

Article from:

Pension Section News

June 2001 – Issue No. 46



PENSION SECTION NEWS

NUMBER 46 JUNE 2001

Chairperson's Corner A Good Time To Be In The Pension Business?

by Bruce Cadenhead

few years back, many of us were concerned that the defined benefit pension business was rapidly disappearing. Even though many of us have been very busy over the past few years, the trend away from defined benefit plans and toward defined contribution plans continues. But as the landscape continues to change, we may have some reason to be cautiously optimistic about the long-term future of defined benefit plans.

A number of trends are converging to enhance the prospect of defined benefit plans:

- As baby boomers approach retirement, retirement income and retirement security are getting more attention.
- Life expectancies are increasing. A much larger portion of our life will be spent in retirement.
- Plan terminations and the conversion of traditional defined benefit plans to cash balance plans have focused a lot of attention on defined benefit plans. This trend may enhance employee appreciation of the value of both traditional and hybrid defined benefit plans.
- Recent stock market performance points out the risk of relying too heavily on defined contribution (DC) plans for retirement security.

(continued on page 3)

Excerpts from the PBGC Actuarial Valuation Report — 2000 Fiscal Year

Editor's Note: The 2000 Annual Report of the PBGC and the complete 2000 Actuarial Valuation Report, including additional actuarial data tables, are available from Loretta Berg at the PBGC, (202) 326-4040, upon request.

he 2000 Annual Report of the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC) contains a summary of the results of the September 30, 2000 actuarial valuation. The purpose of this separate Actuarial Valuation Report is to provide greater detail concerning the valuation of future benefits than is presented in PBGC's Annual Report.

Overview

The PBGC calculated and validated the present value of future benefits (PVFB) for both the single-employer and multi-employer programs and of non-recoverable financial assistance under the multi-employer program. For the single-employer program, the liability as of September 30, 2000, consisted of:

- \$10.02 billion for the 2,864 plans that have terminated
- \$2.75 billion for 10 probable terminations

Liabilities for "probable terminations" reflected reasonable estimates of the losses for plans that are likely to terminate in a future year. These estimated losses were based on conditions that existed as of PBGC's fiscal year-end. It is likely that one or more events subsequent to PBGC's fiscal year-end will occur, confirming the fact of the loss. In addition, the liability for reasonably possible terminations has been calculated and is discussed in Note 8 to the financial statements on page 38 of PBGC's 2000 Annual Report. A discussion of PBGC's potential claims and net financial condition over the next ten years is presented on pages 17-18 of that report.

(continued on page 4)

In This Issue

page	page	page
Excerpts from the PBGC Actuarial Valuation Report — 2000 Fiscal Year	The Continuing Search for the "Ideal" Pension Funding	Joint Meeting Committees on CRSR and CRSPED minutes16
by Joan M. Weiss1	by Thomas R. Benzmiller, Jeffrey A.	Announcement of New Web site
Chairperson's Corner	Rees, and Frank G. Burianek8	by Joint Board for Enrollment
by Bruce Cadenhead1	2001 Enrolled Actuaries Meeting - Multi-	of Actuaries18
Articles Needed for the News2	employer Plans Session and Workshop	2001 Meeting Editors Wanted19
QDRO's With Fewer Hassles	by Pam Marlin13	Tax Bill Includes Pension Reforms19
by Mitchell I. Serota6	Pension Forecasts, Part 2: The Model Has No Clothes	Continuing Education Update
	by Lawrence N. Bader14	by Barb Choyke20

Excerpts from the PBGC Actuarial Valuation Report — 2000 Fiscal Year continued from page 1

For the multi-employer program, the liability as of September 30, 2000 consisted of:

- \$4 million for 10 pension plans that terminated before passage of the Multi-Employer Pension Plan Amendments Act (MPPAA) of which the PBGC is trustee.
- \$414 million for probable and estimable post-MPPAA losses due to financial assistance to 47 multi-employer pension plans that were, or were expected to become, insolvent.

Actuarial Assumptions, Methods, and Procedures

The PBGC continues to review the actuarial assumptions used in the valuation to ensure that they remain consistent with current market conditions in the insurance industry and with PBGC's experience. The actuarial assumptions that are used in both the single-employer and multi-employer valuations are presented in the table (on page 5). Assumptions concerning data that were not available are discussed in the data section of this report.

As in previous valuations, the select and ultimate interest rates used to value PBGC liabilities were derived by using an assumed underlying mortality basis and current annuity purchase prices. The interest rates so determined for the 2000 valuation were 7.00% for the first 25 years after the valuation date and 6.75% thereafter. For the 1999 valuation, the interest rates were 7.00% for the first 25 years and 6.50% thereafter. These interest rates are dependent upon PBGC's mortality assumption which changed from FY 1999 to FY 2000 (see below).

Beginning with the FY 1997 valuation, the mortality assumptions were updated by adopting the recommendations from a study by an independent consulting firm. This study recommended that, when conducting valuations for its financial

statements, the PBGC use the male and female 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Static Tables (with margins), set forward two years, for healthy males and females. The study also recommended that continuing mortality improvements be taken into account by using Projection Scale AA, also set forward two years, to project these tables a fixed number of years. At each valuation date, the fixed number of years will be determined as the sum of the elapsed time from the date of the table (1994) to the valuation date, plus the period of time from the valuation date to the average date of payment of future benefits (the duration). This is an approximation to a fully projected table. Thus, the mortality table used for healthy lives in the 2000 valuation is the 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Static Table (with margins), set forward two years, projected 14 years to 2008 using Scale AA. The 14 years recognizes the six years from 1994 to 2000 plus the eight-year duration of the 9/30/99 liabilities. The 1999 assumption incorporated a 14-year projection, determined as the sum of the five years from 1994 to 1999, and the nine-year duration of the 9/30/98 liabilities.

The model used to determine the reserve for future administrative expenses was changed in FY 2000 based on a study by an independent consultant.

There was no change in the assumptions for retirement ages.

The Small Plan Average Recovery Ratio (SPARR) assumptions as shown in the table on page 5 were updated to reflect the actual SPARRs calculated for FY 1998 (6.84%). The SPARRs for subsequent years are assumed to equal the FY 1998 SPARR.

The change in the method of obtaining seriatim data was the principal improvement in valuation processing for 2000. We now obtain data directly from the official Genesis database, rather than through a transitional system that mimicked the structure of the prior

PAY3000 database. This change enables us to capture a more complete data set and to utilize more fully the unique features of Genesis. Among the associated improvements in calculation are better error detection and analysis, explicit valuation of payments to be recouped by PBGC, and more accurate valuation of future lump sums.

We continued our ongoing efforts to improve the quality of the seriatim data and, as in other years, made various changes to improve the accuracy, speed, and auditability of the calculations and to integrate with the evolving PBGC computer environment.

Statement of Actuarial Opinion

This valuation has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices and, to the best of my knowledge, fairly reflects the actuarial present value of the corporation's liabilities for the single-employer and multi-employer plan insurance programs as of September 30, 2000.

In preparing this valuation, I have relied upon information provided to me regarding plan provisions, plan participants, plan assets, and other matters.

In my opinion: (1) the techniques and methodology used for valuing these liabilities are generally accepted within the actuarial profession; (2) the assumptions used are appropriate for the purposes of this statement and are individually my best estimate of expected future experience discounted using current settlement rates from insurance companies; and (3) the resulting total liability represents my best estimate of anticipated experience under these programs.

Joan M. Weiss, FSA, is chief valuation actuary at Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation in Washington, D.C.

ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

	Previous Valuation as of 9/30/99	Current Valuation as of 9/30/00
Interest Rate	Select and Ultimate • 7.00% for 25 years • 6.50% thereafter	Select and Ultimate • 7.00% for 25 years • 6.75% thereafter
Mortality • Healthy Lives	• 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Static Table (with margins), set forward two years, projected 14 years to 2008 using Scale AA	Same
 Disabled Lives Not Receiving Social Security Disabled Lives Receiving Social Security 	 Healthy Lives Table set forward three years Social Security disability table as described in subpart B of PBGC Regulations on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans for persons up to age 64, adjusted to parallel the table for disabled lives not receiving Social Security benefits for ages above 64. 	Same
SPARR	Calculated SPARR for fiscal years for which it has been calculated. The most recent calculated SPARR is assumed for years for which the calculation is not yet completed (most recent SPARR: FY 1997 = 5.98%).	Calculated SPARR for fiscal years for which it has been calculated. The most recent calculated SPARR is assumed for years for which the calculation is not yet completed (most recent SPARR: FY 1998 = 6.84%).
Retirement Ages	 (a) Earliest possible for shutdown companies (b) Expected retirement age (XRA) tables from 29 CFR 4044 for ongoing companies (c) Participants past XRA are assumed to be in pay status. (d) Unlocated participants past normal retirement age (NRA) are phased out over three years to reflect lower likelihood of payment 	Same
Expenses	All terminated plans and single-employer probable terminations: 1.30% of the liability for benefits plus additional reserves for cases where plan asset determinations, participant database audits, and actuarial valuations were not complete.	All terminated plans and single-employer probable terminations: 1.18% of the liability for benefits plus additional reserves for cases where plan asset determinations, participant database audits, and actuarial valuations were not complete.