ACTUARIAL RESEARCH CLEARING HOUSE 1991 VOL. 2

Total Return, Duration and Convexity

Elias S.W. Shiu Department of Actuarial & Management Sciences University of Manitoba Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2

Let V denote the value or price of a fixed-income security. If the cash flows of the security are fixed and certain, the (continuous) yield to maturity or force of interest, δ , is a well-defined quantity and we may consider V as a function of δ ,

$$V = V(\delta).$$

Using Taylor's formula

$$V(\delta + \varepsilon) = V(\delta) + V'(\delta)\varepsilon + V''(\delta)\varepsilon^2/2 + \dots$$

we can estimate the change in the price of the security as its yield changes. We note that Jordan [Jo, p. 56, (2.49)] had given the approximation formula

$$a_{x}^{j} \approx a_{x}^{i} - \frac{j-i}{1+i} (la)_{x}^{i}$$

In this note we consider the price, V, as a function of both yield δ and time t. Let V(t, δ) denote the value of the security at time t evaluated with the continous yield rate δ . We also assume that δ is a differentiable function of time t, $\delta = \delta(t)$. We wish to examine how the security price changes as time passes and as yield changes.

The change in the price of the security as time passes from t to t + dt is given by the differential

$$dV = V(t + dt, \delta(t + dt)) - V(t, \delta(t)).$$

Applying the chain rule in multivariate calculus, we have

$$dV = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}dt + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \delta}d\delta$$
(1)

[For example, the value at time t of a zero coupon bond that will pay 1 at time T, t < T, is

 $V = e^{-(T-t)\delta}$

In this case formula (1) becomes $dV = V\delta dt - V(T-t)d\delta$.]

Rewrite (1) as

$$\frac{dV}{V} = \frac{\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}}{V} dt + \frac{\frac{\partial V}{\partial \delta}}{V} d\delta.$$
 (2)

The partial derivative $\partial V/\partial t$ gives the rate of change of the security value with respect to time, while everything else (i.e. interest rate) is held fixed. Thus the ratio

is the yield rate $\delta(t)$ of the security. The partial derivative $\partial V/\partial \delta$ gives the rate of change of the security value with respect to yield, while everything else (i.e. time) is fixed. Defining $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \Delta}$

$$D(t, \delta) = -\frac{\overline{\partial \delta}}{V}$$

we can rewrite equation (2) as

$$\frac{dV}{V} = \delta dt - D d\delta, \qquad (3)$$

which says that there are **two** components that make up the security's (instantaneous) **total** return — (i) yield (interest accrued) and (ii) capital gain or loss due to interest rate fluctuation. [For the zero-coupon bond, formula (3) is $dV/V = \delta dt - (T - t)d\delta$.] The quantity D is called *duration*. Formula (3) can be found in the book [Gr, p. 62, (4.3)]. Ayres and Barry [AB, (3)] also derive (3), but they use a different approach.

We now examine how the security price changes over a period of time, say, from time $t = t_0$ to time $t = t_1$. Integrating equation (3) from $t = t_0$ to $t = t_1$ yields

$$\frac{V(t_1, \delta(t_1))}{V(t_0, \delta(t_0))} = \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \delta(t)dt - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} D(t, \delta(t))d\delta(t)\right).$$
(4)

(Formula (4) can be found in [Gr, p. 63, (4.7)].) It follows from (4) that the security price at

time t_1 is the product of three terms: (i) the security price at time t_0 , (ii) the interest accumulation factor $e^{\int \Delta dt}$ and (iii) the capital gain/loss factor $e^{-\int Dd\delta}$.

Let us evaluate the integral $\int Dd\delta$. Integrating by parts yields

$$\int_{t=t_{0}}^{t=t_{1}} D d\delta(t) = \int_{t_{0}}^{t} D d[\delta(t) - \delta(t_{1})]$$
$$= [\delta(t_{1}) - \delta(t_{0})]D(t_{0}, \delta(t_{0})) + \int_{t_{0}}^{t} [\delta(t_{1}) - \delta(t)]dD.$$
(5)

Since

$$dD = \frac{\partial D}{\partial \delta} d\delta + \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} dt,$$

we need to find the partial derivatives $\partial D/\partial \delta$ and $\partial D/\partial t$. The *convexity* of the security is defined by the formula

$$C(t, \delta) = \frac{\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \delta^2}}{V}.$$

Applying the quotient rule for differentiation gives

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial \delta} = -(C - D^2), \qquad (6)$$

which is a well-known formula and can be found in [KBT, pp. 148], [Gr, p. 40], [S1, p. 101] and [Re, (1.18)]. With the definition

$$M(t, \delta) = C(t, \delta) - [D(t, \delta)]^2,$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial \delta} = -\mathbf{M}.$$
 (7)

(We note that Fong and Vasicek [FV1; FV2] use M^2 to denote our M, and Bierwag [Bi] calls the quantity M *inertia*.) The partial derivative of D with respect to t, $\partial D/\partial t$, turns out to be quite simple:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}D = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial \delta}\log_e V = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \delta \partial t}\log_e V = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \delta}\delta = -1.$$
 (8)

It follows from (7) and (8) that $\int_{t=t_0}^{t=t_1} [\delta(t_1) - \delta(t)] dD = -\int_{t_0}^{t_1} [\delta(t_1) - \delta(t)] M d\delta(t) - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} [\delta(t_1) - \delta(t)] dt. \quad (9)$

It also follows from (7) and (8) that $\partial M/\partial t = 0$. Hence the function M is a function of δ only, i.e.,

$$M(t, \delta) = M(\delta).$$

Thus, by the mean value theorem for integrals, there exists a point ξ between t₀ and t₁

such that $\delta(\xi)$ is between $\delta(t_0)$ and $\delta(t_1)$, and

$$\int_{t=t_{0}}^{t=t_{1}} [\delta(t_{1}) - \delta(t)] M d\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} M(\delta(t)) d[\delta(t_{1}) - \delta(t)]^{2}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} M(\delta(\xi)) [\delta(t_{1}) - \delta(t_{0})]^{2}.$$
(10)

Applying formulas (4), (5), (9) and (10), we obtain the formula

$$V(t_1, \delta(t_1)) = V(t_0, \delta(t_0)) \exp\{(t_1 - t_0)\delta(t_1) - D(t_0, \delta(t_0))[\delta(t_1) - \delta(t_0)] + M(\delta(\xi))[\delta(t_1) - \delta(t_0)]^2/2\}$$

This is a valuation equation at time t₁. We can rewrite it as one at time t_n:

$$V(t_1, \, \delta(t_1)) \exp[-(t_1 - t_0)\delta(t_1)]$$

= $V(t_0, \, \delta(t_0)) \exp\{-D(t_0, \, \delta(t_0))[\delta(t_1) - \delta(t_0)] + M(\delta(\xi))[\delta(t_1) - \delta(t_0)]^2/2\}.$ (11)

Note that, for the formulas

$$\partial \log_{e} V/\partial t = \delta(t), t \in [t_0, t_1],$$

and

$$\partial D/\partial t = -1$$
, $t \in [t_0, t_1]$,

to hold, there should be no payment or cash flow occurring in the time interval [t₀, t₁].

Remarks

There is another approach to obtain (11). Note that

$$V(t_1, \delta(t_1)) \exp[-(t_1 - t_0)\delta(t_1)] = V(t_0, \delta(t_1)).$$

Apply the formula

$$\frac{V(t, \delta_1)}{V(t, \delta_0)} = \exp\{\int_{\delta = \delta_0}^{\delta = \delta_1} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} \log_e V(t, \delta)\right] d\delta\} = \exp[-\int_{\delta = \delta_0}^{\delta = \delta_1} D(t, \delta) d\delta],$$

and expand D(t, δ) as a Taylor series in δ . The derivatives of D with respect to δ can be obtained in terms of cumulants; see pages 100 and 101 of [S1]. Also see [Re].

If we assume $\delta(t)$ to follow a diffusion process, we need to apply Itô's lemma to calculate the differential dV. Then there is an extra term

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \delta^2} (d\delta)^2$$

in formula (1). See [Bo], [AI], [Le] and [Ma].

This note can be viewed as an attempt to have a better understanding of Redington's theory of immunization. For an approach to extend Redington's theory, see [FV1], [FV2], [MP], [S2] and [S3].

One may want to generalize the above to the case in which the cash flows are not fixed and certain. However, what is the yield rate for a stream of stochastic cash flows?

Acknowledgment

Support from the Great-West Life Assurance Company and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [AI] Albrecht, P. "A Note on Immunization under a General Stochastic Equilibrium
 Model of the Term Structure," *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 4 (1985):
 239-244.
- [AB] Ayres, H.F., and Barry, J.Y. "The Equilibrium Yield Curve for Government Securities," *Financial Analysts Journal*, (May-June 1979): 31-39.
- [Bi] Bierwag, G.O. Duration Analysis: Managing Interest Rate Risk. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Ballinger, 1987.
- [Bo] Boyle, P.P. "Immunization under Stochastic Models of the Term Structure," *Journal of the Institute of Actuaries*, 105 (1978): 177-187.
- [FV1] Fong, H. G., and Vasicek, O. "Return Maximization for Immunized Portfolios." In [KBT], 227-238.
- [FV2] Fong, H. G., and Vasicek, O. "A Risk Minimizing Strategy for Portfolio Immunization," *Journal of Finance*, 39 (1984): 1541-1546.
- [Gr] Granito, M.R. Bond Portfolio Immunization. Lexington, Massachusetts: D.C. Heath, 1984.
- [Jo] Jordan, C.W., Jr. Life Contingencies, 2nd ed. Chicago: Society of Actuaries, 1967.
- [KBT] Kaufman G.G., Bierwag G.O., and Toevs A. (editors) Innovations in Bond Portfolio Management: Duration Analysis and Immunization. Greenwich, Connecticut: JAI Press Inc., 1983.

- [Le] Levin, R. Discussion of "Measuring the Interest Rate Risk," *Transactions of the Society of Actuaries*, 37 (1985): 267-275.
- [Ma] Manistre, B.J. "Some Simple Models of the Investment Risk in Individual Life Insurance," Actuarial Research Clearing House, (1990.2): 101-177.
- [MP] Montrucchio, L., and Peccati, L. "A Note on Shiu-Fisher-Weil Immunization Theorem," *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 10 (1991): 125-131.
- [Re] Reitano, R.R. "A Multivariate Approach to Duration Analysis," Actuarial Research Clearing House, (1989.2): 97-181.
- [S1] Shiu, E.S.W. Discussion of "Some Moment Inequalities and Their Applications," *Transactions of the Society of Actuaries*, 38 (1986): 96-102.
- [S2] Shiu, E.S.W. "Immunization of Multiple Liabilities," Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, 7 (1988): 219-224.
- [S3] Shiu, E.S.W. "On Redington's Theory of Immunization," *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 9 (1990): 171-176.