## ACTUARIAL RESEARCH CLEARING HOUSE 1985 VOL. 1

More on Premiums and Interest Rate Change

by James C. Hickman

In the October 1984 and January 1985 issues of <u>The Actuary</u>, there was an interesting discussion of whether the statement

$$\frac{dP_{\mathbf{x}}}{d\mathbf{1}} < 0$$

is a theorem. The result of the discussion was to establish that the suggested theorem is false.

These notes were developed in connection with that discussion. They were not submitted to <u>The Actuary</u> for they involve a bit more mathematics than is typical of that publication. The example which follows contradicts the basic idea of the proposed theorem. It involves the Pareto distribution, which is a fruitful source of counter-examples in life contingencies.

The key elements of the example are as follows:

1. Mortality model

a. Force of mortality at age x+t is  $(1 + x + t)^{-1}$ , 0 < t.

- b. Conditional survival function, given survival to age x is (1 + x)/(1 + x + t).
- 2. Actuarial present values
  - a.  $\bar{a}_{x} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\delta t} [(1 + x)/(1 + x + t)] dt$ b.  $\bar{A}_{x} = 1 - \delta \bar{a}_{x}$

c. In Exercise 2, Chapter 4, Actuarial Mathematics, it is shown that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\,\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{x}}\,<\,0\,,$$

which means that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dx}} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{x}} = -\frac{1}{\delta} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{\mathbf{x}}}{\mathrm{dx}} \right) > 0.$$

d. Since  $t^{\overline{V}(\overline{A}_x)} \neq 1 - \overline{a}_{x+t} / \overline{a}_x$ , from 2.c. we have  $t^{\overline{V}(\overline{A}_x)} < 0, 0 < t$ .

- 3. Whole life premiums
  - a. Whole life premiums are weighted averages,

$$\overline{\overline{P}}(\overline{A}_{x}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} w(t,\delta) \mu_{x+t} dt$$

where

$$w(t,\delta) = e^{-\delta t} \frac{r}{\tau} \frac{r}{x} / \frac{a}{x}$$

- b. Properties of the weight function
  - (i)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} w(t, \delta) < 0$ (ii) We have  $\frac{\partial w(t, \delta)}{\partial \delta} = e^{-\delta t} \frac{1}{\tau} \left[ -t \overline{a}_{x} + (\overline{1} \overline{a})_{x} \right] / \overline{a}_{x}^{2}$ , which is positive for small values of t and then becomes and remains negative.
- 4. Conclusion
  - a. By 3.b(ii) an increase the force of interest increases the weight attached to the force of mortality for small values of t and decreases the weight attached to the force of mortality for large values of t in the annual whole life premium rate.
  - b. In our example, the force of mortality is a monotone decreasing function. Therefore, because of the interpretation of the whole life premium rate as a weighted average, an increase in the force of interest will produce an increased premium rate.