



SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES

Article from:

Pension Section News

November 1998 – Issue 38

PENSION SECTION NEWS

Issue Number 38, November 1998

Published quarterly by the Pension Section
of the Society of Actuaries
475 N. Martingale Road, Suite 800
Schaumburg, IL 60173
Phone: 847-706-3500
Fax: 847-706-3599
World Wide Web: <http://www.soa.org>

This newsletter is free to Section members. A
subscription is \$15.00 for nonmembers.
Current-year issues are available from the
Communications Department.
Back issues of Section newsletters have been
placed in the Society library.
Photocopies of back issues may be requested
for a nominal fee.

Dan Arnold, FSA
Pension Section News Editor
Hooker & Holcombe
65 LaSalle Road
West Hartford, CT 06107
860-521-8400
860-521-3742 (Fax)
E-mail: danarnold@compuserve.com

Associate Editors

J. Bruce MacDonald, FCIA, FSA,
Canadian Topics

Ho Kuen Ng, FSA, Academic Topics
and *ARCH*

Karen Steffen, FSA, Public Plan Topics
Fernando J. Troncoso, ASA, Mexican
Topics

Pension Section Council

Amy S. Timmons, Chairperson
Colin England, Vice-Chairperson
Sylvia Pozezanac, Secretary
Lindsay J. Malkiewich, Treasurer
Joan Boughton, Council Member
Bruce A. Cadenhead, Council Member
Adrien R. LaBombarde, Council Member
Martha A. Moeller, Council Member
Lee J. Trad, Council Member

SOA Staff

Susan Martz, DTP Coordinator
(smartz@soa.org)
Peggy Grillot, Online Systems Manager
(pgrillot@soa.org)

Facts and opinions contained in these pages are
the responsibility of the persons who express
them and should not be attributed to the Society
of Actuaries, its Committees, the Pension
Section, or the employers of the authors.
Errors in fact, if brought to our attention, will
be promptly corrected.

Copyright 1998 Society of Actuaries.
All rights reserved.

Printed in the United States of America.

The Critic's Cornerby **Joel I. Rich**

Welcome to the second
installment of this new
column reviewing tapes from
various actuarial meetings.

This installment is a review of several
sessions from the SOA 1998 Spring
Meetings in Maui, Hawaii.

**Session 5PD: Designing a
Stochastic Evaluation/Forecast
System**

The discussion concerning modeling
assets and liabilities reinforced the
“noncommodity” nature of these projects.
Issues included:

- The base period for setting your
baseline assumptions (for example,
stock/bond returns)
- Correlations between the various
elements including economic and
noneconomic functions (for example,
inflation and turnover)
- The volatility of long-term
projections (see my earlier note with
regard to value at risk techniques
which were designed to look at
variations over hours, days and
weeks).

The discussion reinforced to me the
fact that the major value of these projects
is the discussions with the client about the
assumptions, interrelationships time
horizons, and key client-related measures
rather than the “let’s take a projection
methodology off the shelf, use some
standardized assumptions and see what
happens” approach.

**Session 6PD: Retirement Plan
Consulting Challenges
in the Pacific Rim**

A very good review of current pension
issues in Indonesia, China, and Japan.
Those actuaries who have some foreign
exposure (for example, foreign plans
under FAS 87, IRS Section 404A
deductions) will find this a helpful update.

**Session 64PD: “On the Way
to Medicare”—Progress to Date**

A very detailed discussion in the changes
in the prospective payment systems (PPS)
as well as Medicare Part C. While these
changes will have a strong impact on
retiree health valuations and

costs, this detailed discussion is
recommended only for specialists in
retiree health valuations, especially those
setting assumptions for future claims.

**Session 66PD: Strategic Asset
Allocation for Pension Plans**

The first speaker dealt with the more
standard asset-liability modeling. His
organization’s approach was to minimize
the present value of future pension
contributions as well as minimize risk.
They define risk as how bad the results
are in the worst 20% of the cases.
Duration matching was identified as a
way to deal with these issues. Also key
was the lack of symmetry because if you
overfund a pension plan you can’t get the
money back dollar-for-dollar due to
excise taxes and if you underfund, there
are PBGC risk premiums. The more
credible the liabilities you have in the
model (for example, retirees only) the
more likely you are to go into bonds
under this model, whereas if you are
severely underfunded, you should
probably go into higher risk equities
because you have to make contributions
no matter what.

There was no discussion of
organizations that are less concerned with
the present value of future contributions
than they are with accounting results or
where the lack of symmetry and short-
term risk factors are not considered a
problem because of a desire for long-term
cost reduction rather than concern over
short-term fluctuations.

The second speaker spoke about
applying a concept being used by financial
institutions—net value-at-risk—to the
pension arena. Net value-at-risk is a way
that organizations with financial liabilities
and assets look at the maximum expected
loss for some holding period at a certain
level of confidence. You can look at
these in a number of ways, including
Monte Carlo simulations, historical
returns, co-variances, and so on. The
main challenge is that these measures
have been designed to look at the impact
of yield changes over short time horizons.
The application to longer term pension
plans seems to be in its infancy.

*Joel I. Rich, FSA, is Senior Vice
President at The Segal Company in New
York, New York.*