## A Comparative Analysis of Chronic and Non-Chronic **Insured Commercial Member Cost Trends**

Robert Bachler<sup>1</sup>, FSA, FCAS, MAAA Ian Duncan<sup>2</sup>, FSA, FIA, FCIA, MAAA, Iver Juster<sup>3</sup>, MD

Copyright 2005 by the Society of Actuaries.

All rights reserved by the Society of Actuaries. Permission is granted to make brief excerpts for a published review. Permission is also granted to make limited numbers of copies of items in this monograph for personal, internal, classroom or other instructional use, on condition that the foregoing copyright notice is used so as to give reasonable notice of the Society's copyright. This consent for free limited copying without prior consent of the Society does not extend to making copies for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for inclusion in new collective works or for resale.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  American Re Health Care, a Division of American Re-Insurance Company, Princeton, N.J.  $^{\rm 2}$  Lotter Actuarial Partners, Inc. New York, NY and Solucia Inc, Hartford, Conn.  $^{\rm 3}$  ActiveHealth Management, New York, N.Y

## **Abstract**

## Background

Disease management (DM) is increasingly encountered in health plans and employer groups as a health care intervention targeted to individuals with chronic diseases (chronics). To justify the investment by payers in DM, it is important to demonstrate beneficial clinical and financial outcomes. In the absence of randomized control studies, financial results are often estimated in a pre/post study in which the cost of chronics in the absence of DM can be predicted by their pre-DM year cost (on a per-member–per-month (PMPM) basis) adjusted for the non-chronic population's cost trend. The assumption made, not previously tested, is that absent DM, the chronic and non-chronic trends are identical.