

Hedging Policy Consistency Theory vs. Practice: The Role of Management's Expectations in the Implementation of Hedging Policy¹

Vladimir Antikarov

Presented at the:
2011 Enterprise Risk Management Symposium
Society of Actuaries
March 14-16, 2011

Copyright 2011 by the Society of Actuaries.

All rights reserved by the Society of Actuaries. Permission is granted to make brief excerpts for a published review. Permission is also granted to make limited numbers of copies of items in this monograph for personal, internal, classroom or other instructional use, on condition that the foregoing copyright notice is used so as to give reasonable notice of the Society's copyright. This consent for free limited copying without prior consent of the Society does not extend to making copies for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for inclusion in new collective works or for resale.

1. The content of this paper represents the views of the author only.

Abstract

This paper addresses the contradiction between the financial theory of hedging and management practice. The theory has identified a series of legitimate reasons for companies to hedge and create shareholder value. When these conditions are present, companies are supposed to implement a consistent level of hedging over a period of time. This paper examines how consistent hedging policy is incompatible with management's competencies and role in the company, requiring management to form strong future price expectations. We outline key differences between an insurance decision model and a hedging decision model. Based on these differences, we describe a process that management actually follows when making decisions about hedging and stress the role of the expectations discrepancy in this process. We call this decision process management expectations-based hedging.

Keywords: Hedging, Hedging Policy, Derivatives, Risk Management, Insurance, Management Expectations, Management Expectations-based Hedging.