



May 2008, Issue No. 67

IN THIS ISSUE

Chairperson's Corner

Your Votes are In!

Retirement 20/20 Update— The Measurement Framework

Academy/SOA Roundtable Discusses Public Pension Plan Disclosures

Public versus Private Sector OPEB Accounting

Women and Retirement: Greater Challenges and More Individual Responsibility

Technology—Then and Now

Letter to the Editor: Overhauling the Pension-Measuring Method to Reflect Future Pay Raises

Return to Email Version



Halmstad Prize Call for Papers

YOUR VOTES ARE IN! Art Assantes, FSA

In the January issue of the *Pension Section News (PSN)*, we asked you to give us some information about the type of organization in which you work. If you worked for a consulting firm, we also wanted to know if your firm had internal research capabilities and whether you were based in the United States or Canada. 176 members responded. Their answers, along with some information about all section members, are summarized in the chart below.

Employment Entity	Internal Research Capabilities	Country	Respondents		Section Members	
			Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Consulting Firm	Yes	United States	61	34	1,640	42
Consulting Firm	Yes	Canada	12	7	521	13
Consulting Firm	No	United States	28	16	541	14
Consulting Firm	No	Canada	8	5	87	2
Insurance Company			18	10	347	9
Government Agency			12	7	104	2
Private Sector Corporation			9	5	?	-
Educational Institution			3	2	25	1
Retired Section Member			10	6	80	2
Other			15	8	584	15
Total			176	100	3,929	100

Our hope is to learn more about you and to deliver relevant and interesting content. Of the approximately 3,900 Pension Section members, about 1,600 opened the January *PSN* and clicked on at least one article. Approximately 10 percent of those who opened an article answered the survey.

About 55 percent of our members work for consulting firms with internal research capabilities. Of the readers that responded to the survey, 41 percent were employed by such firms. One of the *PSN*'s tenets is that section members are looking for practical professional information and view the *PSN* as a source. Given the section census, more than half of the Pension Section members have access to such information through their firms' internal research units. If the survey can be considered representative of the *PSN* readers, more than half of them come from firms that don't have some type of internal unit responsible for research. These readers may still view the *PSN* as a resource, perhaps an important resource.

This raises an interesting question, and I invite you to write to me with your thoughts. Should the *Pension Section News*, as a service to

PENSION SECTION NEWS

This year, the 2008 Halmstad Prize will be awarded to the best actuarial science paper published in 2006. The selection committee makes its determination taking into account the originality and thoroughness of the ideas expressed in the paper, the readability of the paper, and the timeliness and relevance of the research.

Nominations for papers on pension-related topics are being sought. Please send a brief email that includes the name of the paper, the journal (with volume number) in which it was published, and a few sentences explaining why the paper should be considered to kelley.mckeating@sympatico.ca before June 15, 2008.

Nominations for the 2009 prize (for the best paper published in 2007) are also welcome at this time.

For more information on the Halmstad Prize, click here.

readers who do not work for firms with internal research capabilities, focus on providing practical profession information? Or, should the *PSN* have a broad focus that includes a wide variety of pension-related information?

Art Assantes, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA is editor of the *Pension Section News*, and also president of Hooker & Holcombe in West Hartford, Conn. He can be reached at *PSN.Editor@pensionedge.com*.

<< Previous Article | Next Article >>





SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES • 475 N. Martingale Road, Suite 600 Schaumburg, Illinois 60173