Thank you for participating in the Society of Actuaries' study of automated underwriting system utilization by life insurers. The survey should take less than **20 minutes** to complete for companies using these systems, and only a couple of minutes for those who are not.

1) Name
2) Position
3) Company/Organization
4) Does your organization currently, or plan to in the future, utilize an automated underwriting system for life insurance applications?
These are technology solutions designed to process and interpret data traditionally viewed by underwriters. They seek to reduce the manpower and/or data necessary to underwrite a life insurance application.
(Responding "Yes" will lead to the full survey, while responding "No" or "Not currently" will lead to an abbreviated version.)
Yes
■Not currently, but considering
■ No, and no plans to do so in the future

Next Page (1 of 12)

ease rate the importance of the following derwriting system.	objectives your o	orga	nizat	tion	n may seek to accomplish by utilizing an automated
	1 (not important)	2	3	4	5 (very important)
Reduce underwriting costs					I 🔟
Decrease underwriting time					
Ensure underwriting consistency					
Minimize invasiveness of underwriting					
Enter a new distribution channel/market					
Other (please specify)					0
How many years has your organization to see the second sec	ised an automate	d un	derv	vriti	ting system for life insurance applications?
ase rank the top three factors used to se	elect the current a	autoi	mate	d ur	ınderwriting system.
1)					
2)					
3)					
If "Other" please specify					
Previous Page (2 of 12)					

Rules-based engine that automates existing underwriting	a avidalinas and		
Rules-based engine using vendor underwriting guideline Predictive model driven by data mining and analytics Outsourced underwriting (i.e. underwriting information insurer) Other (please specify)	es		ed opportunity for review by
If you selected other, please specify:			
Please select which capabilities the automated system	n has for life ins	urance applications. (Select	all that apply)
Reduces the amount of underwriter time required to ma Reaches underwriting decisions without underwriter inv. Recommends underwriting decisions for underwriter rev. Application medical questions completed by someone of Reduces requirements ordered compared to traditional Supports automated data feeds Other (please specify)	olvement view ther than agent/a	oplicant (e.g. tele-interviewer or	paramed examiner)
If you selected other, please specify:			
What type of data does the automated underwriting soly)	y stem utilize, a i Manual	nd how is that data loaded in	to the system? (Select all that Fully Automated Data
	Input	Feed	Feed
Traditional application / Paramed report			
Reflexive application with drill-down			
Lab results / Physical measurements			
Attending physician's statement			
Medical exam			
Additional medical requirements (e.g. EKG, stress test, etc.)			
MIB			
MVR			
Electronic Rx profile			
Other third-party data			
Other (please specify)			
Additional comments:			
How much drill-down capability exists in the reflexive t can be triggered (including follow-ups to an initial dr			umber of follow-up questions

Previous Page Next Page (3 of 12)

13) Into which risk classes/application status can the automated underwriting system assign life insurance applicants? (Select all that apply)
Ultra Preferred (or equivalent)
☐ Preferred
Standard
Substandard
Decline
14) Of the life insurance applications upon which it is utilized, please specify the approximate percentage where the automated system:
reaches a final underwriting decision without underwriter review
recommends an underwriting decision for an underwriter to review
is unable to reach or recommend an underwriting decision (due to data errors, complexity, or otherwise)
15) In addition to making or recommending underwriting decisions, does the automated underwriting system manage the underwriting work flow?
☐Yes
□ No
■ Not Sure
16) If the automated system is unable to reach or recommend an underwriting decision, does it still manage the work flow?
■Yes
■No
■ Not Sure
Previous Page Next Page (4 of 12)

	Т	erm L	ife I	Permane	ent Life						
Independent agents											
Captive agents											
Brokerage/General Age	ents										
Financial Institutions											
Internet											
Direct response with te	le-underwriting										
P&C agent											
Other (please specify)					T						
Additional comments:	ance of the autor	nated	d unc	derwrit			cet segm	ent in wh	ich your	organiza	tion opei
	1 (not important)	nated	3	derwrit 4 5	ing system for e	NA	cet segm	ent in wh	iich your	organiza	tion opei
lease rate the importa		nated	3	derwrit 4 5	ing system for e		ket segm	ent in wh	iich your	organiza	tion opei
lease rate the importa	1 (not important)	nated	3	derwrit 4 5	ing system for o	NA	ket segm	ent in wh	nich your	organiza	tion opei
lease rate the importation to the lease rate the importation to the lease amount the lease rate and the lease rate rate rate rate rate rate rate rat	1 (not important)	nated 2	3	derwrit 4 5	ing system for e	NA	ket segm	ent in wh	tich your	organiza	tion open
lease rate the importa Low face amount Middle market Mass affluent	1 (not important)	2	3	derwrit 4 5	(very important)	NA	ket segm	ent in wh	ich your	organiza	tion oper
lease rate the importation Low face amount Middle market Mass affluent High net worth	1 (not important)	2	3	derwrit 4 5	ing system for e	NA	ket segm	ent in wh	tich your	organiza	tion opei
lease rate the importation Low face amount Middle market Mass affluent High net worth Senior (preneed)	1 (not important)	2	3	derwrit 4 5	(very important)	NA	ket segm	ent in wh	ich your	organiza	tion oper
Low face amount Middle market Mass affluent High net worth Senior (preneed) Senior (general)	1 (not important)	2	3	derwrit 4 5	(very important)	NA O O O O O O O O	ket segm	ent in wh	tich your	organiza	tion opei

19) Approximately what percentage of life insurance ap underwriting system?	oplications received b	y your organiza	tion are se	nt through	the automated
1-10% 11-20% 21-30% 31-40% 4	1-50% 51-60%	61-70% 71-	80% 🗍 81	90% 👩 9	1-100%
20) Approximately what percentage of life insurance ap automated underwriting system?	oplications received b	y your organiza	tion 5 year	s ago were	sent through the
\square NA \square	1 41- 50% 50%	61- 70%	□ ⁷¹⁻ 80%	□ ⁸¹⁻ 90%	□ ⁹¹⁻ 100%
21) What criteria (if any) are used to restrict which app system?	olications for TERM L	IFE are processe	ed by the au	utomated u	nderwriting
Maximum Age (years)					
Minimum Age (years)					
Maximum Face Amount (\$1,000s)					
Minimum Face Amount (\$1,000s)					
Exclude Certain Distribution Channel(s) (please specify))				
Other (please specify)			-		
Other (picase specify)			-		
			-		
22) What criteria (if any) are used to restrict which app	dications for PERMAN	NENT LIFE are no	rocessed by	, the autom	ated underwriting
system?	Silications for FERNAL	vent en e are pr	occision by	, the auton	iacea anaerwriting
Maximum Age (years)					
Minimum Age (years)					
Maximum Face Amount (\$1,000s)					
Minimum Face Amount (\$1,000s)					
Exclude Certain Distribution Channel(s) (please specify))				
Other (please specify)					
			=		

23) Has your organization studied the efficacy of the automated underwriting system in selecting mortality risks?
Yes, both quantitatively and qualitatively
☐Yes, only qualitatively
☐Yes, only quantitatively
■No
24) Would your organization be willing to share the results of these studies for an anonymous mortality experience study to be conducted by the SOA?
☐Yes
■No
Potentially (please comment)
Additional comments:
25) Does your organization have access to data to which could contribute to a quantitative study of the efficacy of mortality risk selection by automated underwriting systems?
☐ Yes
□ No
□ Not Sure
Additional comments:
Previous Page Next Page (7 of 12)

Approximate years of experience data with automated system
Approximate number of policies per year underwritten by automated system
ould your organization be willing to contribute this data to an anonymous mortality experience study to be conducted by the
■Yes
□ No
Potentially (please comment)
Additional comments:

ease rate the following potential implementatio	n challenges based i	ınon	sia	nifica	nce			
case rate the following potential implementation	n chancinges basea t	.ро	. 5.9					
1	(not a significant challe	enge) 2	2 3	4	5 (very sigr	nificant challenge)	
Incorporating into current business processes			0					
Gaining confidence in the accuracy of the system			0					
Managing cultural change				0				
Meeting compliance standards				0				
Satisfying technical requirements			0	0			•	
Other (please specify)			0				-	
additional comments:		oups				to implemer	nting and using the	automa
ease rate the receptiveness of each of the follow	ving stakeholder gro	-	wit	h resi	pect	•	nting and using the	automa
ease rate the receptiveness of each of the follow	ving stakeholder gro 1 (not receptive)	oups 2	with	h resp	pect	ry receptive)	nting and using the	automa
additional comments:	ving stakeholder gro	-	wit	h resi	pect	•	nting and using the	automa
ease rate the receptiveness of each of the follow	ving stakeholder gro 1 (not receptive)	2	with	h resp	pect	ry receptive)	nting and using the	automa
ease rate the receptiveness of each of the follow writing system. Marketing and Distribution	ving stakeholder gro 1 (not receptive)	2	with 3	h resi	pect	ry receptive)	nting and using the	automa
ease rate the receptiveness of each of the followeriting system. Marketing and Distribution Underwriting	ving stakeholder gro 1 (not receptive)	2	wit	h resp	pect	ry receptive)	NA	automa
ease rate the receptiveness of each of the followeriting system. Marketing and Distribution Underwriting Actuarial	ving stakeholder gro 1 (not receptive)	2	with 3	h resp	pect	ry receptive)	NA	automa

Previous Page Next Page (10 of 12)

32)						
Please rate the effectiveness of the	automated underw	riting sy	/sten	n in r	neeting each of tl	ne previously stated objectives.
	1 (not effect	ive) 2	3	4	5 (very effective)	NA
Reduce underwriting costs	0					
Decrease underwriting time						
Ensuring underwriting consisten	су					
Minimize underwriting invasiven	ess					
Enter a new distribution channe	l/market					
Other (please specify)						
Additional comments:						
	your organization be	elieve a	ccom	pany	use of the auton	nated underwriting system? (Select all that
apply)						
Costly/time consuming impler Legal/compliance issues Unproven processes/technolo Opacity of underwriting proce Failure to achieve efficiency o Other (please specify) If you selected other, please spe 34) Please rate your organization's 1 (not satisfied) 2 3 35) What are your organization's f	gy ss bjectives cify: s overall satisfaction 1 4 5 (very satis	fied)			_	
Decrea	se usage Maintain cu	rrent usa	ige I	increa	ase usage	
With current system						
With a different system	0 0				•	
36) Approximately what percentag see processed by the automated sy		pplicatio	ons r	eceiv	ed by your organ	ization would you ideally like to
0-10% 11-20% 21-3	0% 🗖 31-40% 🔲 4	1-50%	5	1-60°	% 1 61-70%	71-80% 🔲 81-90% 🔲 91-100%
37) Given the chance to start over	with automated und	erwritir	ng sy	stem	s, would your org	ganization:
☐ Select the same system? ☐ Choose a different system? ☐ Not pursue an automated und	erwriting system?					

ugh your organization does not cur veral issues related to their use. Pl y.							
e rate the following potential object	ives based upor	impo	rtan	ce to	you	ır organ	ization.
	1 (not important) 2	3	4	5 (v	ery impo	ortant)
Reduce underwriting costs							
Decrease underwriting time	•						
Ensure underwriting consistency							
Minimize invasiveness of underwriting							
Enter new distribution channel/market							
Other (please specify)							
dditional comments:							
Additional comments: e rate the importance of the potentime.	al reasons why	your c	rgar	iizat	ion h	has not y	yet implement
ate the importance of the potenti	al reasons why			n izat 2	i on h		yet implement very important)
rate the importance of the potenti	1 (not				3		
rate the importance of the potenti Satisfied with current underwriting pro	1 (not	mport		2	3	4 5 (very important)
rate the importance of the potentin. Satisfied with current underwriting pro	1 (not	mport		2	3	4 5 (very important)
rate the importance of the potenti Satisfied with current underwriting pro Not familiar with such underwriting sys	1 (not cess stems	mport		2	3	4 5 (very important)
rate the importance of the potentil. Satisfied with current underwriting pro Not familiar with such underwriting sys mplementation is too costly Unsatisfied with systems currently ava	1 (not cess stems	mport		2	3	4 5 (very important)
rate the importance of the potention. Satisfied with current underwriting provious familiar with such underwriting systemplementation is too costly Unsatisfied with systems currently available.	1 (not cess stems	mport		2	3	4 5 (very important)
e rate the importance of the potenti	1 (not cess items	mport		2	3	4 5(very important)

Previous Page Next Page (11 of 12)

	sstions you may have in the space below. You may also submit comments or
questions by email to Mike Batty at mbatty@delo	itte.com.
Thank you very much for helping to expand knowledge of au and will be contacted when the report is complete.	tomated underwriting in life insurance! You can expect to receive your thank you gift in 2-3 weeks
Please enter your email address:	
Previous Page Submit Survey (12 of 12)	