

# Diversification Benefits of the Variable Annuities and Equity-Indexed Annuities Mixture

Guanghua Cao<sup>1</sup>

Presented at Enterprise Risk Management Symposium  
Society of Actuaries

Chicago, IL

April 23–26, 2006

Copyright 2006 by the Society of Actuaries.

All rights reserved by the Society of Actuaries. Permission is granted to make brief excerpts for a published review. Permission is also granted to make limited numbers of copies of items in this monograph for personal, internal, classroom or other instructional use, on condition that the foregoing copyright notice is used so as to give reasonable notice of the Society's copyright. This consent for free limited copying without prior consent of the Society does not extend to making copies for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for inclusion in new collective works or for resale.

---

<sup>1</sup> The research reported in this paper was accomplished when the author was doing an internship at American International Group (AIG), Inc. He wishes to thank Jun Zhuo and Professor Mark Rubinstein for their help during this project. He also benefited from useful discussions with Roderick MacLeod. The author is thankful for financial support from AIG's Enterprise Risk Management Division.

## **Abstract**

A variety of equity-linked insurance contracts such as variable annuities (VAs) and equity-indexed annuities (EIAs) have gained their attractiveness in the recent decade because of the bullish equity market and low interest rates. Pricing and risk management of these products are quantitatively challenging and therefore have become sources of concern to many insurance companies. From a financial engineer's perspective, the options in VAs and those embedded in EIAs can be modeled as puts and calls respectively, whose values move in opposite directions in response to changes in the underlying equity value. Therefore, for insurers that offer both businesses, there are natural offsets or diversification benefits in terms of economic capital usage. In this paper, we consider two specific products: the guaranteed minimal account benefit (GMAB), and the point-to-point (PTP) EIA contract, which belong to the VA and EIA classes respectively. Taking into account mortality and dynamic lapse risk, we build a model that quantifies the natural hedging benefits based on risk-neutral option pricing theory and risk-adjusted performance measure (RAPM). Through a double-tier simulation framework, an optimum product mixture of those two contracts is achieved that provides the best RAPM and therefore deploys capital the most efficiently.