A Proof of Lidstone's Theorem

Richard W. Ziock

## University of Iowa

Symbols:

P = Net annual premium
it = Interest rate
tq = Mortality rate
Ct = t-th year contribution to surplus
tV = t-th year reserve
Unprimed symbols are based on assumed rates.
Primed symbols are based on experience rates
Assumptions: Level benefits, level premiums.
Two factor contribution or dividend formula:

$$\binom{V + P}{1 + i_t} - q_t(1 - t^V) = t^V$$
 (1)

$$(_{t-1}V + P) (1 + i_t) - q_t(1 - t^V) = t^V + C_t$$
 (2)

$$(t_{t-1} \vee P) (i'_t - i_t) + (t_q - t_q') (1 - t_q') = C_t$$
 (2) - (1)

If the policy dividend equals  $C_t$ , this is the unique method of paying dividends which leaves each year's reserve unchanged. This fact is clearly seen in formula (2) since there if  $C_t$  is paid out the ending reserve is  $t_t V$ .

**Policy** Comparisons:

Consider:

- A: Non-Par plan based on i' and q'
- B: Non-Par plan based on i and q
- B': Par plan with net premiums based on i and q, which experiencesi' and q', with two factor dividends paid. B' may be looked on

as a non-par level benefit, decreasing premium plan based on i' and g'.

Observations:

B' has larger reserves than A.

Note:(1) Both are non par and based on i' and q'.

(2) Decreasing premiums lead to larger reserves (e.g. single premiums)

B' has same reserves as B because two factor dividends leave the reserves unchanged.

Thus B's reserves exceed A's or -

If  $C_t = (t-1 \vee +P) (i_t' - i_t) + (tq - tq') (1 - tv)$  always increases the reserves based on i and q exceed those based on i' and q' and vice-versa.

This heuristic proof seems to be a little easier for students than the one in the Jordan text.

Reference: "A Simplified Illustration of Lidstone's Theorem," <u>The Actuary</u>, September 1969.

