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## Negative Interest Rates

Japanese government bond yields
In percent


## Trend of U.S. Interest Rates

FRED - Effective Federal Funds Rate


## Motivation

$\square$ Research on the effect of a negative interest rate policy (NIRP) on the insurance industry is limited (Alberts, 2020).
$\square$ Whether some widely adopted duration measures (e.g. the Macauley and modified durations) can be used in the negative interest rate environment remains poorly understood.

## Modified Duration ( $D^{M}$ )



## Contributions

$\square$ We provide initial evidence that a decline in interest rates in the negative interest rate environment produces a much more serious consequence on life insurers than that in the positive interest rate environment.
$\square$ We add to the asset-liability management (ALM)
literature by studying a life insurer's optimal decision with a duration constraint based on the Vasicek interest rate model (1977).

## Presentation Outline

$\square$ Empirical analyses
D Duration based on Vasicek model
$\square$ Basic ALM framework for life insurers
$\square$ Numerical illustration
$\square$ Conclusion

## Empirical Analyses

$\square$ Data: 38 Japanese life insurers and 2-,3-,5-,7- year Japanese government coupon bonds' yearly YTM from 1999 to 2018.
$\square$ OLS Regressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& R O A_{i, t}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { Interest rate variable } n_{n, t} \\
& +\Gamma^{\prime} \text { Control variable } i, t+\text { Firm Effect }{ }_{i}+\epsilon_{i, t} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## OLS Model (1)

$\square$ Interest rate-return association analysis

| Variable | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y T M_{n, t}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator variable of $Y T M_{n, t}$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  |
| Positive $Y T M_{n, t}$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Negative $Y T M_{n, t}$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Premium Growth Rate $_{i, t}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| $\log (\text { Total Asset })_{i, t}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Firm Effect ${ }_{i}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## OLS Model (1) Result

Table 3: The impact of interest rate on Japanese life insurers' performance

| Variable | (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) |  | (4) |  | (5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.2555 \\ (-7.66) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} -0.2438 \\ (-7.96) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.2544 \\ (-7.63) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} -0.2437 \\ (-7.97) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.2535 \\ (-7.65) \end{gathered}$ | *** |
| 5-year Japanese government bond YTM (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0077 \\ & (3.51) \end{aligned}$ | *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $=1$ if 5-year Japanese government bond $\mathrm{YTM}<0 ; 0$ otherwise |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0052 \\ & (-3.24) \end{aligned}$ | *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive 5-year Japanese government bond YTM (\%) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0077 \\ & (3.25) \end{aligned}$ | *** |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0053 \\ & (1.75) \end{aligned}$ | * |
| Negative 5-year Japanese government bond YTM (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0432 \\ & (3.03) \end{aligned}$ | *** | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0313 \\ & (2.22) \end{aligned}$ | ** |
| Premium growth rate | $\begin{gathered} 0.0045 \\ (0.82) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0029 \\ (0.52) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0046 \\ (0.86) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0029 \\ (0.54) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0038 \\ (0.69) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Log(total assets) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0163 \\ (7.94) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} 0.0158 \\ (8.18) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} 0.0162 \\ (7.92) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} 0.0158 \\ (8.18) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} 0.0163 \\ (7.95) \end{gathered}$ | *** |
| $R^{2}$ | 0.6989 |  | 0.6983 |  | 0.6976 |  | 0.6981 |  | 0.7007 |  |
| Firm-fixed Effect | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| No. of Obs | 282 |  | 282 |  | 282 |  | 282 |  | 282 |  |

Note: t-statistics based on standard errors clustered at the year level are reported in parentheses.*, **, and *** indicate significance at the $0.10,0.05$, and 0.01 levels.

## OLS Model (2)

$\square$ Interest rate-return association analysis

| Variable | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y T M_{n, t}$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator variable of $Y T M_{n, t}$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Positive $Y T M_{n, t}$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Negative $Y T M_{n, t}$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Premium Growth Rate $i_{i, t}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\log (\text { Total Asset })_{i, t}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Firm Effect ${ }_{i}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Model (2) Result

Table 3: The impact of interest rate on Japanese life insurers' performance

| Variable | (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) |  | (4) |  | (5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | $\begin{gathered} -0.2555 \\ (-7.66) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} -0.2438 \\ (-7.96) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} -0.2544 \\ (-7.63) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} -0.2437 \\ (-7.97) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} -0.2535 \\ (-7.65) \end{gathered}$ | *** |
| 5-year Japanese government bond YTM (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0077 \\ & (3.51) \end{aligned}$ | *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $=1$ if 5 -year Japanese gov- ernment bond YTM $<0 ; 0$ otherwise |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0052 \\ & (-3.24) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive 5-year Japanese government bond YTM (\%) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0077 \\ & (3.25) \end{aligned}$ | *** |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0053 \\ & (1.75) \end{aligned}$ | * |
| Negative 5-year Japanese government bond YTM (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0432 \\ & (3.03) \end{aligned}$ | *** | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0313 \\ & (2.22) \end{aligned}$ | ** |
| Premium growth rate | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0045 \\ & (0.82) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0029 \\ (0.52) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0046 \\ & (0.86) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0029 \\ & (0.54) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0038 \\ (0.69) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\log$ (total assets) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0163 \\ & (7.94) \end{aligned}$ | *** | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0158 \\ & (8.18) \end{aligned}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} 0.0162 \\ (7.92) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0158 \\ & (8.18) \end{aligned}$ | *** | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0163 \\ & (7.95) \end{aligned}$ | *** |
| $R^{2}$ | 0.6989 |  | 0.6983 |  | 0.6976 |  | 0.6981 |  | 0.7007 |  |
| Firm-fixed Effect | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| No. of Obs | 282 |  | 282 |  | 282 |  | 282 |  | 282 |  |

Note: t-statistics based on standard errors clustered at the year level are reported in parentheses.*, ${ }^{* *}$, and ${ }^{* * *}$ indicate significance at the $0.10,0.05$, and 0.01 levels.

## OLS Model (5)

$\square$ Interest rate-return association analysis

| Variable | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y T M_{n, t}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator variable of $Y T M_{n, t}$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| Positive $Y$ TM $M_{n, t}$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Negative $Y T M_{n, t}$ |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Premium Growth Rate $_{i, t}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| $\log (\text { Total Asset })_{i, t}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Firm Effect ${ }_{i}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |

## Model (5) Result

Table 3: The impact of interest rate on Japanese life insurers' performance


Note: t-statistics based on standard errors clustered at the year level are reported in parentheses. ${ }^{*}$, ${ }^{* *}$, and ${ }^{* * *}$ indicate significance at the $0.10,0.05$, and 0.01 levels.

## Implications

A decrease in interest rates in the negative interest rate environment imposes a much more serious consequence on life insurers than that in the positive interest rate environment.

We need to investigate a new ALM model for life insurers in the negative interest rate environment.

## Duration Based on Vasicek model $\left(D^{V}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& d r_{t}=a\left(b-r_{t}\right)+\sigma_{r} d W_{r}(t)  \tag{3}\\
& P(0, t)=A(0, t) \exp \left(-B(0, t) r_{0}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& P_{c}(0, T)=c \sum_{t=1}^{T} P(0, t)+P(0, T)  \tag{5}\\
& D^{V}=-\frac{d P_{c}(0, T)}{d r_{0}} \frac{1}{P_{c}(0, T)} \\
& \quad=\frac{c \sum_{t=1}^{T} B(0, t) P(0, t)+B(0, T) P(0, T)}{P_{c}(0, T)} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

## Modified Duration vs. Duration Based on Vasicek Model

| c | 0.0100 |
| :--- | :--- |
| a | 0.0506 |
| b | 0.0692 |
| $\sigma_{r}$ | 0.0100 |
| T | 30 |



## Basic ALM Framework for Life Insurer

$\square$ Mortality model
$>$ Lee and Carter (1992)'s model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln q_{x, t}=\alpha_{x}+b_{x} k_{t}+\varepsilon_{x, t} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\square$ Annuity contracts
$>$ Consider a life insurer only has the annuity business.
$>$ Total liability at time $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t)=N_{0}\left(x_{0}+t\right) \cdot E \cdot a_{x_{0}+t} \quad t=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Total Assets

$\square$ 30-year Japanese government bond

$$
d r_{t}=a\left(b-r_{t}\right)+\sigma_{r} d W_{r}(t)
$$

$\square$ Asia-Pacific corporate bond index

$$
\begin{equation*}
d B(t)=B(t)\left[\mu d t+\sigma_{B} d W_{B}(t)\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\square$ Brownian motions of these two assets are correlated

$$
\begin{equation*}
d W_{B}(t) d W_{r}(t)=\rho d t \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\square$ Total assets at time t :

$$
\mathrm{A}(t)= \begin{cases}C_{0}+N_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot E \cdot a_{x_{0}} \cdot\left(1+l_{p}\right) & t=0  \tag{11}\\ A\left(t^{-}\right)-E \cdot N_{0}\left(x_{0}+t\right) & t=1,2, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

## Total Surplus

$\square$ The insurer's total surplus at time $t$ :

$$
S(t)= \begin{cases}C_{0}+N_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot E \cdot a_{x_{0}} \cdot\left(1+l_{p}\right)-N_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot E \cdot a_{x_{0}} & t=0  \tag{12}\\ A\left(t^{-}\right)-E \cdot N_{0}\left(x_{0}+t\right)-N_{0}\left(x_{0}+t\right) \cdot E \cdot a_{x_{0}+t} & t=1,2, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

## Basic Optimization Problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Maximize }  \tag{13}\\
\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, N_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array} S\left(t^{*}\right)
$$

Subject to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{VaR}_{\alpha}[S(t)] \geq \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{t}=1,2, \ldots, t^{*} \\
\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}=1 \\
0 \leq \omega_{i} \leq 1, i=1,2 \\
N_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)>0 \\
\text { Duration Constraint }
\end{gathered}
$$

- $\omega_{1}$ : weight in 30-year Japanese government bond
- $\omega_{2}$ : weight in corporate bond index.


## Duration Constraint <br> $\square$ Duration strategy 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
>D_{0}^{S}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D_{t}^{S}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\omega_{1} D_{t}^{M_{30}}+\omega_{2} D^{M_{B I}}-D_{t}^{M_{L}}, \text { for Modified duration }  \tag{15}\\
\omega_{1} D_{t}^{V_{30}}+\omega_{2} D^{V_{B I}}-D_{t}^{V_{L}}, \text { for Vasicek duration }
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Duration strategy 2
$>\gamma_{1} \leq D_{t}^{S} \leq \gamma_{2}, t=0,1, \ldots, \quad t^{*}$
where $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are constants that control for the size of duration mismatching in subsequent periods.


## Numerical Illustration

| $C_{0}$ | 1000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $l_{p}$ | 0.18 |
| $E$ | 1 |
| $V_{a} R_{\alpha}[S(t)] \geq \mathrm{R}$ | $\operatorname{VaR}_{0.01}[S(t)] \geq 0$ |
| c | $0.8 \%$ |
| $r_{0}$ | $-0.2 \%$ |
| $t^{*}$ | 10 |
| Average maturity of <br> corporate bond index | 4 |
| Average annual <br> coupon rate of <br> corporate bond index | $1.36 \%$ |

## Parameter Estimation of Lee-Carter Model

$\square$ Data: Japanese male population mortality tables (1950 2017) from the Human Mortality Database.
$\square$ Estimates


## Parameter Estimation of Vasicek Model

$\square$ Data: monthly yield data of Japanese government zero coupon bonds with 1-month,1-, 5-, $7-, 10-, 15-, 20-$, and 30-year maturities from April 2010 to April 2020.
$\square$ Estimates

| a | $0.0970^{* * *}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| b | $0.0120^{* * *}$ |
| $\sigma_{r}$ | $0.0028^{* * *}$ |

## Parameter Estimation of Geometric Brownian Motion

$\square$ Data: monthly total return index of Bloomberg Barclays Asia-Pacific 3-5 year corporate bond index from April 2010 to April 2020.
$\square$ Estimates

| $\mu$ | 0.0527 |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\sigma_{k}$ | 0.1119 |

$\square$ The correlation of the Brownian motions between the Japanese government zero coupon bond index and Bloomberg Barclays Asia-Pacific 3-5 year corporate bond index

## Difference in the Size of Duration Mismatching

| Table 8: Vasicek Optimal Solution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vasicek | $N_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ |
| strategy 1 | 198.9856 | 0.4837 | 0.5163 |
| strategy 2 | 181.4330 | 0.4201 | 0.5799 |

Table 9: Modified Optimal Solution

| Modified | $N_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| strategy 1 | 159.6332 | 0.3209 | 0.6791 |
| strategy 2 | 155.0015 | 0.2972 | 0.7028 |

Duration Mismatching
[-1.100,-0.260]

## Difference in Portfolio Weights

| Table 8: Vasicek Optimal Solution |  |  |  | Weight in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vasicek | $N_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | bond index |
| strategy 1 | 198.9856 | 0.48 | 0.5 |  |
| strategy | 181.4330 | 0.42 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 9: Modified Optimal Solution ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (-year |  |  |  |  |
| Modified | $N_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)$ | $\omega_{1} \quad \omega_{2} \quad$ Japanese |  |  |
| strategy 1 | 159.6332 | government bond |  |  |
| strategy 2 | 155.0015 |  |  |  |  |

$\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ denote the weights in 30-year Japanese government bond and corporate bond index, respectively.

## Difference in Annuity Units


$N_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)$ denotes the annuity units.

## Conclusions

$\square$ Our empirical analysis shows that a decrease in interest rates in the negative interest rate environment produces a much more serious consequence on life insurers than that in the positive interest rate environment.
$\square$ We propose an optimization framework to derive the optimal decision of a life insurer with a duration constraint based on the Vasicek interest rate model.
$\square$ The life insurer will assume more risk and will suffer a higher downside risk and greater duration mismatching if they use a modified duration constraint to implement their ALM.
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