

Session 72, Data Visualization as a Tool in Healthcare Data Analytics

SOA Antitrust Disclaimer SOA Presentation Disclaimer

2019 SOA Health Meeting

ELENA BLACK, PHD, CFA, FSA, EA, MAAA, FCA

YI-LING LIN, FSA, MAAA, FCA

Session 072, Data Visualization as a Tool in Healthcare Data Analytics

June 25, 2019





SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

Active participation in the Society of Actuaries is an important aspect of membership. While the positive contributions of professional societies and associations are well-recognized and encouraged, association activities are vulnerable to close antitrust scrutiny. By their very nature, associations bring together industry competitors and other market participants.

The United States antitrust laws aim to protect consumers by preserving the free economy and prohibiting anti-competitive business practices; they promote competition. There are both state and federal antitrust laws, although state antitrust laws closely follow federal law. The Sherman Act, is the primary U.S. antitrust law pertaining to association activities. The Sherman Act prohibits every contract, combination or conspiracy that places an unreasonable restraint on trade. There are, however, some activities that are illegal under all circumstances, such as price fixing, market allocation and collusive bidding.

There is no safe harbor under the antitrust law for professional association activities. Therefore, association meeting participants should refrain from discussing any activity that could potentially be construed as having an anti-competitive effect. Discussions relating to product or service pricing, market allocations, membership restrictions, product standardization or other conditions on trade could arguably be perceived as a restraint on trade and may expose the SOA and its members to antitrust enforcement procedures.

While participating in all SOA in person meetings, webinars, teleconferences or side discussions, you should avoid discussing competitively sensitive information with competitors and follow these guidelines:

- Do not discuss prices for services or products or anything else that might affect prices
- Do not discuss what you or other entities plan to do in a particular geographic or product markets or with particular customers.
- Do not speak on behalf of the SOA or any of its committees unless specifically authorized to do so.
- Do leave a meeting where any anticompetitive pricing or market allocation discussion occurs.
- Do alert SOA staff and/or legal counsel to any concerning discussions
- Do consult with legal counsel before raising any matter or making a statement that may involve competitively sensitive information.

Adherence to these guidelines involves not only avoidance of antitrust violations, but avoidance of behavior which might be so construed. These guidelines only provide an overview of prohibited activities. SOA legal counsel reviews meeting agenda and materials as deemed appropriate and any discussion that departs from the formal agenda should be scrutinized carefully. Antitrust compliance is everyone's responsibility; however, please seek legal counsel if you have any questions or concerns.



Presentation Disclaimer

Presentations are intended for educational purposes only and do not replace independent professional judgment. Statements of fact and opinions expressed are those of the participants individually and, unless expressly stated to the contrary, are not the opinion or position of the Society of Actuaries, its cosponsors or its committees. The Society of Actuaries does not endorse or approve, and assumes no responsibility for, the content, accuracy or completeness of the information presented. Attendees should note that the sessions are audio-recorded and may be published in various media, including print, audio and video formats without further notice.



Primary goal of data visualization is storytelling....

Storytelling with data at it's finest





Napoleon's March to Moscow 1812

Minard's work is an anti-war poster...



Focus on the human cost of war is subtly reinforced by his choice of content... The word "Napoleon" does not appear on the map of Napoleon's march...

Causes of Mortality in the Crimean War



Statistics and sanitary reform

- Florence Nightingale (1858)
- Pioneer in visual presentation of information and statistical graphics
- "Nightingale rose diagram" (equivalent to modern circular histogram)
- Sources of patient mortality in military field hospital she managed—reveals that majority of deaths are due to poor hospital practices
- Report nature and magnitude of conditions of medical care to members of Parliament and civil servants (unlikely audience for traditional statistical reports)

Cholera Outbreak in London 1854

Early use of graphics in data analytics and hypothesis testing...



- Use of visualization in diagnostic data analytics...?
- Hypothesis testing...?
- Theory depiction to convince others...?
- Modern version of Dr. Snow's map to identify cause of the outbreak...

Visualization – indispensable tool in data analysis....

Data visuals as tools in data analytics

Let the data tell the story...





Storytelling with Data

Typically data visualization discussions focus on telling story you already know...



Spectrum of storytelling:

- Integrity/Quality of Data – data preprocessing
- Data exploration and feature engineering
- Model validation and evaluation
- Understanding results
- Relaying modeling results to different audiences (your clients and friends!)

Spectrum of storytelling - data visualization at different levels and purpose when working with data

Two Types of Data Visualization

Exploratory

Understanding data & letting it tell the story



An Explanatory Data Visualization Guide

Six key lessons for explanatory data visualization...

- 1. Understand the context
- 2. Choose an appropriate visual display
- 3. Eliminate clutter
- 4. Focus audience attention
- 5. Think like a designer
- 6. Tell a story



We focus on exploratory visualization... rules maybe somewhat different...

Spectrum of Data Analytics



Adapted from Gartner's Data Analytics Maturity Model



Preprocessing and Data Validation

Reasonability and quality of data, potential missing values, outliers and more....



- Bad data?
- Missing data?
- Reasonable patterns?
- Outliers real or mistakes?
- Processing, connecting and reconciling of complicated data: setting check points

...and much more ...

Data Exploration & Feature Engineering

Investigating relationships and trends... before diving into modeling...

Categorical versus Categorical: Example of Mosaic Plot



Skills and Self—ID Top Factors

https://jeremiahstanghini.com/2017/07/30/what-is-data-science/

		Input/Feature					
		Categorical	Numeric				
Target	Categorical	Mosaic plots	Box plots				
	Numeric	Density plots	Scatter				

- Relationships between variables
- Relationships between target and predictor variables
- Hypothesis testing
- Identifying patterns and trends
- Feature generation
- "Aha" moments...
- Data possibly revealing new stories...
- But also story to tell on what happened... to clients and friends!

Trend and Relationship Identification

Histograms and scatter plots.... Tools to trends and relationships



Case study for illustration purposes only

Box Plot to Visualize Distributions

Box plots provide for a good way to visualize and compare distributions



Log PMPM distributions by age group

- Box plots (aka "box and whisker" plots): visualizing distribution, central value, variability, and outliers
- Median, 25th and 75th percentiles form the box
- Whiskers extends to "extreme" values not considered outliers
- Outliers are identified as single points
- Tool to compare distributions by categorical variable

Case study for illustration purposes only

Hypothesis Testing: US Mortality Improvement

Male Improvement Rates 1951-2010





Male Cohort Component 1951-2010



Case study for illustration purposes only

- Period effects vertical "stripes" (improved road conditions, medical advances, epidemics)
- Age effect horizontal patterns
- Cohort effect diagonal patterns (smoking, obesity)
- These effects overlap: hard to see on total MI rates' map

Age group younger than 50 → more pronounced period effect



"Taxi Rides and Tips" Story

Instructive example of power of visuals...

Feature 7

Feature 8 Feature 9

Feature 10

NYC taxi trip and fare information: original tip

distribution



- Detailed NYC taxi trip records from every taxi trip in 2013
- Many categorical and numeric variables
- Classification Problem: predicting if there would be a tip...



Model Evaluation and Validation

Model evaluation is an important part of any modeling project



Cautionary tale!

Famous Anscombe's quartet: all four datasets have the same statistical properties, including R squared=0.67, means and variance of x and y, correlation and linear regression model: y=3+0.5x

- Appropriate and consistent with purpose
- Visualization used to validate, evaluate, compare, understand modeling results
- Examples of statistical criteria/metrics
 - Standard statistical measures (R squared, RMSE, MAE, etc.)
 - Predictive Ratios (E/A) on groups of interest (e.g. Diagnostic groups or age groups)
 - ✓ Tolerance curves
 - Sensitivity and specificity (confusion matrix)
 - ✓ ROC curves
 - Comparison with naïve and standard models

...and many more

Evaluation of Classifier: ROC Curve

Visualizing model performance, selecting threshold and comparing models

•

٠



- Example of insurance application: binary classifier
 - Smoker status identification for life underwriting triage
- ROC = Receiver Operator Curve
 - Trade-off determined by "probability threshold"
 - "Elbow" point but also relative importance by type of error
 - Area under curve (AUC) comparing models
 - Sensitivity and specificity (confusion matrix)
 - Accuracy of classifier indifferent to types of errors

Questions?







Data Visualization as a Tool in Healthcare Data Analytics

TRACY ROTH, MBA Session 072, 2019 SOA Health Meeting June 25, 2019





SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

Active participation in the Society of Actuaries is an important aspect of membership. While the positive contributions of professional societies and associations are well-recognized and encouraged, association activities are vulnerable to close antitrust scrutiny. By their very nature, associations bring together industry competitors and other market participants.

The United States antitrust laws aim to protect consumers by preserving the free economy and prohibiting anti-competitive business practices; they promote competition. There are both state and federal antitrust laws, although state antitrust laws closely follow federal law. The Sherman Act, is the primary U.S. antitrust law pertaining to association activities. The Sherman Act prohibits every contract, combination or conspiracy that places an unreasonable restraint on trade. There are, however, some activities that are illegal under all circumstances, such as price fixing, market allocation and collusive bidding.

There is no safe harbor under the antitrust law for professional association activities. Therefore, association meeting participants should refrain from discussing any activity that could potentially be construed as having an anti-competitive effect. Discussions relating to product or service pricing, market allocations, membership restrictions, product standardization or other conditions on trade could arguably be perceived as a restraint on trade and may expose the SOA and its members to antitrust enforcement procedures.

While participating in all SOA in person meetings, webinars, teleconferences or side discussions, you should avoid discussing competitively sensitive information with competitors and follow these guidelines:

- Do not discuss prices for services or products or anything else that might affect prices
- Do not discuss what you or other entities plan to do in a particular geographic or product markets or with particular customers.
- Do not speak on behalf of the SOA or any of its committees unless specifically authorized to do so.
- Do leave a meeting where any anticompetitive pricing or market allocation discussion occurs.
- Do alert SOA staff and/or legal counsel to any concerning discussions
- Do consult with legal counsel before raising any matter or making a statement that may involve competitively sensitive information.

Adherence to these guidelines involves not only avoidance of antitrust violations, but avoidance of behavior which might be so construed. These guidelines only provide an overview of prohibited activities. SOA legal counsel reviews meeting agenda and materials as deemed appropriate and any discussion that departs from the formal agenda should be scrutinized carefully. Antitrust compliance is everyone's responsibility; however, please seek legal counsel if you have any questions or concerns.



Presentation Disclaimer

Presentations are intended for educational purposes only and do not replace independent professional judgment. Statements of fact and opinions expressed are those of the participants individually and, unless expressly stated to the contrary, are not the opinion or position of the Society of Actuaries, its cosponsors or its committees. The Society of Actuaries does not endorse or approve, and assumes no responsibility for, the content, accuracy or completeness of the information presented. Attendees should note that the sessions are audio-recorded and may be published in various media, including print, audio and video formats without further notice.



Data engineering, the key to accurate and efficient visualizations

Architecting the Framework



Design Precedes Visualization

- Establishing purpose descriptive, diagnostic, predictive, or prescriptive
- Identifying content and methods needed accessible, accurate, timely, focused
- Engineering data summary to drill

• Knowing the user – depth of knowledge in content and tool, determines selection

Identifying the Content – Accessible, Accurate, Timely, Focused

Foundation

- Eligibility
- Medical Claims
- Pharmacy Claims
- Provider Demographics

Status Quo

- Lab Data
- State Immunization Data
- Gaps in Care
- Program Participation
- Risk Scoring (Diagnoses)

Best in Class



- ADT
- Quality and Practice Patterns of Providers
- Social Determinants of Health
- Stage of Chronic Disease
- Safety Data
- Anticipation of Health Need

Engineering the Data – Definitions

- Detail drill vs efficiency
- Refine definitions according to strategy e.g. "engagement", "low acuity"
- Setting targets and using benchmarks effectively



Engineering the Data – Structure

- Level of data needed
- Detail drill vs efficiency tradeoff
- Blending content for dynamic rate calculation
- Binary, categorical, discrete, continuous...

1/44	N/A		90/6/		IN		N/A	N/A	N/A		
1744	N/A			90768			N/A	A N/A		N/A	
1744	N/A	N/A		J2469			N/A N/A		N/A		
1744	N/A		J1100	J1100			N/A N/A		N/A	N/A	
1744	N/A	N/Δ		99214			N/A	Δ Ν/Δ		N/A	
V709	N/Δ		84443		IG		Ν/Δ Ν/Δ		N/A	N/A	
V709 N/A			00052	80053			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
1/709 N/A			95025		10		N/A N/A		NVA	N/A	
V/U3 IN/A			00020		LG		INA INA		N/A		
V709 N/A			80061		LG		N/A N/A		N/A		
V709 N/A			36415		BL		N/A N/A		N/A		
8470	N/A		73030		AR		TC RT		N/A		
8470	0 N/A		72050	72050			TC	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8470	N/A	N/A		99281			25	N/A	N/A		
2449 N/A			84443		LG		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
10803	200801	۲U		LUV		391.00	33.27	33.27 N		į N	
00803	200801	PD		COV		2.60	0.22	0.22 N		N	
00803	200801	DN		DN		230.75	0.00	0.00 N		N	
0804	200802	PD		COV		82.94	23.47	0.00 N		N	
00804	200802	PD		COV		52.24	8.86	8.86 N		N	
00804	200802	PD		COV		31.66	8.97	0.00 N		N	
00804	200802	PD		COV		66.16	18.72	0.00 N		N	
00804	200802	PD		COV		25.00	3.00	0.00 N		N	
JU/U5 10705	200703	PD		COV		10.21	110.21	110.21 N		N	
0705	200703	70		COV		160.50	160.50	160.00 N		IN N	
10804	200703	PD		COV	·····	103.30	14.08	14.08 N		N	
0804	200802	PD		COV		30.00	3.00	3.00 N		N	
00804	200802	DN		DN .		55.00	0.00	0.00 Y		N	
00804	200802	DN		DN		39.00	0.00	0.00 Y		N	
00804	200802	DN		DN		28.00	0.00	0.00 Y		N	
00805	200803	PD		COV		55.00	32.84	6.57 N		N	
00805	200803	DN		DN		39.00	0.00	0.00 N		N	
00805	200803	DN		DN		28.00	0.00	0.00 N		N	
00805	200802	PD		COV		0.50	0.50	0.40 N		N	
)0805	200802	PD		COV		0.50	0.50	0.40 N		N	
)0805	200802	PD		COV		0.50	0.50	0.40 N		N	
00805	: 2000002	:00		COV		0.50	0.50	0.40 N		EN	

Enabling the organization through standardized visualizations

Enabling the Strategy





Design Precedes Visualization

- Establishing purpose descriptive, diagnostic, predictive, or prescriptive
- Identifying content needed accessible, accurate, timely, focused



• Engineering data – summary to drill

• Knowing the user – depth of knowledge in content and tool, determines selection

Know the Need, Know the User, Create the Experience



Know the Need, Know the User, Create the Experience

RADAR POLAR CHART, SPIDER CHART, START CHART, OR WEB CHART



A space-efficient way of showing value of multiple variables -- but make sure they are organized in a way that makes sense to the reader.





Know the Need, Know the User, Create the Experience



Know the Need, Know the User, Create the Experience

- Consistency in Design left to right, filters, conditional highlighting
- Descriptions over Codes where user is not familiar with codes
- Clarity of Visual Purpose focused insights
- Recurrence tracking mechanisms and reforecasting, user guides



Questions?





