

30 - Applications of Machine Learning in Life Insurance Modeling

SOA Antitrust Disclaimer SOA Presentation Disclaimer

2019 Valuation Actuary Symposium

ZACHARY STENBERG, ASA, MAAA

30, Applications of machine learning in life insurance modeling 2019-08-27





SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

Active participation in the Society of Actuaries is an important aspect of membership. While the positive contributions of professional societies and associations are well-recognized and encouraged, association activities are vulnerable to close antitrust scrutiny. By their very nature, associations bring together industry competitors and other market participants.

The United States antitrust laws aim to protect consumers by preserving the free economy and prohibiting anti-competitive business practices; they promote competition. There are both state and federal antitrust laws, although state antitrust laws closely follow federal law. The Sherman Act, is the primary U.S. antitrust law pertaining to association activities. The Sherman Act prohibits every contract, combination or conspiracy that places an unreasonable restraint on trade. There are, however, some activities that are illegal under all circumstances, such as price fixing, market allocation and collusive bidding.

There is no safe harbor under the antitrust law for professional association activities. Therefore, association meeting participants should refrain from discussing any activity that could potentially be construed as having an anti-competitive effect. Discussions relating to product or service pricing, market allocations, membership restrictions, product standardization or other conditions on trade could arguably be perceived as a restraint on trade and may expose the SOA and its members to antitrust enforcement procedures.

While participating in all SOA in person meetings, webinars, teleconferences or side discussions, you should avoid discussing competitively sensitive information with competitors and follow these guidelines:

- Do not discuss prices for services or products or anything else that might affect prices
- **Do not** discuss what you or other entities plan to do in a particular geographic or product markets or with particular customers.
- **Do not** speak on behalf of the SOA or any of its committees unless specifically authorized to do so.
- Do leave a meeting where any anticompetitive pricing or market allocation discussion occurs.
- Do alert SOA staff and/or legal counsel to any concerning discussions
- **Do** consult with legal counsel before raising any matter or making a statement that may involve competitively sensitive information.

Adherence to these guidelines involves not only avoidance of antitrust violations, but avoidance of behavior which might be so construed. These guidelines only provide an overview of prohibited activities. SOA legal counsel reviews meeting agenda and materials as deemed appropriate and any discussion that departs from the formal agenda should be scrutinized carefully. Antitrust compliance is everyone's responsibility; however, please seek legal counsel if you have any questions or concerns.



Presentation Disclaimer

Presentations are intended for educational purposes only and do not replace independent professional judgment. Statements of fact and opinions expressed are those of the participants individually and, unless expressly stated to the contrary, are not the opinion or position of the Society of Actuaries, its cosponsors or its committees. The Society of Actuaries does not endorse or approve, and assumes no responsibility for, the content, accuracy or completeness of the information presented. Attendees should note that the sessions are audio-recorded and may be published in various media, including print, audio and video formats without further notice.



To Participate, look for Polls in the SOA Event App or visit <u>valact.cnf.io</u> in your browser





General steps of your modeling process





Checking input data with machine learning



Check results

Analyze results



Poll: Checking input data methodology

A. I	No standa	ard proce	SS							
в. 1	Manual ch	necks for	outliers	÷						
С. :	Statistics	based m	odels (e.							
D.	Rules-bas	ed syste	ms							
E. 1	Machine I	earning s	ystems							
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
				Percen	tage of Res	ponses				

One class classification

- Explanation: Classifies records as in-class or out of class, using observations of in-class only
- Application: Check your inforce files or assumptions to ensure that any new values are reasonable
- Typical techniques: SVM, kmeans, k-nearest neighbors





Running models with machine learning



Run models -Clustering -Principal components

Check results

Analyze results



Poll: Do you do any inforce clustering?



Clustering

- Explanation: Classifies records into groups based on their distributions
- Application: Reduce inforce model points by running them once and clustering based on results
- Typical methods: k-means, knearest neighbors, decision trees





Poll: Do you do any scenario reduction?



Principal components

- Explanation: Take a series of correlated variables and make fewer uncorrelated ones using linear algebra
- Application: Use PCA to reduce your scenarios by simplifying their construction
- Typical methods: Principal components analysis





Checking results with machine learning





Poll: How do you check your model results?



Poll: Do you do any proxy modeling?

A. 1	No									
в. 1	Round nu	mber/rul	e of thun	nb						
C. I	LSMC or o	other bas	ic regres							
D.	Polynomia	al based	proxies							
E. 1	Broader n	nachine l	earning r	n						
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	10

Proxy modeling

- Explanation: Replace complicated model runs with simplified ones for analysis
- Application: Compare proxy model with actual results to confirm actuarial model results are correct
- Typical methods: Regression, GAM, GBM





Analyzing results with machine learning





Poll: Do you perform any analytics on your results?



Tree based models

- Explanation: Models split data into like groups, and the rules provide an audit trail for users
- Application: Compare output from two valuation dates or models to see rules explaining where changes happen
- Typical methods: Decision trees





Discussion polls





Poll: Which techniques discussed would you be most interested in testing?



Poll: What reporting purposes do you see the most benefit for machine learning?

analysis assumption change distribution internal management models pbr

pricing reporting reserve setting standard stochastic tvog validation variances

vm-21

Questions?





GitHub portion



