Exam PA October 12 Project Statement IMPORTANT NOTICE – THIS IS THE OCTOBER 12, 2022, PROJECT STATEMENT. IF TODAY IS NOT OCTOBER 12, 2022, SEE YOUR TEST CENTER ADMINISTRATOR IMMEDIATELY.

General Information for Candidates

This examination has 12 tasks numbered 1 through 12 with a total of 100 points. The points for each task are indicated at the beginning of the task, and the points for subtasks are shown with each subtask.

Each task pertains to the business problem (and related data files) and data dictionary described below. Additional information on the business problem may be included in specific tasks—where additional information is provided, including variations in the target variable, it applies <u>only</u> to that task and not to other tasks. An .Rmd file accompanies this exam and provides useful R code for importing the data and, for some tasks, additional analysis and modeling. There are five datasets used in this exam. They are all subsets of a larger dataset that is not given to candidates. The .Rmd file has a chunk for each task. Each chunk starts by reading in one or more data files into one or more dataframes that will be used in the task. This ensures a common starting point for candidates for each task and allows them to be answered in any order. When the datafile is read, the variables it contains are assigned a type (e.g., "numerical," "factor"). The code that assigns variable types is easily changed (e.g., if month is read in as "numeric" but you want to treat it as a factor).

The responses to each specific subtask should be written after the subtask and the answer label, which is typically ANSWER, in this Word document. Each subtask will be graded individually, so be sure any work that addresses a given subtask is done in the space provided for that subtask. Some subtasks have multiple labels for answers where multiple items are asked for—each answer label should have an answer after it. Where code, tables, or graphs from your own work in R is required, it should be copied and pasted into this Word document.

Each task will be graded on the quality of your thought process (as documented in your submission), conclusions, and quality of the presentation. The answer should be confined to the question as set. No response to any task needs to be written as a formal report. Unless a subtask specifies otherwise, the audience for the responses is the examination grading team and technical language can be used. When "for a general audience" is specified, write for an audience **not** familiar with analytics acronyms (e.g., RMSE, GLM, etc.) or analytics concepts (e.g., log link, binarization).

Prior to uploading your Word file, it should be saved and renamed with your five-digit candidate number in the file name. If any part of your exam was answered in French, also include "French" in the file name. Please keep the exam date as part of the file name.

It is not required to upload your .Rmd file or other files used in determining your responses, as needed items from work in R will be copied over to the Word file as specified in the subtasks.

The Word file that contains your answers must be uploaded before the five-minute upload period time expires.

IMPORTANT NOTE: When pasting a picture from RStudio to Word, there is only one approach that will work. After right clicking on the image in RStudio and selecting "copy" the following steps need to be taken in Word. On the Home menu, click on the down arrow under "Paste" and then select "Paste Special …" From the list of options, select "Device Independent Bitmap." The following images indicate these steps.



From this dialog box, make the indicated selection.

Paste Special		?	×
Source: Unkno	own		
Paste: Paste link:	<u>A</u> s: Device Independent Bitmap HTML Format	Display as icon	
	~		
Result			
	serts the contents of the Clipboard as a bitmap pictur t of memory and disk space, but is exactly what you se	e. This format can ta e on the screen.	ake up a
		ОК	Cancel

Business Problem

Your boss recently started a consulting firm, PA Consultants, specializing in predictive analytics. You and your assistant are the only other employees. Your boss informs you that a local politician from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA has hired your firm.

Baton Rouge, a city of about 230,000 residents, is the capital of the state of Louisiana, USA.

The client is about to launch a campaign with the mottos, "Clean up Baton Rouge" and "Treat all Neighborhoods Equally – including yours!" The client wants to improve garbage and waste collection. In particular, the client cares about shortening resolution times and ensuring equitable resolution times throughout the city.

The client wants your ideas and inputs on the following.

- Understanding time trends
- Seeing whether different responding departments have different resolution times for similar tasks
- *Predicting resolution times for any type(s) of complaint.*

Your boss directs you to use a dataset¹ of public data that includes all the service requests from January, 2016 – March, 2022. There are over 300,000 service requests in this time period. Your assistant has prepared five subsets of the public data and has provided the following data dictionary that contains all the variables appearing in the subsets. Note that all variables do not appear in every subset datafile.

¹ Source: City of Baton Rouge Parish of East Baton Rouge.

Data Dictionary

Variable Name	Variable Values
Time.to.resolution	Days from service request to resolution
quarter	"Q1", "Q2", "Q3", "Q4"; quarter of service request
month	1 to 12, month of service request
year	2016 to 2022, year of service request
year.mo	201601 to 202203, 100*year + month
weekday	"Sunday", "Saturday"; day of the week for the service request
TYPEid	An id representing a specific type of service request
SERVICE.REQUEST.ID	Unique code assigned to service request
DEPARTMENT	"GROUNDS","BLIGHT","SANITATION"
LATITUDE	Latitude of service location, 30.2 to 30.6
LONGITUDE	Longitude of service location, -91.3 to -90.9
area	"N","W","D","LSU"; neighborhood of service location
Latitude_binned	Latitude range for binned data (geo.grid.csv only)
Longitude_binned	Longitude range for binned data (geo.grid.csv only)
Ave.time.to.resolution	Average Time.to.resolution for binned data (geo.grid.csv only)
call_count	Number of service requests for binned data (geo.grid.csv only)

Comments

Requests for service do not appear in the dataset until they are resolved.

Task 1 (7 points)

Your boss asks you to review the quality of the data below. The data shows Time to Resolution for calls to pick up unwanted garbage carts. (This data is not found in any of the supplied files.)

(a) (2 points) Review the box plot below that your assistant made and describe an issue with the data.



ANSWER:

(b) (1 point) List three options for handling the data issue.

ANSWER:

(c) (2 points) Select and explain which option from part (b) you would recommend.

(d) (2 *points*) Your assistant produces the following output from a GLM. (Note your assistant redefined year as years since 2016.)

```
[1] "Formula:"
Time.to.resolution ~ year + as.factor(month) + as.factor(TYPEid) +
    area
Call:
glm(formula = formula1, family = Gamma(link = "log"), data = df2.sanitation)
Deviance Residuals:
                    Median
    Min
              10
                                  30
                                          Max
                             0.1572
-1.4555
         -0.4824
                  -0.2193
                                       2.9248
Coefficients:
                          Estimate Std. Error
                                                 t value Pr(>|t|)
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
(Intercept)
                                      0.007380
                                                361.272
                          2.666173
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
vear
                         -0.124969
                                      0.001037 -120.547
as.factor(month)2
                                      0.009119
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
                                                -13.567
                         -0.123720
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
as.factor(month)3
                         -0.077945
                                      0.008557
                                                  -9.109
as.factor(month)4
                          0.035228
                                      0.008471
                                                   4.159 3.20e-05 ***
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
as.factor(month)5
                          0.093898
                                      0.008134
                                                 11.544
as.factor(month)6
as.factor(month)7
                          0.014100
                                      0.008154
                                                  1.729
                                                           0.0838
                                                   6.747 1.52e-11
                                                                   ***
                          0.054114
                                      0.008021
                                                          0.0119 *
as.factor(month)8
                          0.020327
                                      0.008080
                                                   2.516
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
as.factor(month)9
                         -0.085676
                                      0.008259
                                                 -10.373
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
as.factor(month)10
                         -0.077113
                                      0.008562
                                                  -9.006
as.factor(month)11
                         -0.083417
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
                                      0.008953
                                                 -9.317
                                      0.008646
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
                                                -15.789
as.factor(month)12
                         -0.136517
as.factor(TYPEid)173023 -0.637010
as.factor(TYPEid)173024 -0.233447
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
                                      0.004865 -130.934
                                      0.006019
                                                -38.784
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
as.factor(TYPEid)173027 -0.274727
                                      0.005549
                                                 -49.511
as.factor(TYPEid)173028 -0.144072
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
                                      0.005467
                                                 -26.351
                                                          <_2e-16 ***
                                      0.005525 -150.237
as.factor(TYPEid)427105 -0.830102
                                      0.004934
                                                 -2.395
                                                           0.0166 *
areaLSU
                         -0.011815
                                                          < 2e-16 ***
                         -0.056956
                                      0.004919
                                                 -11.579
areaN
                                                  -5.643 1.67e-08 ***
areaW
                         -0.022671
                                      0.004017
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for Gamma family taken to be 0.4794437)
    Null deviance: 87291
                           on 182086
                                       degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 62690
                           on 182066
                                       degrees of freedom
AIC: 1048661
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 7
```

Calculate the residual for the predicted time to resolution using the values in the following table for a single observation. Show both the formula(s) used (with values substituted for variables) and the final value to two decimal points.

TYPEid	month	year	Area	Time to Resolution
173023	2	4	N	5

Task 2 (11 points)

The client is interested in improving the debris collection performance.

(a) (2 points) Create a table showing number of observations by year and month. Paste the R code and the table below.

ANSWER:

(b) (2 *points*) Recommend which time period you will choose to use for your analysis (in terms of years and months). Justify your recommendation.

ANSWER:

Your boss told your assistant to use stratified sampling when separating the chosen dataset into a training dataset and a testing dataset.

(c) (2 *points*) Discuss the benefits of stratified sampling.

ANSWER:

Your assistant has stratified the entire dataset, based on month and year, and divided it into train and test datasets. You need to remove any observations that you decided not to use in (b).

(d) (*2 points*) Remove the observations that you decided in (b) not to use from the train and test datasets. Copy the code to adjust datasets.

ANSWER:

Code to adjust datasets:

Your assistant has prepared glm1 and glm2. Run the .Rmd file to fit the models.

(e) (3 *points*) State the better of the two models, based on RMSE. Copy the code (i.e., the glm command, and any further lines of code) for both of the models that you used to make the choice.

ANSWER:

Model choice (erase one): Gamma GLM or Poisson GLM

RMSE for Gamma GLM:

RMSE for Poisson GLM:

Code to calculate Gamma GLM RMSE:

Code to calculate Poisson GLM RMSE:

Task 3 (12 points)

Your boss wants to understand how the distribution of Time.to.resolution for requests to pick up sofas differs between departments. There are three departments that pick up sofas: Blight, Grounds, and Sanitation.

(a) (*3 points*) Create a single plot of Time.to.resolution by department for the provided sofa data.

ANSWER:

(b) (2 points) Describe the observed differences by department.

ANSWER:

Your boss asks you to fit a GLM to the sofa data.

(c) (2 *points*) Explain why a Gamma distribution is a more appropriate choice of distribution than Gaussian to model Time.to.resolution.

ANSWER:

(d) (2 *points*) Discuss general tradeoffs relating to model complexity.

ANSWER:

Your assistant created a GLM. Refer to the code in R.

(e) (3 points) Create a new GLM that is a less complex version of the model your assistant made. It should represent the simplest model you can justify using the drop1 output and AIC criteria. Justify whether you would recommend the new model to your boss based on AIC criteria. Copy the code used to create your new model. Copy the output that supports your decision.

ANSWER:

Justification:

Code:

Output:

Task 4 (9 points)

You have worked with your assistant to predict Time.to.resolution for complaints related to mattresses.

(a) (*3 points*) Explain two benefits of a decision tree, focusing on the characteristics of the data itself (refer to the data dictionary).

ANSWER:

(b) (*3 points*) Assess whether the DEPARTMENT or weekday variable is more likely to be included as an important split in the decision tree. Base your decision on bivariate analyses. Do not create a tree. Paste the results of bivariate exploration that was used to support your assessment.

ANSWER:

Plots:

Assessment:

You ask your assistant to prepare a model to predict Time.to.resolution for mattress complaints coming from the sanitation department. Your assistant produces three different models; a decision tree (A), a random forest with default hyperparameters (B), and a random forest with hyperparameters that have been optimized (C). Plots of the Predicted vs. Actual values of Time.to.resolution for each of the models are below.



A: Decision Tree







(c) (*3 points*) Analyze the differences in the three plots and describe what likely led to those differences.

Task 5 (5 points)

Your assistant fit a GLM to predict the resolution time for garbage cart requests from new residents. (The data used is not in any of the supplied files.) The assistant chose to fit two different distributions, a Poisson and a Quasi-Poisson distribution. Refer to output below:

```
Call:
glm(formula = Time.to.resolution ~ year + as.factor(month) +
LONGITUDE + LATITUDE, family = poisson(link = "log"), data = df.task1)
Deviance Residuals:
Min 1Q Median
-6.8900 -1.6644 -0.6477
                                           30
                                                     Max
                                   0.4110 30.2298
Coefficients:
                           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
84.909815 5.451537 52.262 < 2e-16
-0.140033 0.001607 -87.148 < 2e-16
                                                                 < 2e-16 ***
< 2e-16 ***
(Intercept)
                         284.909815
-0.140033
year
as.factor(month)2
as.factor(month)3
as.factor(month)4
                                                       -4.376 1.21e-05 ***
                          -0.067987
                                          0.015535
                                                                  < 2e-16 ***
                           0.156672
                                          0.014400
                                                       10.880
                           0.065927
                                          0.015191
                                                         4.340 1.43e-05 ***
                                                                  < 2e-16 ***
as.factor(month)5
as.factor(month)6
                           0.193870
0.091998
                                          0.014664
                                                       13,221
                                          0.014512
                                                         6.339 2.31e-10 ***
as.factor(month)7
as.factor(month)8
as.factor(month)9
                           0.022461 0.794293
                                                       1.522 61.373
                                          0.014757
                                                                     0.128
                                                                  < 2e-16 ***
                                          0.012942
                            0.282731
                                          0.014287
                                                       19.789
                                                                  < 2e-16 ***
                                                                  < 2e-16 ***
as.factor(month)10
                           0.695558
                                          0.013310
                                                       52.257
as.factor(month)11
as.factor(month)12
                            0.261785
                                          0.014945
                                                                  < 2e-16 ***
                                                       17.516
                         -0.029679
0.104483
                                                                    0.065 .
                                          0.016084
                                                       -1.845
LONGITUDE
                                          0.046603
                                                         2.242
LATITUDE
                           0.304871
                                          0.047265
                                                        6.450 1.12e-10 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
     Null deviance: 125513 on 14324 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 104664 on 14310 degrees of freedom
AIC: 158466
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
Call
glm(formula = Time.to.resolution ~ year + as.factor(month)
LONGITUDE + LATITUDE, family = quasipoisson(link = "lo
data = df.task1)
                                                                          'log").
Deviance Residuals:
Min 1Q Median
-6.8900 -1.6644 -0.6477
                         .
Median
                                           30
                                                     Max
                                     0.4110 30.2298
Coefficients:
                          Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
284.90981 19.81415 14.379 < 2e-16 ***
-0.14003 0.00584 -23.977 < 2e-16 ***
                         284.90981
-0.14003
(Intercept)
year
year
as.factor(month)2
as.factor(month)3
as.factor(month)4
as.factor(month)6
as.factor(month)6
                          -0.06799
                                          0.05646
                                                      -1.204 0.228581
                           0.15667
                                          0.05234
                                                       2.994 0.002763
                                                                            **
                           0.06593
                                          0.05521
                                                       1,194 0,232483
                                                                            ***
                           0.19387
                                          0.05330
                                                       3.638 0.000276
                            0.09200
                                          0.05275
                                                       1.744 0.081156
as.factor(month)7
as.factor(month)8
as.factor(month)9
as.factor(month)10
                                                       0.419 0.675384
                            0.02246
                                          0.05363
                           0.79429
                                          0.04704 16.886
                                                                 < 2e-16
                                                                            ***
                                                       5.445 5.28e-08 ***
                                          0.05193
                           0.69556
                                          0.04838 14.378
                                                                           ....
                                                                < 2e-16
as.factor(month)11 0.26178
as.factor(month)12 -0.02968
                                          0.05432
                                                       4.819 1.45e-06 ***
                                          0.05846 -0.508 0.611686
LONGITUDE
                            0.10448
                                                       0.617
                                                               0.537349
                                          0.16938
LATITUDE
                           0.30487
                                          0.17179
                                                       1.775 0.075974
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for quasipoisson family taken to be 13.21031)
     Null deviance: 125513 on 14324 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 104664 on 14310 degrees of freedom
AIC: NA
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
```

(a) (3 *points*) Assess the two chosen distributions with respect to reasonability in modeling Time.to.resolution as a target variable, using the output provided by the assistant.

ANSWER:

Your boss would like you to consider other distributions for the GLM.

(b) (*2 points*) Recommend two additional distributions along with link functions that are reasonable choices to model Time.to.resolution. Justify your recommendations.

Task 6 (10 points)

The client is interested in improving furniture disposal pickup times. Your assistant prepares a GLM and a decision tree which model Time.to.resolution using LATITUDE and LONGITUDE as predictor variables. (The data used is not in any of the supplied files.)

(a) (2 points) Contrast using a GLM versus a decision tree given the client's goals and the variables chosen to use in these models.

ANSWER:

(b) (2 points) Recommend either the GLM or decision tree to use and justify your recommendation.

ANSWER:

Your assistant produced a decision tree to predict Time.to.resolution using LATITUDE and LONGITUDE. Your assistant provides you with the following code and output below:

```
formula <- as.formula("Time.to.resolution~LATITUDE+LONGITUDE")
tree.furniture <- rpart(formula,data=df.furniture,cp=.003,minbucket=50)
rpart.plot(tree.furniture,type=2,digits=4)</pre>
```



(c) (*3 points*) Interpret a few select components of this plot by filling out the table below:

ANSWER:

Component of Plot	Interpretation
55.43	
12.06%	
Latitude < 30.41	
38.46	
5.72%	

Your assistant wants to recommend that the client includes shortening furniture disposal service request resolution times as part of their campaign.

(d) (*3 points*) Critique your assistant's recommendation and consider model efficacy and potential equity concerns.

Task 7 (8 points)

Your assistant is interested in better understanding debris removal on unoccupied property and wants to use hierarchical clustering to separate the data into subgroups. Your assistant prepares a dataset that contains debris removal records on unoccupied property on or after 2019. (The data used is not in any of the supplied files.)

Consider a dataset with three variables X, Y, and Z, and three observations A, B, and C. Suppose they contain the following observations:

	Х	Y	Ζ
Observation A	1	2	1
Observation B	2	1	2
Observation C	10	20	10

(a) *(2 points)* Identify which two observations are closest together using each of the dissimilarity measures of correlation and Euclidian distance.

(b) (1 point) State the difference between dissimilarity and linkage.

ANSWER:

Your assistant performs hierarchical clustering with the variables LONGITUDE and LATITUDE, using the complete linkage method.



(c) (*2 points*) Interpret what the height represents in the complete linkage dendrogram above.

ANSWER:

(d) (*1 point*) Recommend a value for the number of clusters for the complete linkage dendrogram. Justify your recommendation.

Your assistant runs a similar clustering algorithm, this time with single linkage.



(e) (2 *points*) Explain how the dendrogram from the single linkage method differs from the complete linkage dendrogram.

Task 8 (7 points)

Your assistant prepared two decision trees. Each tree is trained on a subset of service requests related to missed garbage pickups. The two trees are similar except for the target variable. The code and plots of these trees are shown as follows, which are used in subtasks (a), (b), and (c). (The data used is not in any of the supplied files.)

tree.1:

```
formula.tree.1 <- as.formula("Time.to.resolution~LATITUDE+LONGITUDE")
tree.1 <- rpart(formula.tree.1,data=df.missed,cp=0,maxdepth=3)
rpart.plot(tree.1,type=2,digits=6)</pre>
```



tree.2:

```
df.missed$res.under.ten.days[df.missed$Time.to.resolution < 10]<-"Y"
df.missed$res.under.ten.days[df.missed$Time.to.resolution >= 10]<-"N"
df.missed$res.under.ten.days <- as.factor(df.missed$res.under.ten.days)
formula.tree.2 <- as.formula("res.under.ten.days~LATITUDE+LONGITUDE")
tree.2 <- rpart(formula.tree.2,data=df.missed,cp=0,maxdepth=4)
rpart.plot(tree.2,type=2,digits=6)</pre>
```



(a) (3 *points*) Explain how the calculations to determine the splits in tree.1 are different than in tree.2.

ANSWER:

Your boss wants to understand the impact of outliers in the models.

(b) *(2 points)* Explain how the presence of outliers in the target variable affects each of the two trees from part (a) above.

ANSWER:

Your assistant prepares a draft version of the report to the client that includes both trees. To simplify the report, you decide to only include one of the trees.

(c) (2 *points*) Recommend a tree to present to the client. Justify your recommendation based on the applicability to the business problem.

Task 9 (6 points)

The client is interested in estimating the impact of various predictors on Time.to.resolution for two common complaints: "MISSED GARBAGE SERVICE DAY (GENERAL PICK-UP)" and "MISSING GARBAGE CART." The client is interested in resolution time trends. Another concern is whether resolution times differ for certain areas within the city.

Run the given code and use the output to answer the following.

(a) (*3 points*) Interpret the coefficients for the time variables (year, quarter) for the two models (one for each complaint) using the summary() output. Also describe the trends of resolution times for each of the two complaints.

ANSWER:

(b) (*3 points*) Using the summary() and drop1() output, compare and contrast the significance of the area variables in the two models. Quantify significant differences in resolution times.

Task 10 (10 points)

Your client has a goal to resolve missed pickups service calls to fewer than two days. Your boss wants you to build a model to evaluate this and suggests using AUC as a performance metric. (The data used is not in any of the supplied files.)

(a) *(2 points)* Explain the difference between accuracy and AUC in terms of overall model assessment.

ANSWER:

(b)

Your assistant built a model and plotted the ROC curve below.



Your boss suggests a boosted tree can increase model performance by reducing bias, however, setting hyperparameters is critical. You are asked to build a gradient boosting machine (GBM) tree model to assess the performance improvement.



The GBM tree model performance using the test data set is shown below.



(c) (2 *points*) Explain why model performance improves at beginning then deteriorates as the number of trees increases.

ANSWER:

(d) (2 *points*) Describe two hyperparameters you could adjust to improve model performance.

ANSWER:

(e) (2 points) Explain the process of how to tune a hyperparameter.

Task 11 (8 points)

You are investigating data on calls for damaged carts using Time.to.resolution as the target variable. This dataset includes an additional variable "Service.Request.Id." This variable is set to 1 for the first request and incremented by one at each subsequent request. Your assistant has removed this variable, arguing that it is not of any value for predicting Time.to.resolution, given that is merely a counter that reflects the row of the observation. (The data used is not in any of the supplied files.)

(a) (2 *points*) Critique the assistant's recommendation.

ANSWER:

(b) (1 point) Define an interaction effect.

ANSWER:

Many service calls for damaged carts have resolution times over 60 days. You have been asked to look at these in more detail. Your assistant has built an initial model to predict if a damaged cart call will take more than 60 days to service. The predictive variables used are: year, month, DEPARTMENT, LATITUDE, LONGITUDE. Consider interactions among the predictor variables.

(c) (*2 points*) Propose two variables to make an interaction term that may improve model accuracy. Justify your proposal.

ANSWER:

You continue working on a model to predict if a call for a damaged cart will have resolution times over 60 days. A new indicator variable "Over60" has been created to identify records that have a resolution time greater than 60 days.

Your assistant is testing different link functions for predicting Over60. Your assistant notes that some model predictors are highly statistically significant with certain link functions but not with others.

(d) (*3 points*) Explain how changing the link function in the GLM impacts the model fitting and how this can impact predictor significance.

Task 12 (7 points)

Your assistant mentions that using latitude and longitude for each service call would allow the mapping of each call to a zip code. By using publicly available census information, the data by zip code could be combined with information such as average age, predominant race, and average household income.

(a) (1 point) Define proxy variable.

ANSWER:

(b) (3 *points*) Evaluate your assistant's recommendation for any potential legal or ethical concerns including whether proxy variables should be used in this project.

ANSWER:

Your assistant states that the values for latitude and longitude are too granular and proposes that the data be grouped for modeling. Your assistant groups the data by splitting the ranges of both latitude and longitude into 20 equally spaced bins and creating factor variables Latitude_Binned and Longitude_Binned. For each combination of Department, year, month, Latitude_Binned and Longitude_Binned the average Time.to.resolution and the total count is stored in variables Ave.Time.to.resolution and call_count.

Using this grouped data, your assistant then models the Ave.Time.to.resolution using two Poisson regression models, Poisson.1 and Poisson.2. The code for these models is provided.

(c) (3 *points*) Assess the differences between the two models, including fitted parameters, coefficient estimates, goodness of fit.