2.09\% | -2.95\% | 3.33\% |  |
| 60 | -4.10\% | -1.86\% | -2.60\% | 1.92\% |  |
| 65 | -3.38\% | -1.67\% | -2.32\% | 1.30\% |  |
| 70 | -3.47\% | -2.35\% | -3.20\% | 1.31\% |  |
| 80 | -3.73\% | -1.98\% | -3.18\% | 0.91\% |  |
| 90 | -5.18\% | 0.52\% | -0.36\% | 0.34\% |  |


| Table 8-2B |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparison of GAM-83, UP-94, UP-94 Projected to 2000, and Employee/Healthy Annuitant RP-2000 Annuity Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7\% Interest |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Annuity Due, Deferred to Age 65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | GAM-83 | UP-94 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { UP-94 } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \text { to } 2000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | RP2000 Employee/ Healthy Annuitant | RP-2000 Generational Employee/ Healthy Annuitant |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.7471 | 0.7740 | 0.7971 | 0.8261 | 0.9392 |
| 40 | 1.4820 | 1.5370 | 1.5823 | 1.6370 | 1.8084 |
| 50 | 2.9800 | 3.0757 | 3.1622 | 3.2684 | 3.4994 |
| 60 | 6.2247 | 6.3481 | 6.4946 | 6.6300 | 6.8818 |
| 65 | 9.2421 | 9.4138 | 9.5853 | 9.5799 | 9.8049 |
| 70 | 8.0059 | 8.2450 | 8.4238 | 8.3430 | 8.5210 |
| 80 | 5.4804 | 5.6845 | 5.8012 | 5.5945 | 5.6640 |
| 90 | 3.4933 | 3.4179 | 3.4631 | 3.2074 | 3.2210 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.9307 | 0.9088 | 0.9181 | 0.9002 | 0.9576 |
| 40 | 1.8394 | 1.7969 | 1.8147 | 1.7789 | 1.8642 |
| 50 | 3.6554 | 3.5720 | 3.6037 | 3.5379 | 3.6532 |
| 60 | 7.3769 | 7.2084 | 7.2632 | 7.1366 | 7.2730 |
| 65 | 10.6232 | 10.4390 | 10.5083 | 10.2464 | 10.3795 |
| 70 | 9.4502 | 9.3420 | 9.4243 | 9.1227 | 9.2420 |
| 80 | 6.7936 | 6.6724 | 6.7551 | 6.5402 | 6.6000 |
| 90 | 4.2524 | 4.0113 | 4.0465 | 4.0321 | 4.0459 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Change in Monthly Annuity Due |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From GAM-83 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From UP-94 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | From UP-94 Projected to 2000 to RP-2000 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From RP-2000 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \text { Generational } \end{array}$ |  |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 10.57\% | 6.73\% | 3.63\% | 13.69\% |  |
| 40 | 10.46\% | 6.50\% | 3.45\% | 10.47\% |  |
| 50 | 9.68\% | 6.26\% | 3.36\% | 7.07\% |  |
| 60 | 6.51\% | 4.44\% | 2.08\% | 3.80\% |  |
| 65 | 3.65\% | 1.76\% | -0.06\% | 2.35\% |  |
| 70 | 4.21\% | 1.19\% | -0.96\% | 2.13\% |  |
| 80 | 2.08\% | -1.58\% | -3.56\% | 1.24\% |  |
| 90 | -8.18\% | -6.16\% | -7.38\% | 0.42\% |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | -3.28\% | -0.95\% | -1.95\% | 6.38\% |  |
| 40 | -3.29\% | -1.00\% | -1.97\% | 4.80\% |  |
| 50 | -3.22\% | -0.96\% | -1.83\% | 3.26\% |  |
| 60 | -3.26\% | -1.00\% | -1.74\% | 1.91\% |  |
| 65 | -3.55\% | -1.85\% | -2.49\% | 1.30\% |  |
| 70 | -3.47\% | -2.35\% | -3.20\% | 1.31\% |  |
| 80 | -3.73\% | -1.98\% | -3.18\% | 0.91\% |  |
| 90 | -5.18\% | 0.52\% | -0.36\% | 0.34\% |  |


| Table 8-3A |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparison of GAM-83, UP-94, UP-94 Projected to 2000, and Combined Healthy RP-2000 Annuity Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9\% Interest |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Annuity Due, Deferred to Age 65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | GAM-83 | UP-94 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { UP-94 } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \text { to } 2000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RP-2000 } \\ \text { Combined } \\ \text { Healthy } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RP-2000 } \\ \text { Generational } \\ \text { Combined Healthy } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.3432 | 0.3546 | 0.3644 | 0.3708 | 0.4187 |
| 40 | 0.8194 | 0.8473 | 0.8705 | 0.8842 | 0.9707 |
| 50 | 1.9829 | 2.0407 | 2.0936 | 2.1247 | 2.2613 |
| 60 | 4.9846 | 5.0688 | 5.1745 | 5.2204 | 5.3896 |
| 65 | 8.1189 | 8.2458 | 8.3779 | 8.3993 | 8.5609 |
| 70 | 7.1532 | 7.3445 | 7.4889 | 7.4338 | 7.5685 |
| 80 | 5.0608 | 5.2410 | 5.3420 | 5.1706 | 5.2277 |
| 90 | 3.3148 | 3.2495 | 3.2908 | 3.0567 | 3.0688 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.4197 | 0.4105 | 0.4144 | 0.4029 | 0.4257 |
| 40 | 0.9983 | 0.9769 | 0.9856 | 0.9583 | 0.9984 |
| 50 | 2.3875 | 2.3371 | 2.3554 | 2.2936 | 2.3573 |
| 60 | 5.7986 | 5.6759 | 5.7131 | 5.5833 | 5.6702 |
| 65 | 9.1603 | 9.0171 | 9.0675 | 8.8870 | 8.9782 |
| 70 | 8.2903 | 8.2076 | 8.2710 | 8.0323 | 8.1192 |
| 80 | 6.1758 | 6.0813 | 6.1515 | 5.9658 | 6.0141 |
| 90 | 4.0020 | 3.7844 | 3.8162 | 3.7936 | 3.8056 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Change in Monthly Annuity Due |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From GAM-83 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From UP-94 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | From UP-94 Projected to 2000 to RP-2000 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { From RP-2000 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \text { Generational } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 8.04\% | 4.57\% | 1.76\% | 12.92\% |  |
| 40 | 7.91\% | 4.36\% | 1.57\% | 9.78\% |  |
| 50 | 7.15\% | 4.12\% | 1.49\% | 6.43\% |  |
| 60 | 4.73\% | 2.99\% | 0.89\% | 3.24\% |  |
| 65 | 3.45\% | 1.86\% | 0.26\% | 1.92\% |  |
| 70 | 3.92\% | 1.22\% | -0.74\% | 1.81\% |  |
| 80 | 2.17\% | -1.34\% | -3.21\% | 1.10\% |  |
| 90 | -7.79\% | -5.93\% | -7.11\% | 0.40\% |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | -4.00\% | -1.85\% | -2.78\% | 5.66\% |  |
| 40 | -4.01\% | -1.90\% | -2.77\% | 4.18\% |  |
| 50 | -3.93\% | -1.86\% | -2.62\% | 2.78\% |  |
| 60 | -3.71\% | -1.63\% | -2.27\% | 1.56\% |  |
| 65 | -2.98\% | -1.44\% | -1.99\% | 1.03\% |  |
| 70 | -3.11\% | -2.14\% | -2.89\% | 1.08\% |  |
| 80 | -3.40\% | -1.90\% | -3.02\% | 0.81\% |  |
| 90 | -5.21\% | 0.24\% | -0.59\% | 0.32\% |  |


| Table 8-3B |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparison of GAM-83, UP-94, UP-94 Projected to 2000, and Employee/Healthy Annuitant RP-2000 Annuity Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9\% Interest |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Annuity Due, Deferred to Age 65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | GAM-83 | UP-94 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { UP-94 } \\ \text { Projected } \\ \text { to } 2000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | RP2000 Employee/ Healthy Annuitant | RP-2000 Generational Employee/ Healthy Annuitant |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.3432 | 0.3546 | 0.3644 | 0.3781 | 0.4238 |
| 40 | 0.8194 | 0.8473 | 0.8705 | 0.9018 | 0.9847 |
| 50 | 1.9829 | 2.0407 | 2.0936 | 2.1669 | 2.2996 |
| 60 | 4.9846 | 5.0688 | 5.1745 | 5.2898 | 5.4592 |
| 65 | 8.1189 | 8.2458 | 8.3779 | 8.3850 | 8.5465 |
| 70 | 7.1532 | 7.3445 | 7.4889 | 7.4338 | 7.5685 |
| 80 | 5.0608 | 5.2410 | 5.3420 | 5.1706 | 5.2277 |
| 90 | 3.3148 | 3.2495 | 3.2908 | 3.0567 | 3.0688 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.4197 | 0.4105 | 0.4144 | 0.4076 | 0.4299 |
| 40 | 0.9983 | 0.9769 | 0.9856 | 0.9694 | 1.0089 |
| 50 | 2.3875 | 2.3371 | 2.3554 | 2.3203 | 2.3833 |
| 60 | 5.7986 | 5.6759 | 5.7131 | 5.6328 | 5.7199 |
| 65 | 9.1603 | 9.0171 | 9.0675 | 8.8720 | 8.9631 |
| 70 | 8.2903 | 8.2076 | 8.2710 | 8.0323 | 8.1192 |
| 80 | 6.1758 | 6.0813 | 6.1515 | 5.9658 | 6.0141 |
| 90 | 4.0020 | 3.7844 | 3.8162 | 3.7936 | 3.8056 |
| Percent Change in Monthly Annuity Due |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | From GAM-83 to RP-2000 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { From UP-94 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \end{array}$ | From UP-94 Projected to 2000 to RP-2000 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { From RP-2000 } \\ \text { to RP-2000 } \\ \text { Generational } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 10.18\% | 6.64\% | 3.77\% | 12.08\% |  |
| 40 | 10.06\% | 6.43\% | 3.60\% | 9.19\% |  |
| 50 | 9.28\% | 6.18\% | 3.50\% | 6.13\% |  |
| 60 | 6.12\% | 4.36\% | 2.23\% | 3.20\% |  |
| 65 | 3.28\% | 1.69\% | 0.08\% | 1.93\% |  |
| 70 | 3.92\% | 1.22\% | -0.74\% | 1.81\% |  |
| 80 | 2.17\% | -1.34\% | -3.21\% | 1.10\% |  |
| 90 | -7.79\% | -5.93\% | -7.11\% | 0.40\% |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | -2.87\% | -0.69\% | -1.63\% | 5.46\% |  |
| 40 | -2.89\% | -0.76\% | -1.64\% | 4.07\% |  |
| 50 | -2.81\% | -0.72\% | -1.49\% | 2.71\% |  |
| 60 | -2.86\% | -0.76\% | -1.40\% | 1.55\% |  |
| 65 | -3.15\% | -1.61\% | -2.16\% | 1.03\% |  |
| 70 | -3.11\% | -2.14\% | -2.89\% | 1.08\% |  |
| 80 | -3.40\% | -1.90\% | -3.02\% | 0.81\% |  |
| 90 | -5.21\% | 0.24\% | -0.59\% | 0.32\% |  |

