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## Executive Summary

The Actuarial Consulting Firm (ACF) has enjoyed the opportunity to work with the Executive Committee of Hammessi Bayes on this project beginning January 2022. ACF has successfully applied machine learning techniques to build a player selection model and construct the first ever competitive national football team for Rarita, the Bayesian (Foot)Ballers. From its pre-existing organized leagues using 995 million Doubloons ( $\partial$ ) of government funding, this newly branded team has an initial roster of 25 Rarita football players.

ACF is confident that by following the ten-year implementation plan and annual review process in this report in conjunction with the player selection model, the Bayesian (Foot)Ballers will be a "competitive" team and qualify for the international Football and Sporting Association (FSA) League, place in the FSA top ten within the next five years, and have high probability of winning the FSA championship within ten years. As a result of this football brand, Rarita will undergo economic growth and development, detailed by GDP and profit increases after considering fixed and variable expenses throughout the next ten years. ACF has also provided in this report risk assessment and mitigation to assure the team's victory.

## Team Selection

## Player Selection Process

ACF utilized several resources to determine which player statistics are most indicative of success for each position. These resources included data-driven techniques such as decision trees and principal component analysis (PCA), along with literary sources. Notable important statistics included goals, shots, expected goals, pass completions, key passes, tackles that led to possession, successful pressures, interceptions, and save percentages.

Additionally, ACF used literary sources to determine which formation would best suit Rarita's initial team. The most optimal choices were 4-4-2, 4-5-1, or 4-3-3, with the two former options being more defensive and the latter more offensive. 4-5-1 was selected as the best fit for Rarita's scheme since it allows for the most flexibility with midfielders and has a more defensive nature, useful for newer teams.

After developing assumptions based on PCA (Appendix C Tables 16-19), ACF created a selection model to assign scores to players based on their position and statistical performance relative to other players of the same position; these scores serve as a measure of player skill for player selection. By applying the PCA's weight assumptions, scores (Equations 1-4) for player skills were generated based on 2020 and 2021 league data.

> Equation 1: Score Calculation for Forwards
> Forward Score $=0.60 *$ Shooting Score $+0.40 *$ Passing Score
> Equation 2: Score Calculation for Midfielders
> Midfielder Score $=0.25 *$ Shooting Score $+0.50 *$ Passing Score $+0.25 *$ Defense Score

Equation 3: Score Calculation for Defenders
Defender Score $=0.25 *$ Passing Score $+0.75 *$ Defense Score
Equation 4: Score Calculation for Goalkeepers
Goalkeeper Score = Goalkeeping Score
Final player scores for their primary position were then computed using a playing time weighted average (Equation 5).

$$
\text { Final Player Score }=\frac{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Equation 5: Final Player Score Calculation } \\
(202090 s * 2020 \text { Position Score }+202190 s * 2021 \text { Position Score })
\end{array}}{(202090 s+202190 s)}
$$

When selecting players, ACF adopted a holistic approach using player scores as a component of the process rather than the sole factor considered. The process involved selecting a mixture of younger players to start as backups, along with experienced players to serve as starters and mentor younger players. This ideology allows younger players time to develop before taking on starting roles and provides the baseline for a sustainable cycle of players over the next several years. Additionally, ACF
only considered Rarita players to increase national pride in the team amongst Rarita's citizens. The above analysis contributed to the Rarita players selected (Table 1).

Table 1: Team Selection

| Player | Nation | Position | Role |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U. Shoko | Rarita | FW | Starter |
| Z. Zziwa | Rarita | FW | Backup |
| I. Shoshan | Rarita | FW | Reserve |
| Q. Morrison | Rarita | MF | Starter |
| X. Leroy | Rarita | MF | Starter |
| P. Rabiu | Rarita | MFFW | Starter |
| Z. Kakai | Rarita | MFDF | Starter |
| J. Nurhayati | Rarita | MF | Starter |
| P. Villa | Rarita | MFFW | Backup |
| G. binti Salleh | Rarita | MFFW | Backup |
| L. Leibowitz | Rarita | MF | Backup |
| O. Wanjala | Rarita | MF | Backup |
| S. Barman | Rarita | MF | Backup |
| Y. Cheu | Rarita | MF | Reserve |
| Z. Rajabi | Rarita | DF | Starter |
| F. Acayo | Rarita | DF | Starter |
| K. Musah | Rarita | DF | Starter |
| S. Szabó | Rarita | DF | Starter |
| N. Terzi? | Rarita | DF | Backup |
| P. Murmu | Rarita | DF | Backup |
| X. Takagi | Rarita | DF | Backup |
| R. Tsao | Rarita | DF | Backup |
| W. Nasiru | Rarita | GK | Starter |
| F. Akumu | Rarita | GK | Backup |
| F. Ithungu | Rarita | GK | Reserve |

To verify the above player selection, ACF employed another similar methodology based on selected statistics determined by decision trees (Appendix B) and literature review. The weight assumptions and player selection results are in Appendix C. Through comparison with the PCA's selected players in Table 21, $76 \%$ of players selected were consistent across both methodologies, leading to confidence in PCA player selections (Table 1).

As another way to verify the above selection result, ACF applied the PCA player selection model to 2021 tournament data and then computed team scores for comparison to tournament results. Position grouping scores were computed for each team using a playing time weighted average for players in that position, and final team scores were created using Equation 6.

## Equation 6: Final Team Score Calculation

Final Team Score $=0.15 *$ Team Forward Score +
0.30 * Team Midfielder Score +
$0.30 *$ Team Defender Score +
0.25 * Team Goalkeeper Score

The results for final team scores (Appendix D Table 27) were directionally consistent with tournament results, confirming PCA as a promising method to select players.

## Probability of Success

Bayes stated two goals for success: finishing in the FSA top ten within five years and having a high likelihood of winning the FSA championship within ten years. The probabilities of achieving these goals were computed by comparing the position grouping scores of 2021 tournament nations with those of the Bayesian (Foot)Ballers. Upper and lower bound success probabilities for the Bayesian (Foot)Ballers were computed based on this comparison (Appendix E Tables 30-31). The analysis showed an $82.5 \%$ probability for the first goal and $62.5 \%$ for the second. More in-depth explanation is in Appendix E .

## Economic Impact

## Expenses

ACF considered expenses such as player and staff salaries as well as other expenses including facilities, rent, and equipment (Table 2).

Table 2: Fixed/Variable Distribution of Expense Categories

| Expense <br> Category | Expense | Variable Percentage | Fixed Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Salaries | Player Salaries | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Salaries | Management, Board, Committees | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Other | Facilities, Rent, Equipment, etc. | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

To be consistent with practices of other national teams, player salaries for the team will be paid on a per-match basis. To estimate the cost of all player salaries in a season, the per-match salary was set at $23,453.03$ (Appendix F). ACF assumed that teams play four "friendlies", or non-tournament matches against other teams, each season. Qualifying rounds are common in the FSA tournament. Based on the number of teams in the tournament data, ACF assumed that two zones of 28 teams each would be used for qualifying, including Rarita's Bayesian (Foot)Ballers. If the team qualifies, they can progress to the tournament.

The lower bound, average, and upper bound for number of matches per season is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Matches Played Estimation

| Match Type | Lower Bound No. Matches | Average No. Matches | Upper Bound No. Matches |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Friendlies | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Qualifiers | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Tournament | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Total | 8 | 10 | 13 |

ACF calculated estimations for total player salaries using the number of players on the roster. Then, ACF estimated salaries for team staff such as management, committees, and board members, based on salaries from the United States Soccer Federation (USSF), separated into fixed and variable expenses. Other expenses were estimated using Rarita's Per Capita Other Expenses in the provided data. All variable expenses were scaled by the number of matches, since being knocked out of the tournament earlier will result in fewer expenses for training and staff.

Table 4: Annual Expenses

| Per Season Expense | Lower Bound $(\partial)$ | Average $(\partial)$ | Upper Bound $(\partial)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player Salaries | 690,606 | 863,258 | $1,122,235$ |
| Fixed Staff Costs | $16,118,713$ | $16,118,713$ | $16,118,713$ |
| Variable Staff Costs | $3,393,413$ | $4,241,767$ | $5,514,297$ |
| Fixed Other Expenses | $450,694,187$ | $450,694,187$ | $450,694,187$ |
| Variable Other Expenses | $23,720,747$ | $29,650,933$ | $38,546,213$ |
| Total Expenses | $494,617,667$ | $501,568,858$ | $511,995,645$ |

## Revenue and Profit

Broadcast and commercial revenue were considered as the main sources of revenue for the international team, since ACF assumed that the FSA would receive any ticket revenue from games. ACF calculated broadcast and commercial revenues using Rarita's 2016-2021 Per Capita Broadcast and Per Capita Commercial revenues (Appendix F). ACF assumed revenues as 20\% fixed and $80 \%$ variable. Profit per season was calculated from the total revenue per season and total expenses per season (Table 5). This indicates that Rarita's new team is profitable.

Table 5: Seasonal Expenses, Revenues, and Profits

|  | Lower Bound $(\partial)$ | Average $(\partial)$ | Upper Bound $(\partial)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Expenses Per Season | $494,617,667$ | $501,568,85$ | $511,995,645$ |
| Total Revenue Per Season | $620,181,628$ | $691,059,528$ | $797,376,379$ |
| Total Profit Per Season | $125,563,962$ | $189,490,670$ | $285,380,734$ |

Tournament winners earn an unknown amount of prize money, so the prize was not included as potential revenue. However, if the Bayesian (Foot)Ballers win a tournament, it is recommended that half of the prize money is split among the players and the other half used to reinvest in the team or make charitable contributions to sports organizations around Rarita.

## Financial Projections

To estimate the spot rates as of January 1, 2022, ACF selected the median of the provided spot rates at each maturity (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Projected Spot Rates


ACF used the estimated spot rates to calculate future values for expenses, revenues, and profit. Figure 2 illustrates lower bound, average, and upper bound profit projections.

Figure 2: Projected Annual Profits


## GDP Impact

The annual GDP impact was calculated by Equation 7.

Equation 7: GDP Impact Per Capita
GDP Per Capita $=\frac{\text { Profit }}{\text { Population }}$

The total Rarita population data was given up to 2020; thus, the total population was projected through 2032 (Appendix H). The annual Rarita GDP can be observed in Figure 3 and Appendix H. The Bayesian (Foot)Ballers are projected to annually increase the Rarita GDP per capita regardless of number of matches played.

Figure 3: Projected Annual GDP Impact


The Bayesian (Foot)Ballers will bring economic benefits to other related industries. Restaurants and bars will have more customers watching matches. Retail will also benefit from the sale of teamrelated merchandise. Sponsoring brands will see increased sales from the recognition. Hosting friendlies will increase tourism, bringing revenue to related transportation industries.

## Sensitivity Analysis

ACF tested the spot and inflation rate sensitivities. To test the spot rate sensitivity, ACF applied the lower quartile and upper quartile of spot rates (Table 33) to the average profit scenario.

Figure 4: Spot Rate Sensitivity Analysis for Profit


Similarly, the inflation rate's sensitivity was tested by increasing and decreasing the base inflation rate (2.5\%) by $10 \%$.

Figure 5: Inflation Rate Sensitivity Analysis for Profit


The sensitivity to the change in spot rate and inflation rate increases as time increases. However, it appears that the profit projections under the average scenario are more sensitive to a change in spot rate than a change in inflation rate.

## Data, Data Limitations, and Assumptions

## Data and Data Limitations

ACF received player statistics from the 2020 and 2021 league and tournament seasons. However, only the tournament results were provided. Similarly, some players did not have populated statistics or were only documented within the league or tournament datasets. Financial information was provided for Rarita and other nation's football teams at a total level that included the national teams and league teams. Therefore, ACF was unable to directly allocate expenses that would be applicable to the Rarita National Team.

ACF came up with solutions or assumptions for the following data limitations (Table 6).
Table 6: Data Limitations and Solutions

| Limitation | Solution or Assumption |
| :--- | :--- |
| No league results data for 2020 <br> and 2021 | Used the tournament data and tournament results for <br> creating player selection criteria |
| No passing or defense tournament <br> data for 2020 | Used the 2021 tournament data and tournament results <br> for creating player selection criteria |
| Some players are assigned a <br> combination of positions (i.e. DFFW) | Assumed the first position listed was their "primary" <br> position |
| Some players in the league data are <br> not included in the tournament data | Assumed that player statistics would be consistent within <br> a league and tournament setting |
| Nation Dosqaly does not have 2021 <br> tournament goalkeeping data | Nation Dosqaly was excluded from analysis |
| No player injury or penalty data | Advised to collect player injury and penalty data in the <br> future as data to monitor |

Data used for expenses included the USSF's 2021 Audited Financial Statement, and for player salaries, ACF used data from England's Football Association, the United States Women's National Team, and the United States Men's National Team.

## Assumptions

Table 7: Assumptions

| Variable | Assumption |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Matches | Bayesian (Foot)Ballers play four friendlies, play in a 28-team <br> qualifying round, and may play in a 24-team FSA tournament, <br> resulting in an estimated 8 to 13 matches. |
| Expenses, Revenues, and Profit | These variables are consistent for each year. |
| Annual Inflation Rate | $2.50 \%$ |
| Currency Exchange Rates | The Dollar To Euro 5-year Average is 0.870. The Euro to <br> Doubloon 5-year Average is 1.134. |
| Player Position | For players with multiple positions listed, the first position is <br> their primary position and serves as the basis for their positional <br> score. |
| Tournament/League Data | Tournament and league data are collected in a comparable <br> manner. |
| Player Salary | The per-match player salary is $\partial 3,453.03$ (Appendix F). |

## Implementation Plan

ACF developed an annual plan for the Bayesian (Foot)Ballers for repeated tasks (Figure 6) as well as a full ten-year timeline (Table 8).

Figure 6: Annual Plan
October-December
Re-Assess Player Success
Assumptions Based on
Emerging Data, Project
Revenue and Expenses for
Upcoming Year


## August-September

Review Results of Tournament,
Review Direct Tournament
Revenue and Expenses, Initial
Rarita Player and Staff
Evaluations

## January

Re-evaluate Player
Selection and Coaching Staff Based on New Tournament and League Data


## Febuary-March

Finalize FSA Championship Players and Coaching Staff, Review Previous Year's Revenue and Expenses, Staff Adjust
Tactics Based on Previous Years


## April-May

Begin Player
Training, Heavy
Focus on Marketing
Upcoming
Tournament,
Schedule
"Friendlies"

Table 8: Ten Year Implementation Plan

| Years | - Heavy emphasis on marketing the new team to Rarita citizens |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1-3$ | - Qualify for the international FSA |
|  | - Veteran players mentor younger players <br>  <br>  <br> - |
| Years | - Finish in the top ten by year 3 |

## Data to Monitor

To improve future player selection and to conduct player performance reviews, the following data should be collected on an annual basis (Table 9).

Table 9: Data to Monitor

| Annual Report Metric | Source |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tournament Rankings | Tournament player and team scores based on <br> current assumptions |
| Annual Revenue | Both direct revenue from tournaments and <br> indirect revenue |
| Annual Expenses | Both direct expenses from tournaments and <br> indirect expenses |
| Detailed Tournament Player and Rarita <br> League Player Statistics | Continue collection of player statistics; collect <br> injury and penalty data |

## Risk and Risk Mitigation Considerations

Injury Risk

- Intrinsic risk factors include age and muscle strength, while extrinsic factors include equipment and rules of play.
- Repeated injury can cause chronic conditions, impact team performance, and have negative financial impact.
o The 2014 FIFA World Cup had 1.7 injuries per match and 1.0 injuries per match expected to result in time loss.

Injury Risk Mitigation

- Employ thorough training programs and invest in player injury and health insurance.
o The FIFA 11+ Training Program reduced injuries by $30 \%$ for teams who participated at least twice per week.

Reputation Risk

- "Football hooliganism" refers to violent and abusive fans or players, which has resulted in injuries, deaths, and damaged reputations.
- Although rare, it is an imperative risk to mitigate due to the potential dire impact to Rarita's reputation.

Reputation Risk Mitigation

- Separate fans by nation, have video surveillance for security, and impose punishments for rowdy behavior.
- Hire a sports psychologist and a coach who also emphasizes sportsmanship.

Economic risk due to changing spot and inflation rates is not a concern based on our sensitivity analysis. Other broader risks such terrorism, political, and pandemic risks can be mitigated by hiring a risk management officer, ensuring a governing body in the Rarita league, and seeking general liability insurance.

## Conclusion

ACF strongly advises the Executive Committee of Hammessi Bayes to utilize the player selection framework based on a 4-5-1 formation and implementation plan in this report to launch the Bayesian (Foot)Ballers by 2023. The team is predicted with high probability to place in the FSA top ten within five years and to win the FSA championship within ten years. ACF's supporting analysis and financial projections have revealed that Rarita can launch a future top team in the FSA that subsequently brings an economic boom and increase in tourism from broadcast and commercial revenue and a reputable football brand.

## Appendix A - PCA

Due to the large number of player statistics, ACF used PCA to reduce the dimensionality while continuing to encapsulate the data's information. PCA was performed on the 2021 shooting, passing, defense, and goalkeeping player statistics separately. Principal components were chosen until approximately $60 \%$ of the total variation was explained (Table 10). Similarly, the principal components are listed in the appendix in Tables 11-14.

Weights for player scoring were then found by computing a weighted average of the principal components selected using the proportion of variance explained as weights. These weighted average components were then scaled so the sum of the components was equal to one. Finally, these scaled weighted average values were multiplied by the weights found in Table 20 to arrive at the final weights for player scoring (Tables 16-19).

Table 10: Principal Component Selections by Statistical Category

| Player Statistic Data | Number of Principal <br> Components Selected | Cumulative Proportion of <br> Variance Explained |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shooting | 2 | $59.6 \%$ |
| Passing | 2 | $60.1 \%$ |
| Defense | 4 | $61.0 \%$ |
| Goalkeeping | 2 | $61.4 \%$ |

Table 11: Principal Components for Shooting Statistics

| Shooting Principal Component |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Statistic | PC1 | PC2 |
| Gls | 0.303 | 0.435 |
| Standard Sh | 0.369 | -0.205 |
| Standard SoT | 0.380 | 0.052 |
| Standard FK | 0.080 | -0.024 |
| Performance PK | 0.081 | -0.052 |
| Standard Sh/90 | 0.366 | -0.206 |
| Standard SoT/90 | 0.373 | 0.039 |
| Performance PKatt | 0.078 | -0.017 |
| Expected xG | 0.411 | 0.019 |
| Expected npxG | 0.406 | 0.035 |
| Expected G-xG | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 2 4}$ | 0.599 |
| Expected np:G-xG | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 2 6}$ | 0.598 |
| Proportion of Variance Explained | $\mathbf{3 8 . 3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2 0 \%}$ |

Table 12: Principal Components for Passing Statistics

| Passing Principal Component |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Statistic | PC1 | PC2 |
| Total Cmp | 0.344 | -0.022 |
| Total Att | 0.342 | 0.008 |
| Total TotDist | 0.340 | -0.117 |
| Total PrgDist | 0.271 | -0.115 |
| Short Att | 0.239 | 0.196 |
| Short Cmp | 0.256 | 0.179 |
| Medium Cmp | 0.309 | -0.129 |
| Medium Att | 0.314 | -0.100 |
| Long Cmp | 0.253 | -0.182 |
| Long Att | 0.232 | -0.151 |
| Ast | 0.017 | 0.393 |
| xA | 0.008 | 0.423 |
| A-xA | 0.046 | 0.213 |
| KP | 0.266 | -0.007 |
| 1/3 | 0.095 | 0.396 |
| PPA | 0.050 | 0.293 |
| CrsPA | 0.238 | 0.213 |
| Prog | $\mathbf{4 4 . 1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 9 9 \%}$ |
| Proportion of Variance Explained |  |  |

Table 13: Principal Components for Defense Statistics

| Defense Principal Component |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Statistic | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 |
| Tackles Tkl | 0.359 | -0.233 | 0.052 | -0.141 |
| Tackles TklW | 0.313 | -0.205 | 0.018 | -0.261 |
| Tackles Def 3rd | 0.313 | -0.203 | 0.042 | 0.177 |
| Tackles Mid 3rd | 0.250 | -0.220 | 0.103 | -0.224 |
| Tackles Att 3rd | 0.108 | 0.036 | -0.098 | -0.417 |
| Tkl+Int | 0.316 | -0.250 | -0.098 | -0.083 |
| Pressures Press | 0.269 | 0.401 | 0.014 | -0.081 |
| Pressures Succ | 0.254 | 0.303 | 0.025 | -0.053 |
| Pressures Def 3rd | 0.262 | 0.118 | -0.271 | 0.088 |
| Pressures Mid 3rd | 0.205 | 0.333 | 0.111 | -0.144 |
| Pressures Att 3rd | 0.103 | 0.393 | 0.169 | -0.099 |
| Blocks Blocks | 0.074 | 0.151 | -0.553 | 0.005 |
| Blocks Sh | -0.006 | -0.014 | -0.379 | 0.216 |
| Blocks ShSv | 0.000 | -0.027 | -0.027 | 0.211 |
| Blocks Pass | 0.095 | 0.195 | -0.464 | -0.114 |
| Vs Dribbles Tkl | 0.305 | -0.192 | 0.113 | 0.178 |
| Vs Dribbles Att | 0.295 | 0.078 | 0.169 | 0.426 |


| Vs Dribbles Past | 0.183 | 0.238 | 0.151 | 0.450 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int | 0.121 | -0.147 | -0.198 | 0.013 |
| CIr | 0.029 | -0.172 | -0.274 | 0.267 |
| Err | -0.030 | -0.077 | -0.079 | 0.107 |
| Proportion of Variance Explained | $\mathbf{2 7 . 3 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8 8 \%}$ |

Table 14: Principal Components for Goalkeeping Statistics

| Goalkeeping Principal Component |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Statistic | PC1 | PC2 |
| Playing Time MP | 0.376 | 0.233 |
| Playing Time Starts | 0.359 | 0.279 |
| Playing Time Min | 0.367 | 0.258 |
| Playing Time 90s | 0.369 | 0.251 |
| Performance GA | -0.321 | 0.245 |
| Performance Saves | -0.165 | 0.334 |
| W | 0.307 | -0.022 |
| D | -0.108 | 0.037 |
| L | 0.177 | 0.229 |
| Performance CS | -0.160 | 0.348 |
| Performance PKatt | 0.044 | 0.182 |
| Penalty Kicks PKA | -0.044 | 0.353 |
| Penalty Kicks PKsv | -0.236 | 0.065 |
| Penalty Kicks PKm | $\mathbf{4 1 . 1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 3 4 \%}$ |
| Proportion of Variance Explained |  |  |

## Appendix B - Decision Trees

Regression trees for each position (goalkeeper, defender, midfielder, and forward) were used to predict 2021 tournament places based on 2021 tournament player statistics. Calculated player statistics such as goals minus expected goals were not included in the trees. Variables that resulted in predicted tournament place closest to 1 were considered further for inclusion in the final player selection model. The selected variables for further consideration by position are shown in Table 15.

Table 15: Decision Tree Variables

| Position | Regression Tree Variables |
| :--- | :--- |
| Goalkeeper | L |
| Defender | Tackles Att 3rd, 1/3, Tackles Def 3rd, <br> Pressures Def 3rd, Total Cmp |
| Midfielder | Medium Att, Tackles Att 3rd, <br> Pressures Def 3rd, KP, Short Cmp |
| Forward | Performance PK, Performance PKatt, <br> Total Cmp, Standard SoT |

## Appendix C - Player Score Weights

## Principal Component Approach

Table 16: PCA Shooting Statistical Weights by Position

|  | Weight for Position |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Statistic | FW | MF |
| Gls | 0.093 | 0.039 |
| Standard Sh | 0.044 | 0.018 |
| Standard SoT | 0.070 | 0.029 |
| Standard Sh/90 | 0.043 | 0.018 |
| Standard SoT/90 | 0.068 | 0.028 |
| Standard FK | 0.011 | 0.005 |
| Performance PK | 0.009 | 0.004 |
| Performance PKatt | 0.012 | 0.005 |
| Expected xG | 0.072 | 0.030 |
| Expected npxG | 0.073 | 0.030 |
| Expected G-xG | 0.053 | 0.022 |
| Expected np:G-xG | 0.052 | 0.022 |

Table 17: PCA Passing Statistical Weights by Position Weight for Position

| Statistic | FW |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Cmp | 0.031 | 0.039 | 0.019 |
| Total Att | 0.032 | 0.040 | 0.020 |
| Total TotDist | 0.028 | 0.034 | 0.017 |
| Total PrgDist | 0.021 | 0.027 | 0.013 |
| Short Cmp | 0.030 | 0.037 | 0.019 |
| Short Att | 0.029 | 0.036 | 0.018 |
| Medium Cmp | 0.024 | 0.030 | 0.015 |
| Medium Att | 0.017 | 0.032 | 0.016 |
| Long Cmp | 0.015 | 0.022 | 0.011 |
| Long Att | 0.016 | 0.018 | 0.009 |
| Ast | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| xA | 0.017 | 0.022 | 0.011 |
| A-xA | 0.024 | 0.031 | 0.015 |
| KP | 0.022 | 0.028 | 0.014 |
| 1/3 | 0.014 | 0.018 | 0.009 |
| PPA | 0.029 | 0.036 | 0.018 |
| CrsPA |  |  |  |
| Prog |  |  | 0.010 |

Table 18: PCA Defense Statistical Weights by Position

|  | Weight for Position |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Statistic | MF | DF |
| Tackles Tkl | 0.015 | 0.046 |
| Tackles TkIW | 0.010 | 0.029 |
| Tackles Def 3rd | 0.019 | 0.058 |
| Tackles Mid 3rd | 0.008 | 0.025 |
| Tackles Att 3rd | -0.002 | -0.007 |
| Vs Dribbles Tkl | 0.021 | 0.064 |
| Vs Dribbles Att | 0.037 | 0.111 |
| Vs Dribbles Past | 0.035 | 0.104 |
| Pressures Press | 0.032 | 0.095 |
| Pressures Succ | 0.028 | 0.084 |
| Pressures Def 3rd | 0.016 | 0.048 |
| Pressures Mid 3rd | 0.027 | 0.080 |
| Pressures Att 3rd | 0.024 | 0.073 |
| Blocks Blocks | -0.006 | -0.018 |
| Blocks Sh | -0.008 | -0.024 |
| Blocks ShSv | 0.002 | 0.007 |
| Blocks Pass | -0.003 | -0.008 |
| Int | -0.003 | -0.008 |
| Tkl+Int | 0.008 | 0.025 |
| Clr | -0.007 | -0.021 |
| Err | -0.005 | -0.015 |

Table 19: PCA Goalkeeping Statistical Weights by Position

|  | Weight for Position |
| :--- | ---: |
| Statistic | GK |
| Playing Time MP | 0.242 |
| Playing Time Starts | 0.244 |
| Playing Time Min | 0.243 |
| Playing Time 90s | 0.242 |
| Performance GA | -0.098 |
| Performance Saves | 0.000 |
| W | 0.145 |
| D | 0.062 |
| L | -0.104 |
| Performance CS | -0.022 |
| Performance PKatt | 0.015 |
| Penalty Kicks PKA | 0.066 |
| Penalty Kicks PKsv | 0.064 |
| Penalty Kicks PKm | -0.100 |

Table 20: Statistical Weights for Computing Player Scores

| Weights for Position | Shooting | Passing | Defense | Goalkeeping |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FW | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| MF | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| DF | $0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| GK | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 21: Player Selections from Principal Component Analysis

| Player | Nation | Position | Age | Final Score | Role |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| U. Shoko | Rarita | FW | 24 | 1.16 | Starter |
| Z. Zziwa | Rarita | FW | 23 | 1.26 | Backup |
| I. Shoshan | Rarita | FW | 30 | 1.27 | Reserve |
| Q. Morrison | Rarita | MF | 33 | 1.36 | Starter |
| X. Leroy | Rarita | MF | 26 | 1.60 | Starter |
| P. Rabiu | Rarita | MFFW | 27 | 1.12 | Starter |
| Z. Kakai | Rarita | MFDF | 26 | 1.06 | Starter |
| J. Nurhayati | Rarita | MF | 33 | 0.88 | Starter |
| P. Villa | Rarita | MFFW | 20 | 0.65 | Backup |
| G. binti Salleh | Rarita | MFFW | 26 | 1.16 | Backup |
| L. Leibowitz | Rarita | MF | 22 | 0.56 | Backup |
| O. Wanjala | Rarita | MF | 23 | 0.96 | Backup |
| S. Barman | Rarita | MF | 24 | 0.89 | Backup |
| Y. Cheu | Rarita | MF | 18 | 0.34 | Reserve |
| Z. Rajabi | Rarita | DF | 30 | 1.35 | Starter |
| F. Acayo | Rarita | DF | 24 | 1.18 | Starter |
| K. Musah | Rarita | DF | 30 | 0.90 | Starter |
| S. Szabó | Rarita | DF | 29 | 0.88 | Starter |
| N. Terzi? | Rarita | DF | 22 | 1.02 | Backup |
| P. Murmu | Rarita | DF | 22 | 1.00 | Backup |
| X. Takagi | Rarita | DF | 23 | 1.14 | Backup |
| R. Tsao | Rarita | DF | 26 | 1.11 | Backup |
| W. Nasiru | Rarita | GK | 33 | 0.41 | Starter |
| F. Akumu | Rarita | GK | 20 | 0.37 | Backup |
| F. Ithungu | Rarita | GK | 28 | 0.33 | Reserve |

Principal Component Verification: Decision Trees and Literature Review Approach

Table 22: Decision Tree/Literature Review Shooting Statistical Weights by Position
Weight for Position

| Statistic | Weight for Position |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Gls | 0.00 | $\mathbf{0}$ MF |
| Standard SoT | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ |
| Performance PK | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | 0.00 |


| Expected npxG | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 23: Decision Tree/Literature Review Passing Statistical Weights by Position Weight for Position

| Statistic | FW | MF | DF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Cmp\% | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total PrgDist | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ |
| Short Cmp\% | 0.00 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | 0.00 |
| Medium Cmp\% | 0.00 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | 0.00 |
| KP | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ | 0.00 |

Table 24: Decision Tree/Literature Review Defense Statistical Weights by Position Weight for Position

| Statistic | MF | DF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Tackles Def 3rd | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ |
| Pressures \% | 0.00 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ |
| Int | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ |
| Err | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ |

Table 25: Decision Tree/Literature Review Goalkeeping Statistical Weights by Position

|  | Weight for Position |
| :--- | ---: |
| Statistic | GK |
| Performance GA90 | 0.15 |
| Performance Save\% | 0.55 |
| L | 0.15 |
| Performance CS\% | 0.15 |

Table 26: Decision Tree/Literature Review Player Selection

| Player | Nation | Position | Age | Final Score | Role |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| I. Saha | Rarita | FW | 26 | 1.36 | Starter |
| U. Shoko | Rarita | FW | 24 | 1.24 | Backup |
| Z. Zziwa | Rarita | FW | 23 | 1.00 | Reserve |
| X. Leroy | Rarita | MF | 26 | 2.70 | Starter |
| Q. Morrison | Rarita | MF | 33 | 2.08 | Starter |
| O. Wanjala | Rarita | MF | 23 | 1.68 | Starter |
| Z. Kakai | Rarita | MFDF | 26 | 1.52 | Starter |
| J. Nurhayati | Rarita | MF | 33 | 1.45 | Starter |
| S. Barman | Rarita | MF | 24 | 1.45 | Backup |
| L. Leibowitz | Rarita | MF | 22 | 1.24 | Backup |
| G. binti Salleh | Rarita | MFFW | 26 | 1.18 | Backup |
| P. Rabiu | Rarita | MFFW | 27 | 1.13 | Backup |
| P. Villa | Rarita | MFFW | 20 | 0.95 | Backup |
| G. Jankowski | Rarita | MF | 21 | 0.70 | Reserve |
| X. Takagi | Rarita | DF | 23 | 1.39 | Starter |


| Z. Rajabi | Rarita | DF | 30 | 0.47 | Starter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K. Musah | Rarita | DF | 30 | 0.77 | Starter |
| F. Acayo | Rarita | DF | 24 | 0.62 | Starter |
| P. Murmu | Rarita | DF | 22 | 0.59 | Backup |
| N. Terzi? | Rarita | DF | 22 | 0.49 | Backup |
| K. Nalwanga | Rarita | DF | 21 | 0.80 | Backup |
| R. Tsao | Rarita | DF | 26 | 0.42 | Backup |
| W. Nasiru | Rarita | GK | 33 | 1.23 | Starter |
| F. Akumu | Rarita | GK | 20 | 1.43 | Backup |
| F. Ithungu | Rarita | GK | 28 | 1.24 | Reserve |

## Appendix D - Team Score and 2021 Tournament Result

PCA Team Score Rank is closer to the 2021 Tournament results.
Table 27: PCA Team Score Rank and Tournament Rank Comparison

| Nation | Team Score | Team Score Rank | 2021 Tournament Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sobianitedrucy | 0.74 | 1 | 1 |
| People's Land of Maneau | 0.58 | 3 | 2 |
| Nganion | 0.68 | 2 | 3 |
| Mico | 0.45 | 4 | 4 |
| Quewenia | 0.28 | 6 | 5 |
| Southern Ristan | 0.35 | 5 | 6 |
| Galamily | 0.16 | 11 | 7 |
| Bernepamar | 0.21 | 8 | 8 |
| Giumle Lizeibon | 0.16 | 10 | 10 |
| Greri Landmoslands | 0.18 | 9 | 11 |
| Xikong | -0.17 | 14 | 12 |
| Manlisgamncent | 0.07 | 13 | 13 |
| Esia | 0.15 | 12 | 14 |
| Byasier Pujan | 0.27 | 7 | 15 |
| Djipines | -0.19 | 17 | 16 |
| Leoneku Guidisia | -0.18 | 16 | 17 |
| Ledian | -0.22 | 19 | 18 |
| Eastern Sleboube | -0.39 | 23 | 19 |
| New Uwi | -0.19 | 18 | 20 |
| Ngoque Blicri | -0.25 | 20 | 21 |
| Nkasland Cronestan | -0.17 | 15 | 22 |
| Eastern Niasland | -0.28 | 21 | 23 |
| Varijitri Isles | -0.34 | 22 | 24 |

Table 28: Decision Tree/Literature Review Team Score Rank and Tournament Rank Comparison

| Nation | Team Score | Team Score Rank | 2021 Tournament Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sobianitedrucy | 0.36 | 2 | 1 |
| People's Land of Maneau | 0.41 | 1 | 2 |
| Nganion | 0.33 | 4 | 3 |
| Mico | 0.23 | 7 | 4 |
| Quewenia | 0.18 | 8 | 5 |
| Southern Ristan | 0.35 | 3 | 6 |
| Galamily | 0.14 | 12 | 7 |
| Bernepamar | 0.08 | 14 | 8 |
| Giumle Lizeibon | 0.17 | 10 | 10 |


| Greri Landmoslands | 0.29 | 5 | 11 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Xikong | 0.03 | 16 | 12 |
| Manlisgamncent | 0.00 | 17 | 13 |
| Esia | -0.15 | 22 | 14 |
| Byasier Pujan | 0.28 | 6 | 15 |
| Djipines | -0.02 | 18 | 16 |
| Leoneku Guidisia | 0.18 | 9 | 17 |
| Ledian | -0.11 | 21 | 18 |
| Eastern Sleboube | -0.11 | 20 | 19 |
| New Uwi | 0.07 | 15 | 20 |
| Ngoque Blicri | 0.08 | 13 | 21 |
| Nkasland Cronestan | -0.15 | 23 | 22 |
| Eastern Niasland | -0.03 | 19 | 23 |
| Varijitri Isles | 0.17 | 11 | 24 |

## Appendix E - Success Probabilities

ACF computed success probabilities by comparing the team scores for tournament teams by position with Rarita team positional scores. Tournament team positional scores were taken from the previous analysis found in the Player Selection Process section and aggregated into final team scores using Equation 6. Since Rarita players did not have tournament playing time, Rarita positional scores were computed using Equation 8 for positions with starters, backups, and reserves or Equation 9 for positions with only starters and backups.

Equation 8: Rarita Positional Score Calculation with Reserves<br>Position Score $=0.75 *$ Average Starter Score + 0.20 * Average Backup Score $+0.05 *$ Average Reserve Score<br>Equation 9: Rarita Positional Score Calculation without Reserves<br>Position Score $=0.75 *$ Average Starter Score $+0.25 *$ Average Backup Score

Rarita's positional scores were then compared to the distribution of tournament team positional scores, and Rarita was assigned a theoretical rank based on this comparison (Table 29).

Table 29: Average Positional Scores

| Position | Rarita Score | Average Score | Rarita Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FW | 1.32 | 0.08 | 1 |
| MF | 1.69 | 0.15 | 1 |
| DF | 0.75 | -0.05 | 1 |
| GK | 1.27 | 0.18 | 5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

ACF assigned probabilities of success to each position based on the comparison. Finally, the probability of overall team success was computed (Equation 10).

> Equation 10: Rarita Team Probability of Success
> Team Success Probability $=0.15 *$ FW Success Probability + $0.30 *$ Midfielder Success Probability $+0.30 *$ DF Success Probability + $0.25 *$ GK Success Probability

Probability of success ranges are in Tables 30-31.
Table 30: Probability Ranges of Finishing in the Top Ten within Five Years

|  | Probability of Success |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Position |  | Lower Bound |
| Upper Bound |  |  |
| FW | $75.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ |
| MF | $75.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ |
| DF | $75.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ |
| GK | $65.0 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ |


| Total | $\mathbf{7 2 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 5 \%}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |

Table 31: Probability Ranges of Winning the FSA Championship within Ten Years

|  | Probability of Success |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Position | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| FW | $55.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| MF | $55.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| DF | $55.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 0 \%}$ |
| GK | $\mathbf{4 5 . 0 \%}$ | $65.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 2 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 5 \%}$ |

## Appendix F - Expenses, Revenues, and Profit

ACF estimated player salaries using other national team salaries. The England Football Association pays players $£ 2,000$, or approximately $\$ 2,650$. The United States Men’s National Team pays players $\$ 5,000$ per match, and players on the United States Women's National Team are paid between $\$ 3,250$ and $\$ 4,500$ per match. Based on this data, a per-match salary of $\$ 3,500$ was assumed to be reasonable. This $\$ 3,500$ per-match salary was exchanged to Doubloons using the Dollar to Euro 5-year average and the Euro to Doubloon 5-year average, resulting in the basic permatch salary of $\partial 3,453.03$.

With a 28-team bracket, a team will play four games, progressing to the tournament if they win three or four of the games. It was also assumed that the FSA will continue to include 24 teams in the tournament for the next ten years, so a team must win either four or five games to win the tournament based on what position they start in the beginning tournament bracket. The lower bound for matches per season was set at eight, since the team would play four friendlies and four matches in the qualifiers, but not progress to the tournament. The average number of matches was set at ten, since a team will play four friendlies, four qualifiers, and on average two tournament matches. The upper bound for matches was set at 13 since a winning team would play four friendlies, four qualifying matches, and up to five tournament matches.

To scale variable expenses, it was assumed that leagues play 38 games per season. This scaling allows for the estimation of how expenses and revenues may change based on how well the team is performing. For example, a team which does not qualify for the tournament will only play eight games and perhaps not make as much revenue from merchandise sales; however, they may also not need to pay for training expenses since they are not moving forward in the tournament.

Equation 11: Player Salaries Calculation
Per Match Salary * No. Players on Roster * Dollar to Euro 5 year Avg

* Dollar to Doubloon 5 year Avg * No. Matches (LB, Avg, or UB) / 38

To calculate the total Per Capita Other Expenses, ACF multiplied the 2016-2021 Per Capita Other Expenses by Rarita's total population for each year. The average of these total other costs was then taken as the Total Other Costs.

Equation 12: Fixed Other Expenses Calculation<br>Total Other Costs * 0.80<br>Equation 13: Variable Other Expenses Calculation Total Other Costs * $0.20 *$ No. Matches (LB,Avg, or UB) / 38

ACF found data on the USSF's expenses, which indicated that management expenses are $\$ 32,217,650$ per year and the board of directors' and committees' expenses are $\$ 458,288$ per year.

USSF Management Expenses + USSF Board and Committee Expenses

Equation 15: Fixed Staff Costs
Staff Costs * Dollar to Euro 5 year Avg * Dollar to Doubloon 5 year Avg * 0.50
Equation 16: Variable Staff Costs
Staff Costs * Dollar to Euro 5 year Avg * Dollar to Doubloon 5 year Avg * 0.50

* No. Matches (LB, Avg,or UB) / 38

The Per Capita Total Revenue from 2016-2021 was multiplied by each year's population for a yearly total revenue. These yearly total revenues were averaged, resulting in the Average Total Revenue. Broadcast and commercial revenues were found to be on average $83 \%$ of each year's total revenue.

Equation 17: Fixed Revenues
Average Total Revenue * 0.83 * 0.20
Equation 18: Variable Revenues
Average Total Revenue * $0.83 * 0.80 *$ No. Matches $(L B, A v g$,or UB) / 38
Table 32: Expected Seasonal Expenses and Revenues by Category

| Per Season Expenses | Lower Bound | Average | Upper Bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Player Salaries | 690,606 | 863,258 | 1,122,235 |
| Fixed Staff Costs | 16,118,713 | 16,118,713 | 16,118,713 |
| Variable Staff Costs | 3,393,413 | 4,241,767 | 5,514,297 |
| Fixed Other Expenses | 450,694,187 | 450,694,187 | 450,694,187 |
| Variable Other Expenses | 23,720,747 | 29,650,933 | 38,546,213 |
| Total Expenses | 494,617,667 | 501,568,858 | 511,995,645 |
| Fixed Revenues | 336,670,026 | 336,670,026 | 336,670,026 |
| Variable Revenues | 283,511,601 | 354,389,502 | 460,706,352 |
| Total Revenues | 620,181,628 | 691,059,528 | 797,376,379 |
| Per Season Profit | 125,563,962 | 189,490,670 | 285,380,734 |

## Appendix G- Financial Projections

Table 33: Projected Spot Rates

|  | Spot Rates |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Maturity | Lower <br> Quartile | Projected <br> $1 / 1 / 2022$ | Upper <br> Quartile |
| 1 | $0.33 \%$ | $0.72 \%$ | $1.40 \%$ |
| 2 | $0.68 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ | $1.85 \%$ |
| 3 | $1.25 \%$ | $1.71 \%$ | $2.18 \%$ |
| 4 | $1.85 \%$ | $2.04 \%$ | $2.68 \%$ |
| 5 | $2.05 \%$ | $2.55 \%$ | $3.19 \%$ |
| 6 | $2.15 \%$ | $3.09 \%$ | $3.82 \%$ |
| 7 | $2.27 \%$ | $3.43 \%$ | $3.88 \%$ |
| 8 | $2.60 \%$ | $3.69 \%$ | $4.03 \%$ |
| 9 | $2.91 \%$ | $3.83 \%$ | $4.15 \%$ |
| 10 | $3.07 \%$ | $3.91 \%$ | $4.42 \%$ |

## Appendix H- GDP

The total population was projected for 2021-2032 by applying a five-year moving average (Figure 7 and Table 34).


Table 34: Rarita Regional Population Estimates

| Population Estimate |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Year | East Rarita | Central Rarita | West Rarita | Rarita |
| 2021 | $1,926,336$ | $3,024,192$ | $7,543,127$ | $12,493,655$ |
| 2022 | $1,931,678$ | $3,027,403$ | $7,559,736$ | $12,518,817$ |
| 2023 | $1,934,416$ | $3,028,755$ | $7,569,513$ | $12,532,683$ |
| 2024 | $1,933,911$ | $3,025,135$ | $7,569,611$ | $12,528,657$ |
| 2025 | $1,931,585$ | $3,026,371$ | $7,560,497$ | $12,518,453$ |
| 2026 | $1,932,898$ | $3,026,916$ | $7,564,839$ | $12,524,652$ |
| 2027 | $1,933,202$ | $3,026,794$ | $7,566,115$ | $12,526,111$ |
| 2028 | $1,932,899$ | $3,026,304$ | $7,565,265$ | $12,524,468$ |
| 2029 | $1,932,646$ | $3,026,596$ | $7,564,179$ | $12,523,421$ |
| 2030 | $1,932,911$ | $3,026,653$ | $7,565,099$ | $12,524,663$ |
| 2031 | $1,932,915$ | $3,026,587$ | $7,565,165$ | $12,524,666$ |
| 2032 | $1,932,843$ | $3,026,535$ | $7,564,927$ | $12,524,305$ |

Table 35: Rarita GDP per capita Impact

| GDP Impact |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Lower Bound | Average | Upper Bound |
| 2023 | 10.34 | 15.61 | 23.51 |
| 2024 | 10.81 | 16.31 | 24.56 |


| 2025 | 11.37 | 17.15 | 25.83 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2026 | 12.00 | 18.10 | 27.26 |
| 2027 | 12.86 | 19.41 | 29.23 |
| 2028 | 13.95 | 21.06 | 31.71 |
| 2029 | 15.10 | 22.78 | 34.31 |
| 2030 | 16.33 | 24.64 | 37.11 |
| 2031 | 17.56 | 26.49 | 39.90 |
| 2032 | 18.83 | 28.42 | 42.80 |

## Appendix I- R code: PCA

```
\#Required Packages
require(readxl)
require(tidyverse)
require(corrplot)
require(analogue)
```

\#Import Data
path = "C:/Users/lilyg/OneDrive/Spring 2022/SOA Case Study/"
shooting <- read_excel(path = pasteO(path,"/Data/Tournament.xlsx"), sheet = "Tournament Shooting", guess_max = 1000000)
passing <- read_excel(path = pasteO(path,"/Data/Tournament.xlsx"), sheet = "Tournament Passing", guess_max = 1000000)
defense <- read_excel(path = pasteO(path,"/Data/Tournament.xlsx"), sheet = "Tournament Defense", guess_max = 1000000)
goalkeep <- read_excel(path = pasteO(path,"/Data/Tournament.xlsx"), sheet = "Tournament Goalkeeping", guess_max = 1000000)
results <- read_excel(path = pasteO(path,"/Data/Tournament.xlsx"), sheet = "Tournament Results", guess_max = 1000000)
shooting.l <- read_excel(path = pasteO(path,"/Data/League.xlsx"), sheet = "shooting", guess_max = 1000000)
passing.l <- read_excel(path = paste0(path,"/Data/League.xlsx"), sheet = "passing", guess_max = 1000000)
defense.l <- read_excel(path = pasteO(path,"/Data/League.xlsx"), sheet = "defense", guess_max = 1000000)
goalkeep.l <- read_excel(path = paste0(path,"/Data/League.xlsx"), sheet = "goalkeeping", guess_max = 1000000)
salary. 2020 <- read_excel(path = paste0(path,"/Data/salary.xlsx"), sheet = "2020 Salaries", guess_max = 1000000, skip = 11)
salary. 2021 <- read_excel(path = paste0(path,"/Data/salary.xlsx"), sheet = "2021 Salaries", guess_max = 1000000, skip = 11)
\#Summarized Data
summary(shooting)
summary(passing)
summary(goalkeep)
summary(defense)
\#Data Manipulation
shooting <- shooting \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation),
Pos = as.factor(Pos),
Age = as.factor(Age),
Born = as.factor(Born),
Year = as.factor(Year),
`Standard Dist` = as.numeric(`Standard Dist`),
`Standard FK` = as.numeric(`Standard FK`),
`Expected \(x G^{`}=\) as.numeric(` Expected \(\left.x G^{`}\right)\),
`Expected npxG` = as.numeric(`Expected npxG`),
`Expected npxG/Sh` = as.numeric(`Expected npxG/Sh`),
`Expected G-xG` = as.numeric(`Expected G-xG` ),
`Expected \(n p: G-x G `=\) as.numeric(‘Expected np:G-xG`)) passing <- passing \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation), Pos = as.factor(Pos), Age = as.factor(Age), Year = as.factor(Year)) defense <- defense \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation), Pos = as.factor(Pos), Age = as.factor(Age), Year = as.factor(Year)) goalkeep <- goalkeep \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation), Pos = as.factor(Pos), Age = as.factor(Age), Year = as.factor(Year)) results <- results \%>\% mutate(Year = as.factor(Year), Place = as.factor(Place), Country = as.factor(Country)) shooting.l <- shooting.l \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation), Pos = as.factor(Pos), Age = as.factor(Age), Born = as.factor(Born), Year = as.factor(Year),`Standard Dist` = as.numeric(` Standard Dist`), `Standard FK` = as.numeric(`Standard FK`), `Expected $x \mathrm{G}^{`}=$ as.numeric( ${ }^{-}$Expected $\mathrm{xG}{ }^{`}$ ),
`Expected npxG` = as.numeric(`Expected npxG`),
`Expected \(n p x G /\) Sh` $=$ as.numeric(`Expected npxG/Sh`),
`Expected G-xG` = as.numeric(` Expected G-xG`),
`Expected \(n p: G-x G `=\) as.numeric( ${ }^{( }$Expected $\left.n p: G-x G `\right)$ )
passing. $<$ - passing.l \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation),
Pos = as.factor(Pos),
Age = as.factor(Age),
Year = as.factor(Year))
defense. $1<-$ defense.l \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation),
Pos = as.factor(Pos),
Age = as.factor(Age),
Year = as.factor(Year))
goalkeep. <- goalkeep.l \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation),
Pos = as.factor(Pos),
Age = as.factor(Age),
Year = as.factor(Year))

```
salary.2020 <- salary. }2020\mathrm{ %>% mutate(Player = as.factor(` Player Name`),
    Squad = as.factor(Squad),
    League = as.factor(League),
    Country = as.factor(Country),
    Position = as.factor(Position))
```

salary. 2021 <- salary. 2021 \%>\% mutate(Player = as.factor(` Player Name`),
Squad = as.factor(Squad),
League = as.factor(League),
Country = as.factor(Country),
Position = as.factor(Position))
results <- results \%>\% rename(Nation = Country)
results. $2020<$ - results $\%>\%$ filter(Year $==2020$ )
results. $2021<$ - results \% > \% filter(Year $==2021$ )
shooting. $2020<-$ shooting \%>\% filter(Year == 2020)
shooting. $2021<-$ shooting $\%>\%$ filter(Year $==2021$ )
goalkeep. $2020<-$ goalkeep $\%>\%$ filter(Year $==2020$ )
goalkeep. 2021 <- goalkeep \%>\% filter(Year == 2021)
shooting.l. $2020<-$ shooting.l \%>\% filter(Year == 2020)
shooting.l. $2021<-$ shooting.l \%>\% filter(Year == 2021)
defense.l. $2020<-$ defense.l \%>\% filter(Year == 2020)
defense.l. $2021<-$ defense.l \%>\% filter(Year == 2021)
passing.l. 2020 <- passing.l \%>\% filter(Year == 2020)
passing.I. $2021<-$ passing.l $\%>\%$ filter(Year $==2021$ )
goalkeep.I. 2020 <- goalkeep.l \%>\% filter(Year == 2020)
goalkeep.I.2021<- goalkeep.l \%>\% filter(Year == 2021)
\#Data Joins
defense.2021.r <- full_join(defense, results.2021, by = "Nation")
shooting.2021.r <- full_join(shooting.2021, results.2021, by = "Nation") shooting.2021.r\$Place <- addNA(shooting.2021.r\$Place)
passing.2021.r <- full_join(passing, results.2021, by = "Nation")
goalkeep.2020.r <- left_join(goalkeep.2020, results.2020, by = "Nation") \#no goal keep data for a nation goalkeep.2021.r <- left_join(goalkeep.2021, results.2021, by = "Nation") \#same thing here
\#Shooting PCA
shoot.pca <- shooting.2021.r \%>\% select(Gls, `Standard Sh`, `Standard SoT`,
`Standard FK`, ‘Performance PK`, ‘Standard Sh/90`, `Standard SoT/90`,
`Performance PKatt`,
`Expected xG`, `Expected npxG`,
‘Expected G-xG`, `Expected np:G-xG`) shoot.pca.r <- as.numeric(shooting.2021.r\$Place) pr.shoot <- prcomp(shoot.pca, scale=TRUE) \# will scale automatically pr.shoot\$rotation pr.var.exp.shoot <- pr.shoot\$sdev^2 \# variance of each principal component. pve.exp.shoot <- pr.var.exp.shoot/sum(pr.var.exp.shoot) \#Compute the PVE (proportion of variance explained) plot(pve.exp.shoot, xlab="Principal Component", ylab="Proportion of Variance Explained ", ylim=c(0,1), type="b") plot(cumsum(pve.exp.shoot), xlab="Principal Component ", ylab=" Cumulative Proportion of Variance Explained ", ylim=c(0,1), type="b") biplot(pr.shoot, scale=0) shoot.pcs <- as.data.frame(pr.shoot\$x) plot(shoot.pca.r, shoot.pcs\$PC1) plot(shoot.pca.r, shoot.pcs\$PC2) plot(shoot.pca.r, shoot.pcs\$PC3) cumsum(pve.exp.shoot[1:2]) \#Passing PCA pass <- passing.2021.r \%>\% select(`Total Cmp`, `Total Att`, ‘Total TotDist`, ‘Total PrgDist`, `Short Att`, `Short Cmp`, ‘Medium Cmp`, `Medium Att`,
`Long Cmp`, `Long Att`, Ast, xA, ' $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{xA}$ ', KP, `1/3`, PPA, CrsPA, Prog)
pass.pca.r <- as.numeric(passing.2021.r\$Place)
pr.pass <- prcomp(pass, scale. $=$ TRUE)
pr.pass\$rotation
pr.var.pass <- pr.pass\$sdev^2 \# variance of each principal component.
pve.exp.pass <- pr.var.pass/sum(pr.var.pass) \#Compute the PVE (proportion of variance explained)
plot(pve.exp.pass, xlab="Principal Component", ylab="Proportion of Variance Explained ", ylim=c $(0,1)$, type="b")
plot(cumsum(pve.exp.pass), xlab="Principal Component ", ylab=" Cumulative Proportion of Variance Explained ", ylim=c(0,1), type="b")
biplot(pr.pass, scale=0) \# Visualize the dataset using the first two principal components

```
pass.pcs <- as.data.frame(pr.pass$x)
plot(pass.pca.r, pass.pcs$PC1)
plot(pass.pca.r, pass.pcs$PC2)
plot(pass.pca.r, pass.pcs$PC3)
cumsum(pve.exp.pass[1:2])
#Defense PCA
def <- defense.2021.r %>% select(`Tackles Tkl`, `Tackles TkIW` ,
    `Tackles Def 3rd`,`Tackles Mid 3rd`,
    `Tackles Att 3rd`,`Tkl+Int`,
    `Pressures Press`, `Pressures Succ`,
    `Pressures Def 3rd`,` Pressures Mid 3rd`,
    `Pressures Att 3rd`,
    `Blocks Blocks`,`Blocks Sh`,
        ` Blocks ShSv`, `Blocks Pass`,
    `Vs Dribbles Tkl`,`Vs Dribbles Att`,
        ` Vs Dribbles Past`, `Int`, `Clr`, `Err`)
def.pca.r <- as.numeric(defense.2021.r$Place)
pr.def <- prcomp(def, scale. = TRUE)
pr.def$rotation
```

pr.var.def <- pr.def\$sdev^2 \# variance of each principal component. pve.exp.def <- pr.var.def/sum(pr.var.def) \#Compute the PVE (proportion of variance explained)
plot(pve.exp.def, xlab="Principal Component", ylab="Proportion of Variance Explained ", ylim=c(0,1), type="b")
plot(cumsum(pve.exp.def), xlab="Principal Component ", ylab=" Cumulative Proportion of Variance Explained ", ylim=c(0,1), type="b")
biplot(pr.def, scale=0) \# Visualize the dataset using the first two principal components
def.pcs <- as.data.frame(pr.def\$x)
plot(def.pca.r, def.pcs\$PC1)
plot(def.pca.r, def.pcs\$PC2)
plot(def.pca.r, def.pcs\$PC3)
cumsum(pve.exp.def[1:4])
\#Goalkeeping PCA
gk <- goalkeep.2021.r \%>\% select(` Playing Time MP`, ` Playing Time Starts`,
‘Playing Time Min`, `Playing Time 90s`, `Performance GA`, `Performance Saves`, W, D, L, ‘Performance CS`, `Performance PKatt`, ‘Penalty Kicks PKA`, `Penalty Kicks PKsv`, `Penalty Kicks PKm`)
gk.pca.r <- as.numeric(goalkeep.2021.r\$Place)
pr.gk <- prcomp(gk, scale. $=$ TRUE)
pr.gk\$rotation
pr.var.gk <- pr.gk\$sdev^2 \# variance of each principal component.
pve.exp.gk <- pr.var.gk/sum(pr.var.gk) \#Compute the PVE (proportion of variance explained)
plot(pve.exp.gk, xlab="Principal Component", ylab="Proportion of Variance Explained ", ylim=c(0,1), type="b")
plot(cumsum(pve.exp.gk), xlab="Principal Component ", ylab=" Cumulative Proportion of Variance Explained ", ylim=c(0,1), type="b")
biplot(pr.gk, scale=0) \# Visualize the dataset using the first two principal components gk.pcs <- as.data.frame(pr.gk\$x)
plot(gk.pca.r, gk.pcs\$PC1) \#this might be promising plot(gk.pca.r, gk.pcs\$PC2)
plot(gk.pca.r, gk.pcs\$PC3)
cumsum(pve.exp.gk[1:2])

## Appendix J - R code: Decision Trees

```
# Required Packages
require(readxl)
require(tidyverse)
require(ggplot2)
require(tree)
# Import Data
path = "C:/Users/h1562/Documents/spring 2022/ACT 551/soa case study"
shooting <- read_excel(path = pasteO(path,"/Data/Tournament.xlsx"), sheet = "Tournament
Shooting",guess_max = 10000000)
passing <- read_excel(path = paste0(path,"/Data/Tournament.xlsx"), sheet = "Tournament
Passing",guess_max = 10000000)
defense <- read_excel(path = pasteO(path,"/Data/Tournament.xlsx"), sheet = "Tournament
Defense",guess_max = 10000000)
goalkeep <- read_excel(path = pasteO(path,"/Data/Tournament.xlsx"), sheet = "Tournament
Goalkeeping",guess_max = 10000000)
results <- read_excel(path = pasteO(path,"/Data/Tournament.xlsx"), sheet = "Tournament
Results",guess_max = 10000000)
```

\# Data Manipulation
shooting <- shooting \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation),
Pos = as.factor(Pos),
Age = as.numeric(Age),
Born = as.factor(Born),
Year = as.factor(Year),
`Standard Dist` = as.numeric(`Standard Dist`),
`Standard FK` = as.numeric(`Standard FK`),
`Expected \(x \mathrm{G}^{`}=\) as.numeric( ${ }^{-}$Expected $x \mathrm{G}^{`}$ ),
`Expected npxG` = as.numeric(`Expected npxG`),
`Expected npxG/Sh` = as.numeric(`Expected npxG/Sh`),
`Expected G-xG` = as.numeric(`Expected G-xG` ),
`Expected np:G-xG` = as.numeric(`Expected np:G-xG`))
passing <- passing \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation),
Pos = as.factor(Pos),
Age = as.numeric(Age),
Year = as.factor(Year))
defense <- defense \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation),
Pos = as.factor(Pos),
Age $=$ as.numeric(Age),
Year = as.factor(Year))
goalkeep <- goalkeep \%>\% mutate(Nation = as.factor(Nation),
Pos = as.factor(Pos),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Age = as.numeric(Age), } \\
& \text { Year }=\text { as.factor(Year)) }
\end{aligned}
$$

results <- results \%>\% mutate(Year = as.factor(Year),
Place = as.numeric(Place), Country = as.factor(Country))\%>\% rename(Nation = Country)
\#Joining Tournament Results
shooting <- merge(shooting, results, by = c("Nation", "Year"), all.x = TRUE)
passing <- merge(passing, results, by = c("Nation", "Year"), all.x = TRUE)
defense <- merge(defense, results, by = c("Nation", "Year"), all.x = TRUE)
goalkeep <- merge(goalkeep, results, by = c("Nation", "Year"), all.x = TRUE)
\#Selecting Player Statistics fields
shooting_s <- shooting \%>\% filter(Year==2021) \%>\% select(Place,Player, Pos, Age, '90s', Gls, 'Standard Sh', 'Standard SoT', 'Standard G/Sh', 'Standard G/SoT', 'Standard Dist', 'Standard FK', 'Performance PK', 'Performance PKatt', 'Expected xG', 'Expected npxG', 'Expected npxG/Sh') \%>\% rename(x90s='90s',Standard_Sh='Standard Sh',Standard_SoT = 'Standard
SoT',Standard_GpSH='Standard G/Sh',Standard_GpSoT='Standard G/SoT',Standard_Dist='Standard Dist',Standard_FK= 'Standard FK',Performance_PK= 'Performance PK', Performance_PKatt='Performance PKatt', Expected_xG='Expected xG', Expected_npxG= 'Expected npxG', Expected_npxGpSh='Expected $n p x G /$ Sh' $^{\prime}$
passing_s <- passing \%>\% filter(Year==2021) \%>\% select(Place, Player, Pos, Age, '90s', 'Total Cmp', 'Total Att', 'Total TotDist', 'Total PrgDist', 'Short Cmp', 'Short Att', 'Medium Cmp', 'Medium Att', 'Long Cmp', 'Long Att', Ast, xA, KP, '1/3', PPA, CrsPA, Prog) \%>\% rename(x90s='90s', Total_cmp='Total Cmp',total_att= 'Total Att', total_totdist='Total TotDist', total_prgdist='Total PrgDist', short_cmp='Short Cmp',short_att= 'Short Att',medium_cmp= 'Medium Cmp',_medium_att= 'Medium Att', long_cmp='Long Cmp',long_att= 'Long Att', onethird='1/3')
defense_s <- defense \%>\% filter(Year==2021) \%>\% select(Place, Player, Pos, Age,'90s','Tackles Tkl','Tackles TkIW','Tackles Def 3rd','Tackles Mid 3rd','Tackles Att 3rd','Vs Dribbles Tkl','Vs Dribbles Att','Vs Dribbles Past','Pressures Press','Pressures Succ','Pressures Def 3rd','Pressures Mid 3rd','Pressures Att 3rd','Blocks Blocks','Blocks Sh','Blocks ShSv','Blocks Pass',Int,'TkI+Int',Clr,Err) \%>\% rename(x90s='90s',tackles_tkl='Tackles TkI',tackles_tklw='Tackles TklW',tackles_def_3rd='Tackles Def 3rd',takles_mid_3rd='Tackles Mid 3rd',tackles_att_3rd='Tackles Att 3rd',vs_dribbles_tkl='Vs Dribbles TkI',vs_dribbles_att='Vs Dribbles Att',vs_dribbles_past='Vs Dribbles Past',pressures_press='Pressures Press',pressures_succ='Pressures Succ',pressures_def_3rd='Pressures Def 3rd',pressures_mid_3rd='Pressures Mid 3rd',pressures_att_3rd='Pressures Att 3rd',blocks_blocks='Blocks Blocks',blocks_sh='Blocks Sh',blocks_shsv='Blocks ShSv',blocks_pass='Blocks Pass',tkl_int='TkI+Int')
goalkeep_s <- goalkeep \%>\% filter(Year==2021) \%>\% select(Place, Player, Pos, Age,'Playing Time MP','Playing Time Starts','Playing Time Min','Playing Time 90s','Performance GA','Performance GA90','Performance SoTA','Performance Saves',W,D,L,'Performance CS','Performance PKatt','Penalty Kicks PKA','Penalty Kicks PKsv','Penalty Kicks PKm') \%>\% rename(playing_time_mp='Playing Time MP',playing_time_starts='Playing Time Starts',playing_time_min='Playing Time Min',playing_time_90s='Playing Time 90s',performance_ga='Performance GA',performance_ga90='Performance GA90',performance_sota='Performance

```
SoTA',performanec_saves='Performance Saves',performance_cs='Performance CS',performance_pkatt='Performance PKatt',penalty_kicks_pka='Penalty Kicks PKA',penalty_kicks_pksv='Penalty Kicks PKsv',penalty_kicks_pkm='Penalty Kicks PKm')
```

\# Goalkeepers, considering goalkeeping and passing skills
set.seed(551)
passing_s_gk <- passing_s \%>\% filter(Pos=="GK")
gk <- merge(passing_s_gk,goalkeep_s,by="Player", all=TRUE)
gk <- gk \%>\% select(., -Age.y,-Pos.y,-Place.y,-Player,-Pos.x) \%>\% rename(Age = Age.x, Place = Place.x)
train.gk <- sample (1: nrow (gk), nrow (gk)*7/10)
gk.tree <- tree(Place ~.,data=gk,subset=train.gk)
plot(gk.tree)
text(gk.tree)
gk.pred <- predict(gk.tree,newdata=gk[-train.gk,])
gk.test <- gk[-train.gk,"medv"]
plot(gk.pred,gk.test)
abline $(0,1)$
mean((gk.pred-gk.test)^2)
\# Defenders, considering defense and passing skills
set.seed(551)
defense_s_def <- defense_s \%>\% filter(Pos \%in\% c("DF","DFFW","DFMF","FWDF","MFDF"))
passing_s_def <- passing_s \%>\% filter(Pos \%in\% c("DF","DFFW","DFMF","FWDF","MFDF"))
def <- merge(defense_s_def,passing_s_def,by="Player", all=TRUE)
def <- def \%>\% select(., -Age.y,-Pos.y,-Place.y,-Player,-Pos.x,-'x90s.y','-x90s.x') \%>\% rename(Age = Age.x, Place = Place.x)
train.def <- sample (1: nrow (def), nrow (def) *7/10)
def.tree <- tree(Place~.,def,subset = train.def)
plot(def.tree)
text(def.tree)
cv.def <- cv.tree(def.tree)
plot(cv.def\$dev) \#\#11
prune.def <- prune.tree(def.tree,best=13)
plot(prune.def)
text(prune.def)
def.pred <- predict(def.tree,newdata=def[-train.def,])
def.test <- def[-train.def,"medv"]
plot(def.pred,def.test)
abline $(0,1)$
mean((def.pred-def.test)^2)

```
#Midfielders, considering passing and defense since most midfielders are missing shooting data
set.seed(551)
defense_s_mid <- defense_s %>% filter(Pos %in% c("MF","MFDF","MFFW","FWMF","DFMF"))
passing_s_mid <- passing_s %>% filter(Pos %in% c("MF","MFDF","MFFW","FWMF","DFMF"))
mid <- merge(defense_s_mid,passing_s_mid,by="Player", all=TRUE)
mid <- mid %>% select(.,-Age.y,-Pos.y,-Place.y,-Player,-Pos.x,'x90s.y',-'x90s.x') %>% rename(Age = Age.x,
Place = Place.x)
train.mid <- sample (1: nrow (mid), nrow (mid) *7/10)
mid.tree <- tree(Place~.,mid,subset=train.mid)
plot(mid.tree)
text(mid.tree)
cv.mid <- cv.tree(mid.tree)
plot(cv.mid$dev) ## 12
prune.mid <- prune.tree(mid.tree,best=12)
plot(prune.mid)
text(prune.mid)
mid.pred <- predict(mid.tree,newdata=mid[-train.mid,])
mid.test <- mid[-train.mid,"medv"]
plot(mid.pred,mid.test)
abline(0,1)
mean((mid.pred-mid.test)^2)
# Attackers, considering shooting and passing
set.seed(551)
shooting_s_att <- shooting_s %>% filter(Pos %in% c("FW","FWDF","FWMF","MFFW","DFFW"))
passing_s_att <- passing_s %>% filter(Pos %in% c("FW","FWDF","FWMF","MFFW","DFFW"))
att <- merge(shooting_s_att,passing_s_att,by="Player",all=TRUE)
att <- att %>% select(., -Age.y,-Pos.y,-Place.y,-Player,-Pos.x,''x90s.y',-'x90s.x') %>% rename(Age = Age.x,
Place = Place.x)
train.att <- sample (1: nrow (att), nrow (att) / 2)
att.tree <- tree(Place~.,att)
plot(att.tree)
text(att.tree)
cv.att <- cv.tree(att.tree)
plot(cv.att$dev) ##10
prune.att <- prune.tree(att.tree,best=10)
plot(prune.att)
text(prune.att)
att.pred <- predict(att.tree,newdata=att[-train.att,])
att.test <- att[-train.att,"medv"]
plot(att.pred,att.test)
```

abline $(0,1)$
mean((att.pred-att.test)^2)

Bayesian (Trst)Ballers
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