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## Global Burden of Disease



Source: WHO
C. Mathers and D. Loncar 'Projection of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030 PloS medicine (update on original GBD study by Murray and Lopez, 1990)

## Leading causes of death in 2030

|  |  | rank in <br> high <br> income |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| countries |  |  |$|$

C. Mathers and D. Loncar 'Projection of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030 PloS medicine (update on original GBD study by Murray and Lopez 1990)

## Diseases investigated

'A long term condition that can be treated and managed but not cured'

- Coronary heart disease
- Hypertension
- Diabetes
- Chronic obstructive pulmonary diagnosis (COPD)
- Stroke


## Scope

- A simplified UK demography of disability and chronic disease
- Research publication: ‘Chronic disease burden - and analysis of health care risk and health care usage'
- Scope
- Data sources used
- Techniques
- Results
- Further applications
- Conclusions


## UK health care system

- UK spends about 9\% of GDP on health care
- Publicly funded free at point of use but may be required to pay for long term care and dentistry
- NHS is the main provider of health care with small but stable private medical care sector
- GPs (general practitioner family doctors) are gateways to treatment and specialists
- Unified system of life time medical records held by GPs of all registered patients (about 97\% of population)
- GPs are commissioners of services and are separate from providers
- Increasingly providers will include private sector to ramp price up competition and quality
- Such changes are expected to lead to continuous improvements in data quality


## Disability prevalence in the UK among population aged 50+


-The number of disabled is broadly constant at any age
-Prevalence of disability increases with age.
-Constant gap indicated suggests that the period spent in disability, prior to death may not be strongly dependent on age

## Demography and the strategic significance of disability



## Public expenditure consequences of old age, disability and ill health



## Changes to the UK population in 2025



## Scenario 1 -Healthy life expectancy moves in step with life expectancy



Note: LTC - long term care

## Scenario 2: Healthy life expectancy unchanged



## Key issues for public policy

- Chronic disease account for significant percentage of disability
-Disability is an important constraint on economic activity
-Life style factors can accelerate the onset of chronic disease, especially smoking, exercise and diet
-Little known about co-morbidity and disease progression that could lead to early identification of risk and possible intervention
- Need to know cost effectiveness of public health campaigns and policy - prevention versus cure
- One element of this is better targeting, another is behaviour modification


## Aims of the chronic disease project

- To learn about chronic diseases: their prevalence, cost and progression
- Bring data together from different sources
- To further actuarial knowledge in this area using non-standard techniques
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## Background to the data used

- Medical records:
- Records have been largely computerised
- Medical records are included in the basis for remunerating general practitioners
- Local administrative data:
- Local areas keep registers of all properties
- Data on housing, related social security benefits and other public sector services


## Particular data sources used

-'THIN' data - GP encounter data over a number of years covering approx 5 m patients covering the whole UK
-Islington data (a socially mixed inner city district in central London) - snapshot data covering 25,000 linkable to other administrative data such as property taxes

## Areas of focus

- Measurement of morbidity co-prevalence
- Risk analysis
- Pathways to chronic disease
- Identifying impact of social factors using 'neighbourhood analysis
- Survival analysis
- Health care utilisation


## Methodology

## Methodology

- Use 'Risk Ladders' to investigate co-morbidity and chronic disease risk factors such as smoker, BMI and housing status
- Use larger data set to quantify effect of different disease combinations on doctor visits, hospital outpatient attendance and admission
- Include risk modifiers such as age, gender, smoker and BMI status
-Benchmark results where possible by literature.


## The use of 'risk ladders' in risk analysis - some terminology

- A summary table
- An ordered table (i.e. in event order)
- A risk ladder
- A risk tree
- A risk map


## Structure of a summary table

Patients are bar coded
by diagnosis

| Case | $A B C$ | Observed $n$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 000 | $n_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | 100 | $n_{2}$ | $n_{2}$ |  |  |
| 3 | 010 | $n_{3}$ |  | $n_{3}$ |  |
| 4 | 001 | $n_{4}$ |  |  | $n_{4}$ |
| 5 | 110 | $n_{5}$ | $n_{5}$ | $n_{5}$ |  |
| 6 | 101 | $n_{6}$ | $n_{6}$ |  | $n_{6}$ |
| 7 | 011 | $n_{7}$ |  | $n_{7}$ | $n_{7}$ |
| 8 | 111 | $n_{8}$ | $n_{8}$ | $n_{8}$ | $n_{8}$ |
|  | Total | $\sum_{i} n_{i}$ | $\sum_{i \in A} n_{i}$ | $\sum_{i \in B} n_{i}$ | $\sum_{i \in C} n_{i}$ |
|  | Prevalence (p) | $\bar{p}$ | $p_{A}$ | $p_{B}$ | $p_{C}$ |

A summary table has $2^{n}$ rows where $n$ is the number of factors

A summary table is an exhaustive representation of all subjects according to diagnosis

## Example of a summary table

| Case | $A B C$ | Observed $n$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 000 | 22546 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 100 | 176 | 176 |  |  |
| 3 | 010 | 202 |  | 202 |  |
| 4 | 001 | 1088 |  |  | 1088 |
| 5 | 110 | 19 | 19 | 19 |  |
| 6 | 101 | 121 | 121 |  | 121 |
| 7 | 011 | 186 |  | 186 | 186 |
| 8 | 111 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 |
|  | Total | prevalence <br> $(p)$ | 0.03155 | 379 | 470 |
|  |  | 0.0155 | 0.0193 | 0.0598 |  |

A -Coronary heart disease
B -Diabetes
C -Hypertension

## Structure of an ordered table

| case | ABC | Observed $n$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 000 | $n_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | 100 | $n_{2}$ | $n_{2}$ |  |  |
| 3 | 010 | $n_{3}$ |  | $n_{3}$ |  |
| 4 | 001 | $n_{4}$ |  |  | $n_{4}$ |
| 5 | 120 | $n_{5}$ | $n_{5}$ | $n_{5}$ |  |
| 6 | 210 | $n_{6}$ | $n_{6}$ | $n_{6}$ |  |
| 7 | 102 | $n_{7}$ | $n_{7}$ |  | $n_{7}$ |
| 8 | 201 | $n_{8}$ | $n_{8}$ |  | $n_{8}$ |
| 9 | 012 | $n_{9}$ |  | $n_{9}$ | $n_{9}$ |
| 10 | 021 | $n_{10}$ |  | $n_{10}$ | $n_{10}$ |
| 11 | 123 | $n_{11}$ | $n_{11}$ | $n_{11}$ | $n_{11}$ |
| 12 | 132 | $n_{12}$ | $n_{12}$ | $n_{12}$ | $n_{12}$ |
| 13 | 213 | $n_{13}$ | $n_{13}$ | $n_{13}$ | $n_{13}$ |
| 14 | 312 | $n_{14}$ | $n_{14}$ | $n_{14}$ | $n_{14}$ |
| 15 | 231 | $n_{15}$ | $n_{15}$ | $n_{15}$ | $n_{15}$ |
| 16 | 321 | $n_{16}$ | $n_{16}$ | $n_{16}$ | $n_{16}$ |
|  | Total | $\sum_{i} n_{i}$ | $\sum_{i \in A} n_{i}$ | $\sum_{i \in B} n_{i}$ | $\sum_{i \in C} n_{i}$ |
|  | Prevalence | $\bar{p}$ | $p_{A}$ | $p_{B}$ | $p_{C}$ |
|  | $(p)$ |  |  |  |  |


|  | Number of factors $m$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| sequences | 2 | 5 | 16 | 65 | 326 | 1957 |  |
| $m!e$ | 2.718 | 5.4 | 16.3 | 65.3 | 326.2 | 1957.2 |  |

A bar code sequence is defined as an ordering of diagnoses:

0 = no diagnosis
1 = first diagnosis
2 = second diagnosis
$3=$ third diagnoses

## Example of an ordered table

| Case | $A B C$ | Observed $n$ | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 000 | 22546 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 100 | 176 | 176 |  |  |
| 3 | 010 | 202 |  | 202 |  |
| 4 | 001 | 1088 |  |  | 1088 |
| 5 | 120 | 13 | 13 | 13 |  |
| 6 | 210 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| 7 | 102 | 58 | 58 |  | 58 |
| 8 | 201 | 63 | 63 |  | 63 |
| 9 | 012 | 83 |  | 83 | 83 |
| 10 | 021 | 103 |  | 103 | 103 |
| 11 | 123 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 12 | 132 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 13 | 213 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 14 | 231 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 15 | 312 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 16 | 321 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
|  | Total | 24401 | 379 | 470 | 1458 |
|  | Prevalence $(p)$ | 0.03155 | 0.0155 | 0.0193 | 0.0598 |

A -Coronary heart disease
B -Diabetes
C -Hypertension

## A risk ladder

| Case | ABC | Observed n | A | B | C | Number <br> of times D <br> observed | Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 000 | $n_{1}$ |  |  |  | $m_{1}$ | $r_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{n_{i}}$ |
| 2 | 100 | $n_{2}$ | $n_{2}$ |  |  | $m_{1}$ | $r_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{n_{i}}$ |
| 3 | 010 | $n_{3}$ |  | $n_{3}$ |  | $m_{1}$ | $r_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{n_{i}}$ |
| 4 | 001 | $n_{4}$ |  |  | $n_{4}$ | $m_{1}$ | $r_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{n_{i}}$ |
| 5 | 110 | $n_{5}$ | $n_{5}$ | $n_{5}$ |  | $m_{1}$ | $r_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{n_{i}}$ |
| 6 | 101 | $n_{6}$ | $n_{6}$ |  | $n_{6}$ | $m_{1}$ | $r_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{n_{i}}$ |
| 7 | 011 | $n_{7}$ |  | $n_{7}$ | $n_{7}$ | $m_{1}$ | $r_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{n_{i}}$ |
| 8 | 111 | $n_{8}$ | $n_{8}$ | $n_{8}$ | $n_{8}$ | $m_{1}$ | $r_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{n_{i}}$ |
|  | Total | $\sum_{i} n_{i}$ | $\sum_{i \in A} n_{i}$ | $\sum_{i \in B} n_{i}$ | $\sum_{i \in C} n_{i}$ | $\sum_{i} m_{i}$ | $\bar{r}=\frac{\sum_{i} m_{i}}{\sum_{i} n_{i}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $p_{C}$ |

A - Coronary heart disease
B - Diabetes
C - Hypertension
D- Stroke

## Example of risk ladder - outcome stroke

| case | ABC | frequency | A | B | C | stroke | \%stroke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 111 | 63 | Y | Y | Y | 11 | 17.5 |
| 2 | 101 | 121 | Y |  | Y | 14 | 11.6 |
| 3 | 100 | 176 | Y |  |  | 17 | 9.7 |
| 4 | 011 | 186 |  | Y | Y | 14 | 7.5 |
| 5 | 001 | 1088 |  |  | Y | 63 | 5.8 |
| 6 | 110 | 19 | Y | Y |  | 1 | 5.3 |
| 7 | 010 | 202 |  | Y |  | 7 | 3.5 |
| 8 | 000 | 22546 |  |  |  | 84 | 0.4 |
|  | total | 24401 | 379 | 470 | 1458 | 211 | 0.9 |
|  |  | prevalence | 0.0155 | 0.0193 | 0.0598 | 0.0086 |  |

A - Coronary heart disease
B - Diabetes
C - Hypertension
D- Stroke

## Adding confidence limits to risk ladders



Use the normal approximation to the binomial distribution only when $n r>5$ and $n(1-r)>5$

## Adding life style and other risk factors

- Gender
- Housing
- Smoking status
- BMI status
- Co-morbidity

This risk ladder, with 64 rows, would show that:

Female risk of having CHD with no factors present is $0.3 \%$ whereas male risk is $0.4 \%$
$\square$ Male risk for smoker with a high BMI increases to $3.6 \%$ and female risk to 1.5\%

Living low value housing increases male CHD risk with no other factors to $0.7 \%$

Risk increases if hypertension or diabetes is present. Thus:
$\square$ a male smoker with diabetes has a $7.3 \%$ risk which increases to 12.5 \% if he has a BMI of over 30 .
$\square$ this increases to $15.5 \%$ if diabetes is replaced by hypertension.

## Fitting logistic models to risk ladders



Predicted odds of having CHD increase:
-1.4 times if person is a male
-1.3 times if person has $\mathrm{BMI}>30$
-1.7 times if person lives in low value housing
-3.9 times if person is smoker
-3.6 times if person has diabetes
-9.2 times if person has hypertension
-To check on robustness of factors
-Derive other useful statistical diagnostics
-Extend model in other ways

## Drilling down using 'risk trees'



## Adding the geographical dimension



Risk was individually assessed and patients geo-referenced according to where they lived and then risk mapped

Adding the age dimension


## Adding the age-co-morbidity dimension



## Survival analysis

Given you are diagnosed with ' $x$ ' at age ' $y$ ' how long do you have to live?


Example:
20\% of males diagnosed with CHD at age 80 can expect to die within about a year (point A); if aged 65 20\% can expect to die within 7 years (point B).

## Research questions with actuarial applications

- Probability that an individual, diagnosed at age $x$ (1) with chronic disease i dies before age $x(2)$
- Probability that individual diagnosed with disease 1 at age $x(1)$, with disease 2 at age $x(2), \ldots$, etc. dies between ages $y(1)$ and $y(2)$
- Probability that an individual will survive and be healthy up to age $x$ to calculate the expected healthy life span
- Calculate the expected time to death, given that the individual has suffered chronic diseases, with indexes $1,2,3$ etc before dying.
- Calculate the expected time spent in disability as the difference between expected healthy life span and expected time to death.
- Calculate the effect of eliminating one, two or any number of chronic diseases on expected life spans


## Analysing health care

- About the 'THIN' data set
- Illustrative application:
- use of health services based on age, gender, lifestyles and diagnosis
- Concluding remarks and extended applications


## About the 'THIN' data set

- Automatic monthly collection of data from 250+ GP practices around country
- Includes current and deceased patients from people alive in 1989 onwards
- Provides a longitudinal records of patients registered with GPs in the sample
- Data consists of demographic, consultation, prescription information
- Information included on referrals to specialists and hospitals
- Contains some life style characteristics such as BMI, smoker status, blood pressure
- Covers the histories of around 4 m patients
- Data are anonymised
'THIN' is a collaboration between EPIC, provider of patient level data for medical research, and In Practice Systems (InPS), developer of 'Vision' computer system.


## Modelling health care utilisation

## Hypothesis

- The annual number of visits to a doctor is a function of personal characteristics such as demographic factors, life style, and current morbidity
- These can be represented by variables such as age, sex, body-mass index (BMI), smoking status, disease diagnosis, etc.
- The relationship can be estimated by a regression model of the form

$$
\log \left(\mu_{i}\right)=\beta_{0} X_{i, 0}+\beta_{1} X_{i, 1}+\beta_{2} X_{i, 2}+\ldots+\beta_{30} X_{i, 30}=X_{i}^{T} \beta
$$

- The response variable, the annual number of GP visits, is assumed to follow Poisson distribution with mean; X's are the known elements of vector for observation $i$, and $\beta$ 's are unknown parameters of coefficient vector to be estimated. Around 30 variables are used.


## Frequency of GP visits by age and gender

| Age | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-49$ | 2.6 | 4.1 |
| $50-54$ | 2.9 | 4.1 |
| $55-59$ | 3.1 | 4.0 |
| $60-64$ | 3.3 | 3.9 |
| $65-69$ | 3.4 | 3.9 |
| $70-74$ | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| $75-79$ | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| $80+$ | 4.1 | 4.3 |


| Sequence | COPD | Stroke | Hypertension | CHD | Diabetes | Relativity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | 3.34 |
| 2 | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | 2.74 |
| 3 | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | 2.94 |
| 4 | Y | Y | Y | N | N | 2.24 |
| 5 | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | 3.46 |
| 6 | Y | Y | N | Y | N | 2.88 |
| 7 | Y | Y | N | N | Y | 2.54 |
| 8 | Y | Y | N | N | N | 2.25 |
| 9 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | 3.00 |
| 10 | Y | N | Y | Y | N | 2.39 |
| 11 | Y | N | Y | N | Y | 2.63 |
| 12 | Y | N | Y | N | N | 2.03 |
| 13 | Y | N | N | Y | Y | 2.95 |
| 14 | Y | N | N | Y | N | 2.37 |
| 15 | Y | N | N | N | Y | 2.55 |
| 16 | Y | N | N | N | N | 1.91 |
| 17 | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | 2.32 |
| 18 | N | Y | Y | Y | N | 1.83 |
| 19 | N | Y | Y | N | Y | 2.11 |
| 20 | N | Y | Y | N | N | 1.61 |
| 21 | N | Y | N | Y | Y | 2.35 |
| 22 | N | Y | N | Y | N | 1.78 |
| 23 | N | Y | N | N | Y | 1.97 |
| 24 | N | Y | N | N | N | 1.49 |
| 25 | N | N | Y | Y | Y | 2.21 |
| 26 | N | N | Y | Y | N | 1.66 |
| 27 | N | N | Y | N | Y | 1.88 |
| 28 | N | N | Y | N | N | 1.31 |
| 29 | N | N | N | Y | Y | 2.05 |
| 30 | N | N | N | Y | N | 1.51 |
| 31 | N | N | N | N | Y | 1.66 |
| 32 | N | N | N | N | N | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Multiplicative effects of different chronic disease diagnoses:<br>For example a male aged 70-74 diagnosed with CHD and diabetes<br>Visits his GP on average<br>$3.6 \times 2.05=6.27$ times a year

## Examples of findings based on analysis

The results show for example that:

- A male non-smoker with a normal BMI visits his GP three times a year and is prescribed 18 sets of prescription drugs
- A male with a BMI>30 who has CHD and diabetes visits his GP 14 times a year and is prescribed 28 prescription drugs
- An underweight male (BMI<20) visits the GP as often as an obese male and is just as likely to be admitted to hospital
- A male non-smoker aged $75-79$ has a $14 \%$ chance of being admitted to hospital compared with a $6 \%$ chance for a 50 -year old male non-smoker

In general:

- Females visit the GP more often at every age but are less likely than males to be admitted to hospital at older ages
- Current and ex-smokers visit the GP more often, and are more likely to be referred to a consultant or be admitted to hospital


## Other work

- The CDB report also covers hospital admissions, specialist referrals, risk ladders for each disease etc.
- Updating our analysis to take advantage on data improvements since the introduction of new GP contracts
- Noticeable that BMI status and smoker status are acting as greater effect modifiers than in our previous analysis and that gradient between disease combinations has moderated


## Modified relativities based on more recent data

| BMI status | Relativity |
| :--- | :---: |
| Underweight(<20) | 1.34 |
| Normal(20-25) | 1.00 |
| Overweight(25-30) | 1.36 |
| Obese(30-35) | 1.52 |
| Morbidy Obese(35-40) | 1.67 |
| $40+$ | 1.74 |
|  |  |
| Smoking status | Relativity |
| non smoker | 1.00 |
| current smoker | 1.41 |
| ex smoker | 1.32 |

## Research agenda

- Quantifying impact of ageing population on health care utilisation and long term care
- Through inclusion of socio-economic risk factors, target health promotion and prevention initiatives
- Through the inclusion of 'geography' to target sub groups and areas
- Refinement of health insurance premiums e.g. rewarding people with healthy life styles
- Resource allocation in public medical systems (national and local health administrations)
- Assessing life time risk and typical chronic diseases pathways to identify and evaluate different types of intervention
- Combine with mortality analyses to investigate issues including co-morbidity and competing causes of death
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