ON THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN BALDUCCI AND U.D.D.

Dr. Murray Silver, A.S.A. Department of Insurance and Risk Temple University Philadelphia, PA 19122

The selection of a law of mortality is fundamental to mortality table construction. Crucial to this choice, is the fact that for most ages x, the difference between the values of $1-t^qx+t$ for $0 \le t \le 1$ under the various assumptions is negligible. Unfortunately, the standard texts do not treat this point fully. In [1], page 190, it is pointed out that the U.D.D. curve is the chord of the Balducci curve for $0 \le t \le 1$ and therefore, the two curves should reasonably close to each other. In [2], page 16, this problem is explicitly discussed, but the resolution consists some numerical examples in the exercises of Chapter 1 and a numerical example in the introduction to Chapter 2. The purpose of this note is to give an inequality which is true for all t ($0 \le t \le 1$) and x. Further relations between U.D.D. and Balducci are developed and discussed. Theorem 1: $1-t^q_{x+t}^{U.D.D.} - 1-t^{q_{x+t}} \le q_x^2$ for all $0 \le t \le 1$ and all x.

$$\frac{Proof}{1-t}: 1-t^{q}_{x+t}^{U.D.D.} = \frac{(1-t)\cdot q_x}{1-t\cdot q_y} = \frac{1-t^{q}_{x+t}}{1-t\cdot q_y}$$

Thus, $1-t^{Q,D,D}$. = $1-t^{Q,h+t}$ = $t \cdot q_x \cdot 1-t^{Q,D,D}$. $\leq t \cdot q_x^2 \leq q_x^2$.

This inequality is sufficient for many pedagogical purposes; the next theorem sharpens this inequality and lays the foundation for some related results. <u>Theorem 2</u>: $1-tq_{x+t}^{U.D.D.} - 1-tq_{x+t}^{Balducci} \le \frac{q_x^2}{(1+\sqrt{p_x})^2}$ for $0 \le t \le 1$ and all x. <u>Remark</u>: Roughly, for most of the life table $\sqrt{p_x} = 1$ and the upper bound approaches $\frac{1}{4} \cdot q_x^2$.

$$\frac{Proof}{dt}: \text{ Let } \lambda = \mathfrak{L}_{x+t}^{U.D.D.} - \mathfrak{L}_{x+t}^{Balducc1} = \mathfrak{L}_{x} - t \cdot d_{x} - \frac{\mathfrak{L}_{x}^{2}\mathfrak{L}_{x+1}}{\mathfrak{L}_{x+1}^{+t \cdot d_{x}}}$$

$$\text{Then, } \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = 0 = -d_{x} + \frac{\mathfrak{L}_{x}^{\cdot \mathfrak{L}}\mathfrak{L}_{x+1}^{+d}}{(\mathfrak{L}_{x+1}^{+} + T \cdot d_{x})^{2}} , \text{ where } T = t_{MAX}.$$

$$(\mathfrak{L}_{x+1}^{+} + T \cdot d_{x})^{2} = \mathfrak{L}_{x}^{\cdot \mathfrak{L}}\mathfrak{L}_{x+1} \qquad (1)$$

$$\text{and, } T = \frac{-\mathfrak{L}_{x+1}}{d_{x}} + \sqrt{\mathfrak{L}_{x}^{2}\mathfrak{L}_{x+1}} \qquad (2)$$

Insert equations (1) and (2) into the equation for λ : $\lambda_{MAX} = \mathfrak{k}_{X} + \mathfrak{k}_{X+1} - 2\sqrt{\mathfrak{k}_{X}\mathfrak{k}_{X+1}} = (\sqrt{\mathfrak{k}_{X}} - \sqrt{\mathfrak{k}_{X+1}})^{2} \quad (3)$ Hence, $\lambda \leq (\sqrt{\mathfrak{k}_{X}} - \sqrt{\mathfrak{k}_{X+1}})^{2} = \mathfrak{k}_{X}(1 - \sqrt{p_{X}})^{2} = \mathfrak{k}_{X} q_{X}^{2} - \sqrt{\mathfrak{k}_{X+1}})^{2}$ Thus, $\mathfrak{k}_{X+t}^{U.D.D.} - \mathfrak{k}_{X+t}^{Balducci} \leq \frac{\mathfrak{k}_{X}q_{X}^{2}}{(1 + \sqrt{p_{X}})^{2}} \quad (4)$

or
$$t_{x}^{U.D.D.} = t_{x}^{Balducci} \leq \frac{q_{x}^{2}}{(1+\sqrt{p_{x}})^{2}}$$

Since this result is true for all t in the interval (0,1), $1-t^{q_{x}}$ $- 1-t^{q_{x}}$ $\leq \frac{q_{x}^{2}}{(1+\sqrt{p_{y}})^{2}}$

But
$$1-tq_x^{U.D.D.} = 1-tq_{x+t}^{Balducci}$$
 and $1-tq_x^{Balducci} = 1-tq_{x+t}^{U.D.D.}$; hence,
 $1-tq_{x+t}^{Balducci} = 1-tq_{x+t}^{U.D.D.} \le \frac{q_x^2}{(1+\sqrt{p_x})^2}$.
q.e.d.

The proof of theorem 2 yields several auxillary results which give adder insight into the difference between the $\ell_{x+t}^{U.D.D.}$ and $\ell_{x+t}^{Balducci}$ curves. <u>Theorem 3</u>: $\ell_{x+t}^{U.D.D.} - \ell_{x+t}^{Balducci} \le (\sqrt{\ell_x} - \sqrt{\ell_{x+1}})^2$ and the maximum difference is actually attained at t = T. <u>Proof:</u> equation 3 6 <u>Theorem 4</u>: For fixed \mathfrak{l}_{x} , $0 < T < \frac{1}{2}$ and T is a strictly decreasing function of \mathfrak{d}_{x} . <u>Proof</u>: Apply the classical arithmetic-geometric inequality to equation (2):

$$\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Further, from the classical arithmetic-geometric inequality, it follows that T is a strictly increasing function of l_{x+1} and therefore, a strictly decreasing function of d_x .

q.e.d.

REFERENCES

Gershenson, H. <u>Measurement of Mortality</u> Society of Actuaries 1961
 Batten, R. W. <u>Mortality Table Construction</u> Prentice Hall 1978

• .

,