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## ABSTRACT

It is assumed that the force of interest varies as an auto-regressive process of order $2, \operatorname{AR}(2)$. The moments of the accumulated value of 1 paid at the begim:ing of each period, for $n$ periods, are developed using moment generating functions.
keyivord-: chlo-regressive process, moment generating function, stochastic interost.

1. Let us assume that the force of interest over the time period $(t-1, t]$ is $\delta_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots$. Like Westcott (1981) we model $\delta_{t}$ as an auto-regressive process of order 2 (AR(2)). For fixed and known constants $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta_{-1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta_{t}-\bar{\delta}\right)=a\left(\delta_{t-1}-\bar{\delta}\right)-b\left(\delta_{t-2^{-}}-\bar{\delta}\right)+e_{t}, \quad t=1,2, \ldots \tag{1,1}
\end{equation*}
$$

wher $\bar{\delta}$ is a constant about which the force of interest is expected to fluctuate. The sequence $\left\{e_{t}\right\}$ is a sequence of mutually independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.) normal random variables with zero mean and finite variance $\sigma^{2}$. This model of $\delta_{t}$ ensures that the force of interest generates stochastic interest rates. Finally we assume that $a$ and $b$ are real-valucd consiants, and, unlike westcott (1981), a and $b$ satisfy $a^{2}>4 b$. This ensures that the roots of the characteristic equation of (1.1) are rial. Patljor and Bellhouse (1980, Table 1) demonstrated that in most situations the roots of this characteristic equation are real.

```
Followiny :%nstcot: (1981, Section 2), (1.1) can be written as .
```

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=a u_{t-1}-b u_{t-2}+e_{t} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{t}=\delta,-\bar{\delta}$ Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be the real roots of the equation

$$
\lambda^{2}-a \lambda+b=0
$$

i.t.。

$$
\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}=\frac{a \pm \sqrt{a^{2}-4 b}}{2}
$$

This leads to the following expression for $u_{t}$ satisfying (1.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=a_{1} \lambda_{1}^{t}+a_{2} \lambda_{2}^{t}+\sum_{j=0}^{t-1}\left(b_{1} \lambda_{1}^{j}+b_{2} \lambda_{2}^{j}\right) e_{t-j}, \quad t=1,2, \ldots \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for given initial conditions $u_{0}$ and $u_{-1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}, \quad b_{2}=\frac{-\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}} \\
& a_{1}=\frac{\lambda_{1} u_{0}-b u}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}, \quad a_{2}=\frac{b u}{-1}-\lambda_{2} u_{0}  \tag{1.4}\\
& \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}
\end{align*} .
$$

Let us now introduce the random variable $B_{n}(t)$ which is the accumulated value $u$ : 1 paid at the beginning of the $t^{\text {th }}$ period and accumulated to the end of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ period, $t \leq \mathrm{n}=1,2,3, \ldots$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(t)=\exp \left(\sum_{j=t}^{n} \delta_{j}\right)=\exp \left((n+1-t) \bar{\delta}+\sum_{j=t}^{n} u_{j}\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similasly, the accumulated value of an annuity of 1 paid at the beginning of each puriod until the end of the $n^{\text {th }}$ period is $S_{n}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\sum_{t=1}^{n} B_{n}(t) \tag{1,6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sefuel wo develop expressions for the moments of $E_{n}(t)$ and $S_{n}$ by expboiting the fact that $\log \left[B_{n}(t)\right]$ is normally distributed (in fact it is a linear combination of $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}$, and $S_{n}$ represents the sum of Feg-momal random variables.
2. Difine $A_{n}(t)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(t)=\sum_{j=t}^{n} u_{j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { and its mgf is } & M_{n}(t, \theta) \text { for real } \theta, \\
& M_{n}(t, \theta)=E\left[\exp \left(\theta A_{n}(t)\right)\right] . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.3), we see that $A_{n}(t)$ is a linear combination of $n$ i.i.d. normal random variables. So the existence of $M_{n}(t, \theta)$ is assured for $-\infty<\theta<\infty$. $A_{n}(t)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(t)=\xi(n, t)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}(n, t) e_{k}, \quad t=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

whe :r

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{k}(n, t)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-t} B_{j+t-k}  \tag{2.4}\\
B_{r}= \begin{cases}b_{1} \lambda_{1}^{r}+b_{2} \lambda_{2}^{r} & r=0,1,2, \ldots \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(n, t)=\sum_{j=t}^{n}\left(a_{1} \lambda_{1}^{j}+a_{2} \lambda_{2}^{j}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (2.3) immediately gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(t, \theta)=\operatorname{cxp}\left\{\theta \xi(n, t)+\frac{\theta^{2} \sigma^{2}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\gamma_{k}(n, t)\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence from (1.5) and (2.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\left(B_{n}(t)\right)^{k}\right]=\exp \left\{k(n+1-t) \bar{\delta}+k \xi(n, t)+\frac{k^{2} \sigma^{2}}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{n}\left(\gamma_{r}(n, t)\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$.
Let us now write the expressions for both $\gamma_{k}(n, t)$ and $\xi(n, t)$
in terms of the 'known' constants $b_{1}, b_{2}, \lambda_{8}$ and $\lambda_{2}$. Using (2.4) and (2.5)
we find

$$
\gamma_{k}(n, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \lambda_{1}^{t-k}\left(\frac{1-\lambda_{1}^{n-t+1}}{1-\lambda_{1}}\right)+b_{2} \lambda_{2}^{t-k}\left(\frac{1-\lambda_{2}^{n-t+1}}{1-\lambda_{2}}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, t ;  \tag{2.9}\\
b_{1}\left(\frac{1-\lambda_{1}^{n-k+1}}{1-\lambda_{1}}\right)+b_{2}\left(\frac{1-\lambda_{2}^{n-k+1}}{1-\lambda_{2}}\right), k=t, t+1, \ldots, n .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly for $t=1,2, \ldots, n$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(n, 1)=a_{1} \lambda_{1}^{t}\left(\frac{1-\lambda_{1}^{n-t+1}}{1-\lambda_{1}}\right)+a_{2} \lambda_{2}^{t}\left(\frac{1-\lambda_{2}^{n-t+1}}{1-\lambda_{2}}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\because$ ar nusw in a position 1.0 develop an expression for the moments of an annuity of 1 per poriod payable at the start of each period. Call this annuity $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$, i.e.

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{t=1}^{n} B_{n}(t)
$$

10 ijnd the $k^{\text {thi }}$ moment of $S_{n}, k=0,1,2, \ldots$ we proceed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{n}\right)^{k}=\sum_{C(k)}\binom{k}{r}\left(B_{n}(1)\right)^{r_{1}}\left(B_{n}(2)\right)^{r_{2}} \ldots\left(B_{n}(n)\right)^{r_{n}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation is over the elements $r$ of the set $C(k)$ and
$C(k)=\left\{r \quad=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in R^{n}: r_{i}=0,1,2, \ldots, k\right.$ and $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}=k\right\}$, and

$$
\left(\frac{k}{r}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
r_{1} & r_{2} & \cdots & r_{n} \tag{2.12}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the maltinomial coefficient necessary in the expansion of $\left(S_{n}\right)^{k}$. From
(1.5), (2.1) ard (2.3) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s_{n}\right)^{k}=\sum_{\therefore}^{\ell}\left({\underset{r}{k})}_{k}^{r}\right) \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}\left[(n+1-i) \bar{\delta}+\xi(n, i)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j}(n, i) e_{j}\right]\right\} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A slinitt fiutrangemerit of (2.13) yields

$$
\because_{u} \prime^{k}=\sum_{\because(k)}\left(\begin{array}{l}
k  \tag{2.14}\\
r
\end{array} \exp \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mid r_{j}(n+1-j) \bar{\delta}+r_{j} \xi(n, j)+\phi(n, j: r) c_{j}\right]\right\}
$$

where.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(n, j: r)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \gamma_{j}(n, i) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (2.14) demonstrates that $\left(S_{n}\right)^{k}$ is the sum of log-nomal variates. It also errables us to immediately write down an expression for $E\left[\left(S_{n}\right)^{k}\right]$, $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\left(S_{n}\right)^{k}\right\rfloor=\sum_{C(k)}\left(\frac{k}{r}\right) \exp \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[r_{j}(n+1 \sim j) \bar{\delta}+r_{j} \xi(n, j)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(\phi(n, j: r))^{2}\right]\right. \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
E\left[\left(S_{n}\right)^{k}\right]=\sum_{C(k)}\left(\begin{array}{r}
k  \tag{2.17}\\
r
\end{array} \exp \left(r(\delta+\xi)^{T}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(r r)(r r)^{T}\right\}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta & =(n \bar{\delta},(n-1) \bar{\delta}, \ldots, 2 \bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}), \quad 1 \times n \text { vector } \\
\xi & =(\xi(n, 1), \xi(n, 2), \ldots, \xi(n, n-1), \xi(n, n)), \quad 1 \times n \text { vector }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
r=\left\{\gamma_{j j}(n)\right\} \text { with } Y_{i j}(n)=\gamma_{j}(n, i) \text { for } i, j=1,2, \ldots, n, n \times n \text { matri) }
$$

Wotc liat for 1 ixed $n$ the vectors $\delta$ and $E$, and the matrix $r$
are 'knum'. The number of elements $r$ in $C(k)$ is $\binom{n+k-1}{k}$ (sec for examinle Fefler lung, Chapter II.5). These vectors $r$ can fasily be found with the aid of a computor while the matrix and vector multiplications can quickl; be decomplished as well. Thus the moments of $S_{n}$ can be found extc: 1 : using ither equations (2.16) or (2.17) and a computer. It should be fointed omt that the summation ovar $C(k)$ can be written in the form of success:
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