



SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES

Article From:

# The Actuary

September 1987 – Volume No. 21, Issue No. 7



The Newsletter of the  
Society of Actuaries

VOL. 21/NO. 7  
SEPTEMBER, 1987

# THE Actuary

SOA LIBRARY

## FASB Hearing on Insurance Accounting

By William J. Schreiner

Actuaries were well represented at the June 22-23, 1987, Financial Accounting Standards Board hearing on its Exposure Draft, "Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Insurance Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments." Only a handful of the 20 commenting organizations ventured to the hearing table without at least one actuary in their delegation. The American Academy of Actuaries led off the hearing, and four of the six "Big 8" accounting firms, which participated in the hearing, included actuaries in their contingent.

The hearing was held to receive comments on the Board's proposal for GAAP accounting standards for universal life policies and related issues. The Exposure Draft addressed, in addition to universal life policies, limited premium policies, policies without mortality or morbidity risk, internal replacements, and gains and losses from investments.

None of the commentators at the hearing and very few of the 100-plus comment letters received by the Board endorsed adoption of the Board's proposal without change.

The main focus was on accounting for universal life, where the Board proposed to require the use of the retrospective deposit method, which is the determination of the reserve liability to the account value. The opposition to this proposal divided into two camps: those who wanted to "fix" the proposed retrospective deposit method so that it would give

Continued on page 2 column 2

## The Canadian Tax Proposals

By Robin B. Leckie

(Ed. note: On June 18, 1987, the Canadian federal Department of Finance released a tax reform paper containing proposals for discussion over the ensuing months. The Actuary asked Robin Leckie, who chairs the Task Force on Tax Policy of the Canadian Life and Health Insurance Association (CLHIA), for comment.)

The CLHIA Task Force has been meeting for several years in analyzing the taxation of Canadian insurance. More recently the Task Force has worked with Department of Finance officials in overcoming some of the deficiencies in the current corporate tax formula, which has led to rather minimal taxation from the life insurance industry in Canada. Thus, although the June 18, 1987, Tax Reform proposals from Finance are sweeping (along the lines of the changes in the United States last year), a specific objective was that the life industry should hereafter bear its fair share of tax. The initial reaction to the general tax reform proposals has been reasonably favorable; the initial reaction to the life insurance proposals has been "overkill."

**Summary of Existing Taxation**  
Life companies operating in Canada, whether Canadian or foreign, are taxed on their Canadian income. For

multi-nationals Canadian income is defined through the use of a Canadian Investment Fund (CIF) and the income from assets designated to fill the CIF. One of the reasons for the lack of significant taxable income has been deficiencies in the CIF calculations. Another reason is that bond and mortgage gains and losses have been taxable at regular corporate rates. Until recently these have been mainly losses. Another reason has been the full integration (i.e., pass through) of dividends, common and preferred, from Canadian corporations. The deduction for actuarial reserve increases has been based on one-year preliminary term reserves calculated using pricing assumptions.

Taxation of individuals depends on whether the policies are exempt or not. An exempt policy is one in which the reserve is less than a 20-year endowment at 85. The gain on exempt policies is taxable on termination of the policy by other than death. On non-exempt policies the reserve interest increment is taxed annually (or electively every 3 years) at the individual's personal rates. Variable policies and mutual funds are also taxed on an annual flow-through basis.

Continued on page 2 column 3

### In this issue:

Canadian Tax Proposals Robin B. Leckie	1
FASB Hearing: Insurance Accounting William J. Schreiner	1
A Word from Director of Publications Anthony T. Spano	5
The Actuary and Its Chief	4
The Actuary Questionnaire Summary Linda M. Delgado	4

Tribute to Andy Webster Ardian C. Gill	6
Valuation Actuary Handbook R. Stephen Radcliffe	7
Par Whole Life Easing Valuation Actuary's Job? Armand de Palo/James F. Reitskytl	7
Valuation Actuary Symposium Arnold A. Dicke	3
Letters to Editor	8
Actucrostic, Actucrossword	11,12

# THE Actuary

## The Newsletter of the Society of Actuaries

VOLUME 21, NO. 7  
SEPTEMBER 1987

Associate Editor responsible for this issue  
Daniel F. Case



### Editor-in-Chief

Linda B. Emory, F.S.A.

### Associate Editors

Barnet N. Berin, F.S.A.  
M. David R. Brown, F.S.A.  
Daniel F. Case, F.S.A.  
Richard K. Kischuk, F.S.A.  
Irwin T. Vanderhoof, F.S.A.

### Competition Editor

Charles G. Groeschell, F.S.A.

### Features Editor

Deborah Adler Poppel, F.S.A.

### Assistant Editors

Gary E. Dahlman, F.S.A.  
Stephen H. Frankel, F.S.A.  
Charles Habeck, F.S.A.  
Curtis E. Huntington, F.S.A.  
David S. Lee, F.S.A.

### Society Staff Contacts

Linda M. Delgadillo  
Director of Communications

Susan L. Pasini  
Staff Editor

### Correspondence should be addressed

*The Actuary*  
P.O. Box 105006  
Atlanta, GA 30348-5006  
Tel: (404) 980-5644

### Copyright© 1987, Society of Actuaries

Published monthly (except July and August) by the SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES, 500 Park Boulevard, Itasca, IL 60143. Harold G. Ingraham, Jr., President; Richard V. Minck, Secretary; Michael J. Crowell, Treasurer; Anthony T. Spano, Director of Publications. Non-member subscriptions: students, \$5.50; others, \$6.50.

The Society is not responsible for statements made or opinions expressed herein. All contributions are subject to editing. Submissions must be signed.



### FASB Hearing cont.

results they considered more rational and those who urged the adoption of a single, comprehensive accounting model for all life insurance products. Most who favored the latter approach suggested that existing accounting guidance for life insurance products under the Board's Statement No. 60 could be fine-tuned to cover universal life as well as traditional products. Most insurers seem to believe that the retrospective deposit method proposed by the Board could be fixed, by changing the method of amortizing deferred acquisition costs, so that the reported earnings would be less sensitive to product design and more in accord with the insurer's view of an appropriately recognized earnings stream.

Similarly, there was general agreement that something needed to be done to improve the guidance with respect to single or limited premium products. While few thought that the Board's proposal to recognize earnings in proportion to insurance in force for all limited premium products was the answer, the solutions proposed ranged from an exception to special rules for products of more than five years' premiums to an exception only for products of more than 20 years' premiums.

The Board will now review the comments received with its staff to identify the areas that should be reviewed and reconsidered. This process, if substantial agreement can be reached among the Board members, is scheduled to take place over the summer and fall of 1987, culminating in a final Statement of Financial Accounting Standards before year-end.

**William J. Schreiner is an Actuary with the American Council of Life Insurance. He serves on the Council of the Life Insurance Company Financial Reporting Section.**

### Mail Alert

Members should have received the following material from the Society in recent mailings:

- The *Record*, Volume 12 Nos. 1, 2 and 3
- Preliminary Program and registration materials for the 1987 Montreal annual meeting
- Exposure Draft on Life Insurance Company Valuation Principles

### Canadian Tax Proposals cont.

#### Tax Reform Proposal

The June 18, 1987, Tax Reform proposal can be summarized under three headings:

##### 1) *Company Tax*

The Corporate tax proposals for life insurance are significant. Tax rates for corporations are reduced, but not as much as they were in the United States. The combined federal-provincial rate in Ontario will be approximately 44%. The rules for defining and applying the CIF have been corrected. In fact, they may have been overcorrected, particularly as they may affect Canadian equity income and real estate. Actuarial reserve deductions will hereafter be based on one-and-a-half-year preliminary term and pricing assumptions. The dividend reserve has been reduced to the dividends accrued to the end of the year (correcting a deficiency in the former tax law). There are no longer formula deductions for investment reserves, but actual bad debts and specific provisions for potential debts can be taken. Dividends to policyholders remain fully deductible for both stock and mutual companies.

The issues in the proposed changes are:

- i) The use of one-and-a-half-year preliminary term and the lack of any deductions for contingency reserves could, on average, increase the taxable income base above the reportable income base.
- ii) There is no recognition of the large overhang of accumulated capital gains on real estate, bonds and mortgages presently on the books.
- iii) The proposal for the determination of Canadian taxable income for banks would appear to have a number of deficiencies. This could result in a non-level playing field for insurance companies and other financial institutions versus the banks.

##### 2) *Investment Tax*

Tax Reform introduces an investment tax on life insurance companies of 15% of the investment income on exempt policies. An exclusion has been given for fixed-premium policies issued prior to the end of 1987. The tax is proposed to be phased in over a 5-year period commencing in 1988, i.e., 3% in 1988, 6% in 1989, etc. In effect, this is a proxy tax on the