The Strategic Implications of Enterprise Risk Management: A Framework

Ezeosa Dafikpaku, MBA, B.Eng (Hons), PRINCE2, MIET, MCMI

2011 Enterprise Risk Management Symposium Society of Actuaries March 14-16, 2011

Copyright 2011 by the Society of Actuaries.

All rights reserved by the Society of Actuaries. Permission is granted to make brief excerpts for a published review. Permission is also granted to make limited numbers of copies of items in this monograph for personal, internal, classroom or other instructional use, on condition that the foregoing copyright notice is used so as to give reasonable notice of the Society's copyright. This consent for free limited copying without prior consent of the Society does not extend to making copies for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for inclusion in new collective works or for resale.

Abstract

The dynamic and highly competitive business environment of recent times has seen numerous debacles, from natural disasters to financial crisis to frauds and scandals. This has brought to the limelight risk management, a discipline that has in the past focused on mostly hazardous risks and is most recognized in the finance and insurance sectors. All these applications, including the numerous measures taken to mitigate current and emerging risks, have given governments, businesses and stakeholders a new view of the environment: the risk environment.

The intervention by what is considered the evolutionary discipline of traditional risk management, known as enterprise risk management (ERM), takes a new and holistic approach. Experts describe ways of implementation through the use of frameworks, one of which, the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) ERM Integrated Framework, is discussed in this work.

This study is carried out using a case-study research design looking at two companies: Infosys and Rolls-Royce Corp. The research is aimed at developing an explanation on how ERM brings about the strategic implications or its "promise" as it is popularly known in the ERM circle.

Reported findings show that a simple linkage exists between the ERM processes and their benefits (the strategic implications), apparently influenced by numerous factors including risk appetite, risk culture and management competence, which go a long way to show the value of ERM. Through analyzing the cases, questions answered by this paper include: Why is the risk perceived differently? Why is the impact of the same risk different? Why the difference in risk responses? Why is the proposed response sometimes different from the actual? How are all these linked together?

In concluding this research paper, the influential factors and how they are linked to the ERM process of achieving these strategic implications are highlighted.

Keywords: Enterprise, holistic, risks, management, COSO, framework, integrated, ERM.

Introduction

The Risk Environment

In today's competitive business environment, companies are faced with greater uncertainties (risks and opportunities) as they strive to create value. And in the wake of the current global economic crisis, businesses in a bid to stay competitive have taken crucial measures. Some businesses have cut down on the number of staff tremendously (one such business is British Telecom, which cut 15,000 jobs after making a \pounds 1.3 billion loss¹); some shut down offices, branches, divisions or plants due to a drastic reduction in the demand for their products or services (such is the case with Honda motors, which shut its plant at Swindon from February to May 2009²); and some businesses "burst" due to their inability to repay their debt (an example is Woolworths, which closed its 807 British outlets and left more than 27,000 people unemployed³). These have led managers and investors in recent times to pay more attention to managing the risks inherent and emerging in their businesses.

It is therefore of great importance for businesses to make appropriate strategic decisions on uncertain outcomes, as it would, at worst, cut down losses due to disaster and, at best, improve profitability in cases of opportunities. According to the Committee of Sponsoring Organisations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), "Uncertainties present both risks and opportunities, with potential to erode or enhance value."⁴ The sources of uncertainties with adverse effects or outcomes (the probability of which is defined as risk) are described as due to the volatility, complexity or heterogeneity of risk; the impact of external events (such as customer preferences or competitors strategies); the response to external events or developments (such as compliance to policies, regulations and standards or development of strategies); and the behavior of employees. Some of the risks covered in this research include capacity expansion risk, diversification, vertical integration, financial, marketing and human resources.

The Global Risk Management Survey⁵ carried out by the Aon Corp. presents its findings in four key components: top 10 risks, overall risk preparedness, business losses related to risk and key business topics/functions. The top 10 risks are published as follows:

- **1.** Economic slowdown
- 2. Regulatory/legislative changes
- **3.** Business interruption
- 4. Increasing competition (new addition to top 10 since the 2007 report)
- 5. Commodity price risk (new addition to top 10 since the 2007 report)
- 6. Damage to reputation
- 7. Cash flow/liquidity risk
- 8. Distribution or supply chain failure (new addition to top 10 since the 2007 report)

^{1.} Sky News, May 14, 2009.

^{2.} BBC News, "Honda's Four-month Break to Begin," Jan. 30, 2009.

^{3.} BBC News, "Final Woolworths Stores Shut Down," Jan. 6, 2009.

^{4.} Committee of Sponsoring Organisations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), 2004.

^{5.} Aon Corp., 2009.

- **9.** Third-party liability
- **10.** Failure to attract or retain top talent

The Relevance: A Need for Enterprise Risk Management

The recession has forced businesses to place more focus on the management of risks relating to all aspects of their businesses. Such management is broadly defined as enterprise risk management (ERM), which describes the set of activities businesses undertake to deal with all the diverse risks that face it in a holistic, strategic and integrated method. These risks include financial, strategic, operational, hazardous and compliance, spanning the organization. Many such risks have significant impact on the profitability, effectiveness and reputation of business enterprises.

In the 21st century, there are several checkpoints that have considerably driven the need for enterprise risk management, which today is referred to as drivers of ERM. This includes increase in the following:⁶

- Greater transparency (corporate governance)
- Financial disclosures with more strict reporting and control requirements
- Security and technology issues
- Business continuity and disaster preparedness
- Focus from rating agencies
- Regulatory compliance (laws and regulations)
- Globalization in a continuously competitive environment

The "what" ERM provides for businesses (the benefits) has been highlighted in many publications, but as any critic (manager) would say, "This is not enough; anyone could lay claims that lofty." The "how" this is achieved is what these critics are interested in knowing now that it has caught their attention. They need very good reasons why they should apply such a process looking at its associated cost and effect on the bottom-line of their businesses. The "how" is what links the process of ERM to the benefits it is said to give. This explanation may very well be the incentive businesses (management) need to implement the ERM process toward realizing, with reasonable assurance, their strategic objects.

^{6.} Society of Actuaries (SOA), 2008.

Understanding Enterprise Risk Management

The Concept of Risk Management

Let's start by understanding the simple concept of risk and progress gradually toward managing enterprise risks. The renowned "father of modern management," Peter Drucker, is quoted to have said, "A decision that does not involve risk, probably is not a decision."⁷ Thomas Stewart, author of article "Managing Risk in the 21st Century", Fortune (February 7, 2000): 202, said, "Risk—let's get this straight up front—is good. The point of risk management isn't to eliminate it; that would eliminate reward. The point is to manage it—that is, to choose where to place bets, and where to avoid betting altogether."⁸ We see the same school of thought in the words of Dan Borge, former director of Bankers Trust: "Many people think that the goal of risk management is to eliminate risk—to be as cautious as possible, not so. The goal of risk management is to achieve the best possible balance of opportunity and risk. Sometimes, achieving this balance means exposing yourself to new risks in order to take advantage of attractive opportunities."⁹

Again, Drucker makes it clear what an attempt to eliminate risk completely would lead to: "A business has to minimize risk. But if its behavior is governed by the attempt to escape risk, it will end up taking the greatest and least rational risk of all: the risk of doing nothing."¹⁰ Vedpuriswar adds that risk can neither be avoided nor eliminated completely.¹¹ The theme of risk management is clearly highlighted as the minimization of risk in a bid to keep it within controllable limits, as well as the acceptance of risk in order to gain reward—the definition of a risk appetite.

Uncertainty in business and life in general is said to exist due to the futuristic nature of outcomes. The outcomes of business operations are to be reached sometime in the future after the tasks have been performed. Monahan agrees to this in his work, stating businesses face risk due to the uncertainty of possible outcomes of the actions taken in the course of doing their business.¹²

And even in a situation where a high level of certainty exists toward the achievement of positive outcomes, a sudden disastrous event may occur to change this fate. Barton, Shenkir and Walker shed light on the "risk" debacles the business community has witnessed that have resulted in considerable decrease in shareholder value, financial loss and damage of company reputation.¹³ They point out that such events may include environmental disaster, mergers destroying shareholder value, organizations trading in complex derivative instruments without understanding the risks involved, and traders lacking oversight and having inadequate controls

^{7.} Shortreed, Hicks and Craig, 2003.

^{8.} Thomas A. Stewart cited in Barton, Shenkir and Walker, 2002.

^{9.} Dan Borge cited in Vedpuriswar, 2006.

^{10.} Peter Drucker cited in Vedpuriswar, 2006.

^{11.} Vedpuriswar, 2006.

^{12.} Monahan, 2008.

^{13.} Barton, Shenkir and Walker, 2002.

for the enormous risks they assume, while placing emphasis on the attention and handling of such risks.

Monahan argues on the notion that risk is the same as uncertainty, by defining risk as anything that produces a distribution of various probabilities for various outcomes.¹⁴ COSO on the other hand, defines uncertainty as that which presents both risk and opportunities, with potentials to erode or enhance value. Risk is the possibility that the occurrence of an event will adversely affect the achievement of objectives, and opportunity is the possibility that an event will occur and positively affect the achievement of objective. The author has adopted the COSO definitions in this paper.¹⁵

What is Enterprise Risk?

Currently, the need for corporate governance, internal control (as well as compliance to rules and regulations) and risk management has been of critical concern to businesses as experts call for the integration of all three with a single management approach referred to as the integrated governance, risk and compliance (GRC).¹⁶ However, the solution came as enterprise risk management, as it emphasizes all three aspects within its process of application. Experts point at the recent financial crisis and the related economic downturn, and the failure of risk management to help the situation, as further backing for the re-evaluation of the discipline and a change to a more coordinated (wider scoped) risk management approach that recognizes the interdependencies of risks.¹⁷ Again, ERM is described as the solution to this challenge.

Enterprise risk is the aggregate of all functional and process risks a business entity faces in the course of carrying out its business activities. Such risks would include the types described by the Casualty Actuarial Society¹⁸ listed below:

- 1. Hazard risk
- 2. Financial risk
- 3. Operational risk
- 4. Strategic risk

The ERM approach is a first attempt to recognize the interdependencies among risks and the treatment of risks across all business operations.¹⁶

About Enterprise Risk Management

The holistic approach that characterizes the present trend of risk management, referred to in some text as enterprisewide risk management, ERM, strategic risk management or integrated risk management, is aimed at dealing with uncertainty for the organization.¹⁹

17. Jablonowski, 2009.

^{14.} Monahan, 2008.

^{15.} COSO, 2004.

^{16.} Dittmar, n.d.

^{18.} Casualty Actuarial Society (CAS), 2003.

The rationale behind this approach is that value is maximized when the decision-makers sets strategy and objectives to strike an optimal balance between growth and return goals and the related risks, and efficiently and effectively allocate resources in pursuit of the entity's objectives.²⁰ Barton, Shenkir and Walker stated that the goal of this new approach is to create, protect and enhance shareholder value by managing uncertainties that could influence the achievement of organizational objectives.²¹

ERM is clearly distinguished from risk management and financial risk management in the Risk and Insurance Management Society Inc. (RIMS) Executive Report, 2009. While risk management is described as a broad term for the business discipline that is concerned with the protection of the assets and profits of an organization by either reducing the potential before it occurs, mitigating the impact of a loss if it occurs and the execution of a swift recovery after a loss occurs, financial risk management is the term often used by non-financial institutions to describe the mitigation process for their financial exposure; enterprise risk management on the other hand is said to represent a revolutionary change in the risk management discipline that broadens the scope of risk management behaviors.²²

By definition and contrast, ERM is seen as the new paradigm in risk management; while the old paradigm is characterized by avoiding losses within a limited scope, separated by function and terminated at the end of the task (or project), this new approach covers all risks, both internal and external, integrates and views all risks from a board, creating awareness organizationwide, with the goal of creating, protecting and enhancing shareholder value by mitigating risks and seizing opportunities in a continuous process.

The authorities and experts of this emerging discipline have defined ERM in a number of ways that depicts their perception and the way they practice it.

The CAS committee definition is: "ERM is the discipline, by which an organization in any industry assesses, controls, exploits, finances and monitors risks from all sources for the purpose of increasing the organizations short and long term value to its stakeholders."²³

The committee places emphasis on the following five parts of the definition:

- 1. ERM is a discipline.
- 2. ERM applies to all industries.
- 3. ERM exploits (value creating) as well as mitigates (manages) risk.
- 4. ERM considers all sources of risks.
- 5. ERM considers all stakeholders of the enterprise.

^{19.} Monahan, 2008.

^{20.} COSO, 2004.

^{21.} Barton, Shenkir and Walker, 2002.

^{22.} Risk and Insurance Management Society Inc. (RIMS), 2006.

^{23.} CAS, 2003.

COSO describes ERM as one that deals with risk and opportunities, and defines ERM as follows:

"Enterprise risk management is a process, effected by an entity's board of directors and other personnel, applied in strategy setting and across the enterprise, designed to identify potential events that may effect the entity, and manage risk to be within its risk appetite, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of entity objectives."²⁴

As before, the COSO committee also breaks the definition into simple bits:

- 1. ERM is a process; it is ongoing and following through an entity.
- 2. ERM is affected by people at every level of an organization.
- 3. ERM is applied in a strategy setting.
- 4. ERM is applied across the enterprise, at every level and every unit, and includes entity-level portfolio view of risk.
- 5. ERM is designed to identify potential events that, in the event of their occurrence, will affect the entity and manage the risk within its risk appetite.
- 6. ERM is able to provide reasonable assurance to the management and board of directors of an entity.
- 7. ERM is general toward the achievement of objectives in one or more separate but overlapping categories.

Managing Enterprise Risks

According to Lexicon Systems LLC, this new, strategic imperative has grown momentum, and in a single paragraph summarizes the activities of ERM that will take organizations years to accomplish, maintaining an organization can support ERM solutions when they reach a certain level of business and information maturity. When this occurs, they establish a "risk culture" and then gather risk intelligence. The adoption of a process focused on GRC as against the "siloed" issue-by-issue style follow. In addition to these, they suggest the organizations establish a risk and compliance architecture that considers the business processes, the people and the information technology. Finally, the organization commits and trains the members consistently on corporate policies and procedures.²⁵

The CAS committee states that this involves continual scanning of the risk environment and evaluating the performance of the risk management strategies, and the feedback into the context-setting step of the process and the cycle repeats again and again, continuously.²⁶

The ERM process in a generic sense is a reiterative process in which certain sequential activities are carried out, starting with establishing a context, and then identifying events, analyzing and quantifying risks, integrating risks, assessing and prioritizing risks, and finally

^{24.} COSO, 2004.

^{25.} Gilbert, 2007.

^{26.} CAS, 2003.

treating risks/exploiting opportunities. The monitoring and reviewing activities are continuous and concurrent with these other activities.

What is a Framework?

By definition, a framework serves as a guide, an outline or overview of interlinked items (activities) to facilitate an approach toward achieving a specific goal. In this context, a framework would aid the implementation of ERM. It does so by aiding to organize and structure an approach that can both be measured and repeated. A risk management framework is described as an organization-specific set of functional activities and the associated definitions that define the risk management system in an organization and also the relationship to the risk management organizational system.²⁷

The Enterprise Risk Management Framework

The 2008 ERM Benchmarking Survey conducted by the Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA) and IIA Research Foundation's Global Audit Information Network, revealed that COSO's Enterprise Risk Management — Integrated Framework is the most commonly used framework to guide risk management efforts. In the perspective of experts, the only rival to this is the revised International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 31000 standards published in late 2009.

In managing risks, these ERM frameworks must identify and analyze the risks, and then take one of the following actions:²⁸

- Avoidance of risk by aborting actions that contributes to risk
- Reduction of risk by reducing the likelihood or impact of risk
- Share or insure risk by transferring or sharing a portion of the risk (impact)
- Acceptance of risk by taking no action as a result of a cost/benefit decision

Some other ERM frameworks/standards include:

- Federation of European Risk Management Associations (FERMA)
- ISO 31000
- British Standard
- Airmic
- RIMS Risk Maturity Model
- U.S. Federal Aviation Administration Safety Risk Management

In this paper, COSO's ERM integrated framework will be examined, as it deals with ERM applicable to all industries and encompassing all types of risks.

^{27.} Shortreed, Hicks and Craig, 2003.

^{28.} Kucuk Yilmaz, 2008.

The Enterprise Risk Management – Integrated Framework was developed by COSO to meet the requirements of a robust framework that would effectively identify, assess and manage risk due to heightened concerns and focus on risk management. The aim was the development of a framework that would be readily usable by managements to evaluate and improve the ERM of their organizations.²⁹

The effectiveness and efficiency of the implementation of the COSO framework's concepts and principles will mostly be affected by an entity's size, complexity, industry, culture and management style.³⁰ The committee discusses that because of the availability of an array of approaches and choices, even similar organizations implement ERM differently. On pre-implementation, however, Miccolism emphasizes the need to develop a company-specific operation before diving in for a company-specific ERM program.³¹

In today's business world, the ultimate purpose of an ERM framework would be seen as the facilitation of the process to be described, automated, monitored and improved as part of the cycle of continuous innovation and responsiveness to the business dynamics.

The Business Case for Implementing Enterprise Risk Management

The Society of Actuaries (SOA) describes the drivers³² for this change and the development of the discipline of ERM to be due to:

- 1. Regulatory developments
- 2. Rating agency views
- 3. The COSO report
- 4. Basel
- 5. Economic capital
- 6. Conglomerates
- 7. Convergence of financial products, markets and globalization
- 8. Board attention due to public's demand for certain assurances

The Challenges/Issues of the Traditional Risk Management Approach

The major issue is the persistent contextual myopia in risk management, concentrated solely on hazard risk; risk management has been a disconnected function and risks do not always fit into categories quite neatly. An example would be business interruption at a plant; this has finance, marketing and reputational implications beyond the effects on production and the applicability of the property insurance policy. The growing recognition that coordinating and financing all facets of organizational risk effectively is critical for the maximization of success.³³ Scholars have observed that it costs much more to manage risk individually.

^{29.} COSO, Executive Summary, 2004.

^{30.} COSO, Application Techniques, 2004.

^{31.} Miccolis, 2003.

^{32.} SOA, 2005.

^{33.} Busman and Zuiden, 1998.

The challenge of having a focus on narrow concerns, a fragmented approach toward risk management, has its solution in the understanding of the wider scope of risks being faced.³⁴ Establishing, maintaining and implementing a new approach³⁵ should include:

- An organizationwide awareness of risk management
- The channels for communication of risks
- The methods, tools and practices for managing risk
- The ways to measure operational and financial risk
- The organizational risk map
- The risk-financing mechanisms
- The measurements of risk management effectiveness

The Enterprise Risk Management Vision or Promise

The benefits or what some would consider the "promise" of ERM are not farfetched;³⁶ COSO describes them as follows:

- 1. Aligning risk appetite and strategy. This ensures risks are within manageable limits.
- 2. Enhancing risk response decisions. This ensures that the select alternative optimizes resources.
- 3. Reducing operational surprises and losses. This ensures that potential events are identified and assessed, and responses are established, thereby reducing the occurrence of surprises due to the changing business environment and related costs or losses.
- 4. Identifying and managing cross-enterprise risks. This ensures that the risks the entity faced are identified, and their relationships and their impacts known.
- 5. Providing integrated responses to multiple risk. This ensures that all related risks are addressed cost effectively.
- 6. Seizing opportunities. This ensures that not only are the risks identified, but also the potential opportunities.
- 7. Improving deployment of capital. This ensures that management has robust information on risks to effectively assess the overall capital needs and enhance capital allocation.

It is therefore right to say the implementation of ERM strategically implies that, if effective, it helps ensure, with reasonable assurance, that with the understanding of the complete array of risks an entity faces, it can best achieve its strategic, operations, reporting and compliance objectives.

^{34.} Jablonowski, 2009.

^{35.} SOA, 2005.

^{36.} COSO, 2004.

The Business Value of Enterprise Risk Management

The strategic implications of ERM refer to the effects of the ERM process on setting strategic objectives and on strategy. As ERM is a process whose mechanisms should be/are built into the infrastructure of the entity with the goal of ensuring, with reasonable assurance, that the entity's objectives in all four categories—strategic, operations, reporting and compliance—are achieved, the strategic implication may be described as follows:³⁷

- 1. The board of directors and management have reasonable assurance that they understand to what extent the entity's strategic objectives are being met or affected.
- 2. The same as above goes for their operations objectives.
- 3. The entity's reporting is reliable.
- 4. All applicable laws and regulations are being complied with.

Points 1 and 2 simply imply that with risk information (i.e., risk intelligence), the board of directors and management at various levels have an understanding of their decision options and their strategic and operational effects on the organization.

The SOA describes the organizational objectives for pursuing ERM as:³⁸

- 1. Competitive advantage
- 2. Strategic goals
- 3. Shareholder value
- 4. Transparency of management
- 5. Decision making
- 6. Policy holder as a stakeholder

In finer details, these are achieved in a more practical sense by the integration of ERM in an organization that adequately supports its implementation in its day-to-day activities as follows:

- **Increased transparency**, through accountability, responsibility and performance management from top-down
- **Increased traceability**, for the purpose of compliance, audit and analysis
- **Improved responsiveness and flexibility**, through monitoring, anticipation of events and definition of responses
- **Continuous business optimization,** through clear understanding of strategic options
- Improved strategic alignment, through de-risking of business processes
- Improved business/information technology alignment, through de-risking the links between business and IT

^{37.} COSO, 2004.

^{38.} SOA, 2005.

- Accelerated identification and effective management of risk, through assessment of risk relationships and interdependence, and as a predictive tool
- Improved ability to perform mergers and acquisitions or diversification, through clear understanding of the risks and opportunities associated with such events
- **Cost reduction/savings**, through the reduction in business disruption and facilitating both the business rules and business continuity measures, shedding noncore activities (especially those with high risks), improving confidence and ensuring productivity leading to increased pace.

The list goes on and on, as the ERM process ensures the profitability of core business processes.

Enterprise Risk Management Helps Run an Extended Business Network

In recent times, it has become clear it is not enough to manage the business supply chain effectively and efficiently as a disruption in business activities in remote points of a business's value chain may have substantial adverse effect on it due to the bullwhip effect. The management of both the downstream and upstream stakeholders of your supply chain become essential if you are to have a stable supply chain. Thus, by extending your business network, you not only manage supplier and customer relationships, you also aim at monitoring and supporting de-risking their activities as it effects your business. In such a case, we look at ERM as managing an enterprise that comprises all the substantial enterprises that make up the value-chain of the business.

Implementation Requirements for Enterprise Risk Management

There are a number of still-emerging technologies³⁹ that will help firms be holistic and forward thinking in operational risks. They include:

- Dashboards and scorecards
- GRC, Business Intelligence and Business Process Management platforms
- Software delivered via the Web

Implementing ERM: Developing an ERM Program

Enterprise risk management requires a systematic and disciplined approach for implementation. Its fundamental requirements, according to Kucuk Yilmaz, basically include corporate, managerial, technical and cost resources.⁴⁰ Miccolis says companies need to have a clear and company-specific "operational framework" in place first and then use it to develop a company-specific ERM implementation plan.⁴¹

^{39.} Gilbert, 2007.

^{40.} Kucuk Yilmaz, 2008.

^{41.} Miccolis, 2003.

Miccolis continues that to establish the correct operational framework, the answers to four key questions are required:

- 1. What is the firm's objective for ERM?
- 2. What will be the scope (of risks and processes) of the firm's ERM?
- 3. What kind of organization structure around ERM will work for the firm?
- 4. What specific tools will be needed to implement it?

Looking at the possible answers to the questions above, as steps toward developing an operational framework, we have:

- 1. Such objectives may include strategic, compliance, operations and reporting. However prioritized, the objectives should be measurable and aligned toward the organization (or pay-off).
- 2. Such a scope should cover all risks faced by the entity in whatever categories are used, such as financial, hazard or strategic risks. The second dimension to this relates to the management processes aimed at influencing decision-making, such as strategic planning, internal audit and performance measurement.
- 3. The structure describes the role and responsibilities of the players involved.
- 4. Such tools include risk audit guides, risk monitoring reports and stochastic risk models.

When these are in place, the development of the implementation plan begins. It further portrays the point that it is not a stand-alone process. Also, the implementation of ERM strategically implies that with understanding of the complete array of risks an entity faces, it can best achieve its strategic, operations, reporting and compliance objectives.

Challenges and Issues in Implementing ERM

Miccolism and Shah⁴² throw light on some of the major issues regarding the implementation of ERM. They reports that while most companies believe in the concept, many are frustrated by the implementation issues that have apparently not made their ERM practice beneficial.

COSO reports that among the most critical management challenges is the determination for how much risk an organization is willing to take as it furthers its goal of value creation. It goes further to state the limitations of ERM in its executive summary.

The Limitations of ERM

COSO clears the air by stating the observed limitations, discussing the misguided notion that with embedded internal controls, the organization will achieve its objectives.

^{42.} Miccolis and Shah, 2001.

In the viewpoint of COSO, there are three distinct concepts that must be regarded:

- 1. Risk relates to the future, which is described as being inherently uncertain.
- 2. ERM can only provide reasonable assurance, and does not provide that the objectives must be met.
- 3. ERM cannot provide absolute assurance of outcomes with respect to any one of the objectives.

COSO continues by identifying five limits:

- 1. **Judgment:** The existence of human frailty that can effect ERM decisions subject to the conditions at the time of decision making, including available time, information presented and business pressures.
- 2. **Breakdowns.** There is also the possibility of breakdown of well-designed ERM programs due to misunderstood implementation of instructions by personnel, which may be due to judgment mistakes or errors committed as a result of fatigue, distraction or carelessness.
- 3. **Collusion:** Individuals may act together to cover the tracks of an action they carried out, and may need to alter some financial data or management information. This may not be detectable by the ERM process and may lead to its failure.
- 4. **Cost vs. benefits:** Due to the existence of resource constraints, it is always necessary to put cost against benefits of decisions especially when it relates to response to risk of failure and control activities.
- 5. **Management override:** There is the possibility of a manager deviating from prescribed policies or procedures of ERM. Reasons for this override may include personal gain, or to present an enhanced financial condition of the entity, or compliance status. Effective ERM will, however, improve the entity's prevention and detection of override activities capabilities.

Issues Encountered in Day-To-Day Practice of ERM

A look at recent times through the Global Risk Management Survey⁴³ reports on various aspects of the implementation of the ERM program. On the challenges to the organization in implementing its ERM program, the following results were obtained:

- 1. **Data:** 45 percent of respondents rated data integration as very significant and 43 percent said it was somewhat significant.
- 2. **Culture:** 57 percent of respondents rated the culture to be very significant against 27 percent that said it was somewhat significant.
- 3. **Tools and supporting technology systems:** 31 percent of the 81 percent respondents rated it as very significant and the majority said it was somewhat significant.

^{43.} Aon Corp., 2009.

As for the other aspects mentioned, which includes organizational structure, risk methodology, ability to demonstrate value form ERM, and human resources policies and practices, a range between 24 and 83 percent of the respondents in each case rated them as very significant, and the remaining respondents said it was somewhat significant. This translates to issues worth paying attention to by the individual respondent firms.

The major issues noted in this report are:

- 1. The tough battle between business units and risk managers that may need to be managed, as business units may resist having their decisions questioned by the latter.
- 2. The inconsistent definitions of disparate information systems as the need to integrate data across the organization for an effective ERM program.

Future Trend of ERM

Barton, Shenkir and Walker expressed their view on the ERM trend to be the seeming need for the development of more sophisticated non-financial risk measures. They argue it would be difficult to build models that offer predictability for this, since many of the events in this area are random.⁴⁴

They also emphasize the need for the chief risk officer to be well informed on best practices as well as the need for business educators to teach the discipline to future chief financial officers. Also, ERM should be incorporated in existing graduate and undergraduate courses.

On the industry-level, RIMS executive report⁴⁵ outlines the next step to be taken to achieve effective enterprise management. These steps are:

- 1. To truly adopt an ERM culture (which is emphasized to be the key)
- 2. To embrace and demonstrate appropriate ERM behaviors (or attributes)
- 3. To develop and reward internal risk management competencies, so as to motivate employees while showing management concerns
- 4. To use ERM to inform management's decision-making (both in risk and opportunity taking)

^{44.} Barton, Shenkir and Walker, 2002.

^{45.} RIMS, 2006.

Enterprise Risk Management Program in Action

Players in the Enterprise Risk Management Program

The players in the ERM program of an enterprise comprise everyone from top to bottom, from management to the lowest level of staff. While everyone is responsible for the effective and efficient running of the program, the ultimate responsibility rests on the chief executive officer, who assumes ownership of all the risks the enterprise is exposed to and reports to the board of directors. However, ownership of the individual, sectional, team or departmental risks are associated with the staff, team or committee in charge of the processes or functions where the risks arise.

The players of the ERM program and the reporting flow are represented by the diagram below.



Figure 1 The Players in an ERM Program

Starting from the top, the board of directors is responsible for the oversight of ERM as well as reporting to stakeholders on the risk management strategy and risk issues. The board may decide to delegate specific aspects of its ERM duties to the audit or risk committee. The audit or risk committee reports to the board.

The CEO provides leadership and direction to senior managers while seeing that all ERM components are in place. The CEO reports to the board. The CEO may also establish a committee to carry out its functions, such as an ERM committee or officer. On the alternative,

the CEO may delegate this function to the chief financial officer, chief risk officer or chief compliance officer where applicable.

Risk officers from the ERM office may be deployed to work within various sections to support the ERM processes by getting closer to the areas where risks exists and reporting to the centralized ERM officer. The internal auditors may play a key role in monitoring the application and effectiveness of the ongoing ERM functions.

The senior managers, managers, department heads, section heads and team leaders are responsible for managing the risks related to their objectives, and, thus, they all have varying degrees of responsibilities according to their respective roles. They report to their immediate superior or as stated by policy.

Applying Enterprise Risk Management: A Brief Hypothetical Case

Working Top-down

In this hypothetical case of a manufacturing business, the first step taken to implement the ERM technology was the establishment of context by identifying core business processes (this on the assumption the business is already implementing a process-centric business model as against the functional departments shown below). These include processes related to the new product development, marketing, manufacturing and finance functions that align or should be aligned to the business goals and objectives. Other key supporting processes such as IT, Health Safety and Environment, and ERM are also taken into consideration.

The Silo Way



Figure 2 The Traditional Functional Departments

De-risking the strategic business goals provides outcomes and solutions necessary for repositioning the entire business, therefore re-evaluating the core processes that are key to creating value for the business. This will ultimately lead to the re-evaluation of supporting processes down to itemized tasks.

The Process-centric Way



As various processes cuts across functions, the utilization of multidisciplinary teams effectively and efficiently improves performance by reducing extra resources, time taken and forward/backward information flow, as well as increasing the concentration of needed resources. It thus makes performance management easier and more effective by improving traceability, clear accountability and responsibility definition. The internal control mechanisms are therefore easily monitored and controlled.

The process-driven organization illustrated above describes a structure where functions related to achieving a specific objective through a defined process are coordinated within a section that is accountable and responsible for the outcome of such processes. Sections, however, may further be broken down into groups/teams. Such a case suggests the use of multidisciplinary teams within sections.

Other functional teams specializing in the various core disciplines in the organization may act as a small team of support-service personnel providing advanced professional support to staffs of that discipline within various teams in various process-sections. The communication and reporting systems are similar to every other, but its unique features lie in centralization of issues and lessons learnt within disciplines reported periodically as defined while business-asusual reporting within the organization goes on.

The visibility and management of risks within processes becomes relatively easier as the process owners account for and manage the risks within their processes, which are borne from the various activities within the processes in question. Thus, we see that by addressing the risks within processes, we manage all related and interdependent risks involved, thereby creating real value based on the assurance of positive outcomes.

Risk owners are responsible for the risks within the processes they manage and are given appropriate authority, tools and resources to manage and report such risks within certain levels of severity (as defined by the business policies on risk management). Otherwise, risk issues are escalated to the next higher level of management while informing the ERM office that an issue has been escalated to create a risk awareness and support if deemed necessary at this point. Until, if not addressed by subordinate management levels, it gets to the level of the ERMO, the final stop, as at this stage it is brought to the table with the board of directors for appropriate action. The ERMO does not make the decisions, but offers risk information on the options available to be taken (this includes advice).

Risk reporting is integrated into periodic reporting, but may be reported at anytime due to an exception—an emergence of risk that must be managed quickly either due to its severity or time dependence.

This reaffirms that de-risking the enterprise not only helps mitigate risk but also aids taking opportunities as a measure of mitigating the risks of losing value-creating investments, thus saving cost and creating value.

Integration of Enterprise Risk Management into Business Processes

The role of technology in ERM cannot be overemphasized as information technology and business are becoming inextricably interwoven.⁴⁶ "Technology is going to integrate/embed risk management to monitor, measure and react to risk across the organization, its processes, relationships and industry."⁴⁷

^{46.} Bill Gates cited in Gilbert, 2007.

^{47.} Forrester research cited in Gilbert, 2007.

Lexicon (2008) reports that IT helps organizations:

- Identify the risks and opportunities for improvement
- Achieve transparency
- Streamline business processes
- Become more agile and more productive
- Make people accountable
- Make the right information available to the right people at the right time, with the right level of detail
- Consolidate data from separate sources and transform it into useful information

Technology plays a relevant part in aiding the information flow in an organization, especially as regards information relating to enterprise risk management. The selection of technology to support an organization's ERM is a function of:

- The approach toward ERM and how sophisticated it is
- The types of events relating to the entity
- Information technology architecture
- How centralized the supporting technology is

The Cases of Infosys and Rolls-Royce

The table below shows the key used in the rest of this paper for the purpose of risk assessment.

TABLE 1

Level	Colour Description	Likelihood of Occurrence	Descriptor	Relative Impact
1		Very low	Rare	Insignificant
2		Low	Unlikely	Minor
3		Moderate	Possible	Moderate
4		High	Likely	Major
5		Very high	Almost certain	Catastrophic

Qualitative Risk Assessment Legend

Company Profiles

The observation and analysis of real cases illustrates most of what has been discussed up to this point. The secondary cases are obtained from the data collected by Vedpuriswar⁴⁸ and are reused with a different objective defined, thus a unique analysis. The assumption readers should have in mind as they follow is that all necessary data have been collected at the ERM office, and therefore, at this point, data is being analyzed and lessons noted for future events.

^{48.} Vedpuriswar, 2006.

Enterprise Risk Management at Infosys (Case 1)

The risk management framework used by Infosys was comprehensive and integrated; an integral part of it was its prudential norms, which were aimed at limiting exposures. Its timely availability of information was assured by the use of formal reporting and control mechanisms.

Profile

Infosys is an Indian company well known for its transparency, its high standards of corporate governance and its innovations in financial reporting. It was one of the most admired and also one of the fastest growing companies in India in 2002. Its sales rose from less than rupees 15 Crores (150 million INR equivalent to approximately 2,161,502 GBP [2.2 million GBP]) to rupees 2,600 Crores (26 billion INR equivalent to approximately 374,660,284 GBP [374.7 million GBP]). Note: for simplicity and linearity of comparison, both sales figures have been converted to British pounds using the exchange rate of March 2002 (the month used corresponds to the last month of the Indian fiscal year), 69.3692 INR to 1 GBP, which represents the 21-day average. Therefore, the amounts may not represent accurate information but do illustrate the magnitude and the range.

Infosys had a turnover that represented a 72 percent per annum growth rate during the 1999-2002 period despite the technology slowdown, and profits at 81 percent. De-risking is an area where Infosys is considered a trendsetter. Infosys' comprehensive and integrated risk management framework enabled the company to react effectively to changes in the business environment, by facilitating the generation of a predictable and sustainable revenue stream.

Components of the ERM Program at Infosys

Internal Environment

- Management philosophy
 - De-risking was one of the four pillars the Infosys business model rested on (the others were predictability, sustainability and profitability).
 - Management believed de-risking enabled the firm to react effectively to changes in the business environment. They also believed de-risking facilitated the generation for a predictable and sustainable revenue stream for the company.
 - They used a comprehensive and integrated risk management framework.
 The management believed risk management was implemented for reducing uncertainty in delivering high-quality software solutions to clients within budgeted time and cost.

- Risk appetite
 - Judging from the management's philosophy, it is clear they had, in qualitative measures, a low appetite for risk as they carefully de-risked all their functions and activities.
- Oversight by the board of directors
 - The board of directors was responsible for monitoring risk level throughout the organization.
- Integrity and ethical values
 - Prudential norms aimed at limiting exposures and were an integral part of the comprehensive and integrated framework.
- Assignment of responsibility and authority
 - The board of directors was responsible for monitoring risk levels. The management council ensured implementation of mitigation measures.
 - The audit committee provided feedback on the overall direction of the risk management policies.
 - The compliance officer reported to the board of directors from time to time. These mechanisms (formal reporting and control mechanisms) were designed in such a way that risks at the transactional level were identified and steps were taken toward mitigation in a decentralized fashion.
- Organizational structure
 - They used formal reporting and control mechanisms to ensure timely information availability.

Note: The objectives have been set and likely events that may come up in the course of achieving these objectives identified. The assessment is shown below. A summary sheet of the risk categorization and description, objectives, assessment and response, monitoring and control as well as benefits at Infosys can be found in Appendix A.

Risk Assessed

			Risk Assessment		
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс	Likelihood	Impact	
	a	Service concentration			
	b	e-business	Moderate	Moderate	
Concentration	с	Client concentration	High	Major	
risks	d	Geographical concentration	Moderate	Major	
	e	Vertical domain concentration	High	Major	
	f	Platform concentration	Very high	Major	
Local and	g	Contractual liabilities	Moderate	Major	
Legal and statutory risks	h	Statutory compliance	Moderate	Major	
statutory fisks	i	Intellectual property	High	Moderate	
Human resources	j	Manpower development	High	Moderate	
risk	k	Knowledge sharing	High	Moderate	
	1	Project	Very low	Major	
	m	Process	Very low	Major	
	n	Disaster	Very low	Major	
	0	Information system	Very low	Major	
	р	Service	Very low	Major	
	q	Communication	Very low	Major	
	r	Technology	Very low	Major	
Operational risks	s	Category 1: Desktop environment (PCs and associated software)	Very low	Major	
	t	Category 2: Proprietary system	Very low	Major	
	u	Category 3: Tools for software development	Very low	Major	
	v	Internal control	Very low	Major	
Financial risks	W	Foreign currency rate	Very low	Insignificant	
Financial fisks	X	Liquidity			
	у	Leverage	Very low	insignificant	

TABLE 2Assessed Risk at Infosys

Control Activities

In such cases where the control activities are not stated, the control activities may either be the same as the response action or are integrated into regular business activities.

Information and Communication

The effectiveness of their communication and the flow of information are evaluated from the "Assignment of responsibility and authority" part of the section titled Internal Environment.

Monitoring

Apart from the regular or periodic reviews, other monitoring activities specific to the particular topic or risk in question may be stated. Where none is given in the analysis table, or where it is not explicitly stated that there is none, it is taken that the regular ongoing monitoring is in place.

Roles and Responsibilities

See the "Assignment of responsibility" part of the section titled Internal Environment.

Summary

The company manages an array of risks with a mixture of its own management and standard risk management techniques. A risk culture is evident in its management philosophy. With a low risk appetite, Infosys carefully selected its businesses to achieve its strategic goals.

Enterprise Risk Management at Rolls-Royce (Case 2)

The board established a structured approach to risk management and the board's risk committee had accountability for the risk management system employed, as well as reporting the key risks and the associated mitigation actions.

Profile

The company is involved in four major sectors of industry: aero civil—48 percent, defense—24 percent, marine—17 percent and energy—11 percent. It is a leading supplier of marine propulsion equipment and has a growing presence in the energy sector (usage of gas fuel to generate electricity).

History

The company, incorporated in 1906 by Henry Royce and Charles Rolls, built a wide range of engines for aircrafts ranging from jets to very large airliners. In 1914, it moved into the defense industry, which brought about the design and manufacture of its first aero-engine, "The Eagle." The next two decades saw Rolls-Royce promoting the gas turbine engine for civil and military aviation industries. It became one of the two major players in the U.K. aero engine industry in the 1960s, along with Bristol Siddeley. It strengthened its global presence by entering a joint venture agreement with BMW in 1990. In 1999, it acquired a series of companies including U.S.'s Cooper Energy Services, Vickers Plc and National Automotive Corp in California.

Financial Facts

The turnover from the various sectors of investment of Rolls-Royce in the years relevant to the case is shown in the table below.

	Turnover in 2002	Turnover in 2001
Sector	(£M)	(£M)
Civil Aerospace	2,739	3,443
Defense	1,376	1,400
Marine	984	827
Energy	639	608
Financial Services	50	50
Total:	5,788	6,328

TABLE 3Rolls-Royce Financials

Source: 2002 annual report.

Components of the ERM Program at Rolls-Royce

Internal Environment

- Management philosophy
 - Rolls-Royce management was heavily focused on safety and compliance.
 They proposed all projects must attach a risk register that analyzes the key risks and their potential consequences. The risk registers are a review of business performance.
 - They established a structured approach to risk management, where risks were formally identified and recorded in a corporate register, which was viewed and updated on a regular basis, and mitigation plans were proposed for all significant risks. External assessment showed that the risk management process at Rolls-Royce more satisfied the Turnbull compliance requirements. (The Turnbull report was published by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales on the implementation of the international control requirements of the combined code of corporate governance.)
- Risk appetite
 - Judging again from the management philosophy and style, it is clear that they had, in more or less a qualitative measure, a medium appetite for risk as they were not in the habit of avoiding or accepting the total responsibility for very high risks (such as new product development in

the aerospace industry) but rather shared such very high risks among risk relationship partners.

- Oversight by board of directors
 - The director of operational risks oversaw all risks. The board of directors regularly reviewed the group's exposure.

Note: The objectives have been set and likely events that may come up in the course of achieving these objectives identified. The assessment is shown below. A summary sheet of the risk categorization and description, objectives, assessment and response, monitoring and control as well as benefits at Rolls-Royce can be found in Appendix B.

Risk Assessed

				Risk Assessment	
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс		Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact
Product development risks	a	Research and development		Moderate	Major
Marketing risks	b	New engine sales		High	Major
	С	Engine parts sales			
	d	Foreign exchange	Translational risk	Very high	Insignificant
	е		Transaction risk	Very high	Major
Financial risks	f	Interest rate risk	Fixed rate bond		
	g		Floating rate debt		
	h	Commodity risk		High	
Credit risk	i	Sales finance		Moderate	Moderate

TABLE 4Assessed Risk at Rolls-Royce

Control Activities

In such cases where the control activities are not stated, the control activities may either be the same as the response action or had been integrated into regular business activities.

Information and Communication

The effectiveness of their communication and the flow of information are evaluated from the "Assignment of responsibility and authority" part of the section titled Internal Environment.

Monitoring

Apart from the regular or periodic reviews, other monitoring activities that may be specific to the particular topic or risk in question may be stated. Where none is given in the analysis table, or not explicitly stated that there is none, it is taken that the regular ongoing monitoring is in place.

Roles and Responsibilities

See the "Assignment of responsibility" part of the section titled Internal Environment.

Summary

The company manages an array of risks with a mixture of its own management style and standard risk management techniques. A risk culture is evident in its management philosophy. With Rolls-Royce's medium risk appetite, Rolls-Royce continues to strive in a volatile business environment.

Benchmarking the Enterprise Risk Management Program (Infosys and Rolls-Royce)

The Infosys and Rolls-Royce ERM programs reviewed above can be benchmarked with the simple but effective COSO ERM – Integrated criteria, which is:

- 1. All eight components of ERM must be present and functioning effectively.
- 2. A risk management culture must be evident.

Assessed components are scored as pass, poor or N/A, where N/A may either mean not available or not observed in this case. The benchmark scores are shown in the table below.

TABLE !	5
---------	---

Benchmarking

Co	omponents of ERM	Infosys	Rolls-Royce
	Management philosophy	Pass	Pass
	Risk appetite	Pass	Pass
	Board oversight	Pass	Pass
Internal	Integrity and ethical values	Pass	N/A
environment	Competence of people	N/A	Pass
	Structure	Pass	Pass
	Assignment of authority	Pass	Pass
	Development and training	N/A	Pass
Objective setting		Pass	Pass
Event identification		Pass	Pass
Risk assessment		Pass	Pass
Risk response		Pass	Pass
Control activities		Pass	Pass
Information and communication		Pass	Pass
Monitoring		Pass	Pass

Cross-Comparison of the ERM Programs

The following tables illustrate the prioritization of the risks faced by both organizations respectively, computed from the likelihood and impact assessment of the risks. Although this is a simple view of the process, it gives the big picture.

Risk				
ID	Торіс	Likelihood	Impact	Weighted
a	Service concentration			
b	e-business	3	3	9
с	Client concentration	4	4	16
d	Geographical concentration	3	4	12
e	Vertical domain concentration	4	4	16
f	Platform concentration	5	4	20
g	Contractual liabilities	3	4	12
h	Statutory compliance	3	4	12
i	Intellectual property	4	3	12
j	Manpower development	4	3	12
k	Knowledge sharing	4	3	0
1	Project	1	4	4
m	Process	1	4	4
n	Disaster	1	4	4
0	Information system	1	4	4
р	Service	1	4	4
q	Communication	1	4	4
r	Technology	1	4	4
S	Category 1: Desktop environment (PCs and associated software)	1	4	4
t	Category 2: Proprietary system	1	4	4
u	Category 3: Tools for software development	1	4	4
v	Internal control			0
W	Foreign currency rate	1	1	1
Х	Liquidity			0
У	Leverage	1	1	1

TABLE 6Prioritized Risks at Infosys

TABLE 7				
Prioritized Risk at Rolls-Royce				

Risk				
ID	Topic	Likelihood	Impact	Weighted
a	Research and development	3	4	12
b	New engine sales	4	4	16
с	Engine parts sales	4	4	16
d	Foreign exchange: Translational	5	1	5
	risk			
e	Foreign exchange: Transaction	5	4	20
	risk			
f	Interest rate risk: Fixed rate			0
	bond			
g	Interest rate risk: Floating rate	4		0
	bond			
h	Commodity risk	3	3	9
i	Credit risk: sales finance	3	3	9

Simple calculation of the risk priorities following the scientific definition of risk: Risk priority number/index/weighted value=(likelihood of occurrence)x(severity of impact):

1-8 = Low priority (light green)
9-15 = Medium priority (yellow)
16-25 = High priority (red)

The logic behind the weighting system used is based on the following:

- The priority is set as low where either the likelihood of occurrence or the impact is below moderate (3) or is inversely related as one counter balances the other hypothetically.
- The priority is set as medium where both likelihood of occurrence and impact are above rare (2) but are both not major (4) as one counterbalances the other hypothetically and fall between low and high.
- The priority is high where both the likelihood of occurrence and the impact is above or equal to major (4).

Comparisons of Case ERM programs

Cross-Comparison of ERM Programs (Risk Response)

According to the norms, without taking any other factors into consideration, the following inherent risk should be dealt with as shown in the figures below by the corresponding response strategies. But as we see, this was not the case. Why is this so?

Figure 4 Risk Response











Heat map of analysis according to Response option taken



Note the risk ID in both cases: for the foreign currency rate (transaction), e for Rolls-Royce is of high priority and w for Infosys is of very low priority. The question is why.

It is observed that the three highest risks to Rolls-Royce, according to the prioritization exercise shown above, were foreign exchange transaction risk, new engines sales and engine parts sales. The one with the most priority was foreign exchange transaction risk.

We can understand this is because, having made sales in the aerospace industry where it takes a long time to create new engines, losses would drive them out of business eventually, despite their effective and efficient operations. The sales of engines were critical to business since the foreign exchange transaction risks and engine parts sales risks were dependent on it. Any one of these three interdependent risks could drive the organization out of business, thus, with their ERM program they were able to identify this and tackle all three at once. The relations in all three corresponding objectives pointed at the organizations' revenue, thus, their benefits are observed to be related in that respect.

In the case of Infosys, its three highest risks were platform concentration, vertical domain concentration and client concentration. The highest priority was given to platform concentration.

The platform concentration risk at Infosys was at the center of its business and therefore relates to many other risks faced by the firm. Due to the sensitive nature of this risk, which could trigger the likelihood of other risks, Infosys decided to accept the risk and simply move with the trend. This observed response reduced its liquidity risk because the firm needed liquidity to make rapid changes in response to the market so as to avoid the impact of the platform concentration risk.

The platform concentration risk is closely related to the other two highest priority risks because impact of the platform concentration risk may lead to the loss of clients. The loss of a client has a considerable impact on the firm due to the fact that the majority of its income came from large clients, thus, its client concentration risk. Its vertical domain concentration risk was linked to client concentration risk since it planned to focus its marketing on chosen domains that were not doing so well to improve revenues from such domains. The source of revenue is from clients, thus losing a major client meant a huge drop in revenue from that domain.



Figure 7 The Linkage

The proposed explanation is given below:

Risk ID = e = w (foreign currency exchange rate)

The risk identified as ID: w for the case of Infosys:

- Has a low likelihood of occurrence
- Has an insignificant impact
- Is accepted as Infosys relies on a natural offset
- Should be accepted according to the severity vs. probability map

The risk identified as ID: e for the case of Rolls-Royce:

- Has a very major likelihood of occurrence
- Has a major impact
- Is mitigated with financial instruments
- Should be avoided according to the severity vs. probability map

The questions to be answered are:

- Why is the risk perceived differently?
- Why is the impact of the risk different?
- Why is the response different?
- Why is the proposed response different from the actual?
- How are all these linked together?

Deduced answers:

Why is the risk perceived differently?

This is due to the following factors:

- a. Internal environment of the firm. This brings into consideration the management philosophy, risk appetite, objectives and business operations. It was earlier observed that even though they both had a management philosophy, the risk appetite and their objectives, which exposed them to this risk, differ, as do their business operations. The latter means a different market environment, which implies different influential forces.
- b. External environment of the firm. This relates to the potential economic, social, technological (and so on) factors, as well as competitors that influence the firm's decisions. In the case of Infosys, a large portion of its expenses is in Indian rupees and a depreciation of this currency is favorable to its bottom line. For Rolls-Royce, a substantial amount of its income 38 percent of the U.K. turnover was in U.S. dollars and, therefore, the company tried to minimize the impact.

Why is the impact of the risk also different?

This is due to the firm's exposure, which simply means the more vulnerable you are, the more the damage. It is also due to its effect on core objective functions that create value for the business.

In the case of Infosys, even though 87.7 percent of its revenue was dollar-denominated in the fiscal year 2002, its surplus funds was maintained in foreign currency deposits and all dollar expenses were paid in dollars, and though its operating profits were subject to fluctuations dues to its large proportion of expenses in rupees, its natural forex hedge took care of that.

In the case of Rolls-Royce, it was exposed to a number of foreign currencies, the most significant being the U.S. dollar, followed by the Euro. It therefore is exposed to various currency fluctuations that have an impact on its income.

Why is the response to the risk in the cases different?

The response to risks is dependent on its relevance or impact on the core or valuecreating functions/objectives and the business's long-term goals. These goals are unique to each organization.

Why is the proposed strategy different from the actual strategy taken?

This is because the organization also takes into consideration factors other than the immediate impact, the probability of occurrence, and the internal and external environments. It also considers the long-term standing of the organization, as well as opportunities that may arise. Taking the proposed strategy may be safe now but may not be favorable to the firm's increased exposure or long-term goals. Remember, every decision introduces its own risk.

How are these linked together?

- Risk culture (the drive to act on risk)
- Risk intelligence/information (information used to inform decision-makers)
- Risk management (the practice)

Both organizations have a risk culture, a way of managing risk with an integrated approach. Both organizations have made informed decisions with risk intelligence and other relevant information. Both organizations practiced risk management.

With the above-stated factors influencing the risk response decision, ERM accounts for:

- Risk information (in completeness, not fragments)
- Risk culture and appetite (consciousness of the risk limits)
- Risk management (a holistic way of managing risk)

And contributes to:

- The development of objectives by de-risking them
- Business operations through the co-ordination of internal and risks control
- Management expertise by risks management training

It is therefore clearly seen how ERM is integrated into the fabric of the organization. The relationship between the benefits and objectives is shown below. The residual risk being the risk left after the risk response is implemented to mitigate the inherent risk initially observed.

By reducing the risk inherent to such a level (the residual risk) that the associated event is less likely to occur or the severity of the impact is acceptable with minimal loss, ERM processes give the assurance of the achievement of the expected outcomes of the objectives.
Figure 8 The Link Between Objectives and Strategic Implications



The risk response is influence by several factors:

- Risk information
- Management subjective judgment
- Risk appetite
- Business operations and objectives
- Risk culture in the organization

Therefore, such a decision is based on:

- Environment scan including specific market information
- The objective
- The risk appetite
- Organizational culture, including risk culture
- Updated risk information
- Management expertise

Specialist Perspective on the Value of ERM Best Practices

Enterprise risk management has not changed, per se, but the focus is no longer on the quality of implementation. More and more firms adopt the practice due to the need to achieve GRC requirements, to improve their credit ratings (with the advantage of getting capital at a lower cost) and to satisfactorily increase stakeholders' confidence.

ERM plays a key role in the financial markets in the wake of the global financial crisis. Such an approach promises to systematically facilitate decision-making in business as they contemplate all types of risks, aggregate risks and analyze the proper timing to use financial instruments such as hedging. Information technology enables companies to embrace ERM as a basic tool.

Information and communications technology plays a critical role in enabling the flow of information in a firm, which is a reflection of the entity's approach to ERM, its sophistication, the entity's overall IT architecture and the types of risks facing the entity (as the use of specific technologies would not only help mitigate some risk but would also be accompanied with its own risk).

The use of statistical models has proven inconsistent and unbelievable in dealing with causes and effects. Structural models, however, have taken the task swiftly to a successful completion due to an explicit cause-and-effect relationship.

By viewing risks from an organizationwide perspective, the ERM framework's continuous monitoring, identifying and assessing new risks as well as older changing risks, take care of the problem of emerging risk in a proactive way.

In today's business environment, investors have not only become vested in corporate governance but have also taken a drive for risk assessment, which is the current trend. Credit rating agencies have included risk management in their assessments.

An effective and efficient ERM practice must have all eight components of ERM functioning effectively as well as, very importantly, build an effective risk culture, all being effected organizationwide. The main characteristic of ERM—a holistic view of risk, however, must be present.

It is concluded, from the observation of the ERM programs at Infosys and Rolls-Royce, both companies have well-defined enterprise risk management programs that have worked for them.

These programs when compared revealed that the essence of ERM practiced were the same (working toward the achievement of organizational objectives by a de-risking approach)

but the "strategic" implications varied according to their objectives and applied strategies (response options).

The response options (strategies) are influenced by business operations, the risk appetite of the organization, subjective judgments of management (which is a function of management competence, as seen in the case of the director of operational risk at Rolls-Royce who has commercial and manufacturing experience coupled with financial skills) and other relevant information. The relevant information includes insights into the business environment (both internal and external) that reveal threats and opportunities toward the achievement of business goals, the probabilities and impacts of these threats, and their prioritization.

The prioritization process is influenced by the risk appetite, management philosophy, the core business areas and how they hope to achieve their objectives. This was observed in the case-comparisons of Infosys and Rolls-Royce, which both had a risk culture.

In a practical sense, the strategic implications may either be positive or negative. And therefore, the "promises" of ERM are the positive implications of ERM, and can be achieved if and only if implemented effectively and efficiently.

How ERM is linked to the strategic implications, as explained, is through the response to events that may pose as risks or opportunities. The risk response is what makes the difference, and how ERM does this is by assessing events, carrying out all other functions listed above and providing management with the appropriate information (including, and especially, the risk response options), without which management may carry out their official duties ignorant of the risks involved.

The implementation of ERM is neither an end on its own nor is it a one-off process, but a continuous improvement process of identifying and assessing known and emerging risks; adapting to change (such as the introduction of new policies and regulations); formulating and modifying risk response strategies, including operational and risks reporting; controlling and monitoring that runs from the board, through the managers to the bottom of the staff chain; and defining a risk culture.

The practice of enterprise risk management instigates a holistic or integrated risk management culture within an organization, which is characterized by a general oneness and cooperation, culture of managing risks, clear assignments, authority, responsibility and accountability as was observed in the cases of Infosys and Rolls-Royce.

But again, it cannot be stereotyped that all companies practicing ERM are successful at it. Some, as it's observed, have been noted to need guidance in their implementation process while others have failed at it and tend to go against it seeking alternative means. And there are the struggling few who are trying to master the art and science of this new discipline. In a final remark, I would like to look at the saying "He who fails to plan, plans to fail." This saying has been a motivating factor for many, and tends to point toward the strategic management of business. In the light of ERM, however, I would say, "He who fails to plan with the complete awareness of the likelihood and impacts of possible events, plans to fail in the eventuality of the occurrence of the unanticipated."

Recommendations

To Organizations

- Organizations planning to implement ERM should pay great attention to cultivate a risk culture that supports their objectives.
- Such organizations while considering the cost of implementing ERM should factor in the cost of acquiring an ERM expert for specialist support, guidance and training.
- The use of policies and regulations in describing management's position and seminars, on-the-job training, coaching, mentoring and workshops to develop and train staff adopting ERM should be complemented with more social meetings, opinion-sharing exercises (as a way to gain staff commitment by involvement) and the introduction of a risk-reward system to encourage staff.
- To introduce the risk-reward system, ERM processes should be fully integrated into daily activities and qualifiable measures for the management of risks should also be integrated within performance measurements. Although it may not be as easy as it sounds, this is a simple way to achieve "leanness" (thus, saving cost) and measure the quality of achievement of objectives.

For the ERM Discipline

- As there is no one-size-fits-all process for ERM, yet frameworks are becoming more universal to varying sectors and industries requiring more skilled interpretation, it is suggested that these frameworks are built with a bulk of model samples from all areas where they are applicable. This gives the team implementing the framework a rough idea of a best practice for such a framework and it can be effectively understood beforehand, to save time and cost due to delays and failures, and properly utilized. This would help organizations understand and adopt the ERM process early enough rather wait till they are in trouble, as is the case today.
- A cost-effective and less complex framework should be created for Small and Medium size Enterprise taking into consideration the scope, cost and simplicity of their operations (since they are the lifeline of any nation). And such information within the SME, specific to sectors, industries and including the relationship to their size and capabilities should be included.

Bibliography

- Aon Corp. 2009. "Global Risk Management Survey Results '09." http://img.en25.com/Web/AON/GlobalRiskManagementSurvey2009.pdf.
- Barton, Thomas L., William G. Shenkir, and Paul L. Walker. 2002. Making Enterprise Risk Management Pay Off: How Leading Companies Implement Risk Management. New Jersey: Financial Times/Prentice Hall PTR.
- BBC News. 2009a. "Final Woolworths Stores Shut Down." Jan. 6. http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/business/7811187.stm.
- ------. 2009b. "Honda's Four-month Break to Begin." Jan. 30. http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/business/7859785.stm.
- Busman, E. R., and Zuiden, P. V. (1998). The challenge ahead: Adopting an enterprise wide approach to risk. Risk Management, 45(1), 14-17.
- Casualty Actuarial Society. 2003. "Overview of Enterprise Risk Management." May. Enterprise Risk Management Committee. www.casact.org/research/erm/overview.pdf.
- Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). 2004. "Enterprise Risk Management — Integration Framework." Application Technique.
- Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). 2004. "Enterprise Risk Management — Integration Framework." Executive summary.
- Dittmar, L. n.d. "What are the Primary Challenges and Trends in Governance, Risk and Compliance?" Mash Compliance Television video. http://compliance.mashnetworks.com/player.aspx?channelGUID=74fe7a5d-7fce-427e-863d-c0b597c427fb&clipGUID=5615f32e-e0fc-4cd6-9edc-f259766a6abd
- Gilbert, Jill Barson. 2007. "Enterprise Risk Management: The New Imperative." Lexicon Systems Inc. white paper. (November). http://www.lexicon-systems.com/pubs/whitepapers/EnterpriseRiskManagementNewImperative.pdf.
- Jablonowski, M. 2009. "The Bigger Picture: Recognizing Risk Management's Social Responsibility." BNET.com: CBS Business network. (September). http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_qa5332/is_7_56/ai_n35637633/?tag=content;col1.
- Kucuk Yilmaz, Ayse. 2008. The Best Enterprise Risk Management Practice For Airline and Airport Business. Saarbrucken: VDM Verlag Dr. Muller Aktiengesellschaft & Co.
- Miccolis, Jerry. 2003. "Implementing Enterprise Risk Management: Getting the Fundamentals Right." IMRI.com. (June). http://www.irmi.com/expert/articles/2003/miccolis06.aspx.
- Miccolis, Jerry, and Samir Shah. 2001. "Modeling the Reality of Risk: The Cornerstone of Enterprise Risk Management." IMRI.com. (July). http://www.irmi.com/expert/articles/2001/miccolis07.aspx.

- Monahan, G. 2008. Enterprise Risk Management: A Methodology for Achieving Strategic Objectives. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Risk and Insurance Management Society Inc. 2006. "RIMS Risk Maturity Model (RMM) for Enterprise Risk Management 2006." http://www.RIMS.org/ERM.
- Shortreed, John, John Hicks, and Lorraine Craig. 2003. "Basic Framework for Risk Management: Final Report." Network for Environmental Risk Assessment and Management. (March 28). http://www.irr-neram.ca/pdf_files/basicFrameworkMar2003.pdf.
- "BT Sky News. 2009. Cuts 15,000 Jobs After £1.3bn Loss." May 14. http://news.sky.com/skynews/Home/Business/BT-Announces-More-Than-15000-Job-Cuts-In-Global-Services-Division-And-Agency-Staff/Article/200905215281399?videoSourceID=d4d7bee91612f110VgnVCM1000005d 04170aRCRD&lpos=Business_Article_Inline_Player_List_0&lid=ARTICLE_15281399 _BT_Announces_More_Than_15%2C000_Job_Cuts_In_Global_Services_Division_An d_Agency_Staff.
- Society of Actuaries. 2005. "Enterprise Risk Management Specialty Guide." http://www.riskisopportunity.net/docs/2005-erm-guide.pdf.
 - ------. 2008. "Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Fact Sheet." http://www.soa.org/files/pdf/news-erm-fact-sheet.pdf.
- Vedpuriswar, A. V. 2006. Enterprise Risk Management: Industry Experience. Hyderabad: The ICFAI University Press.
- * The author, a professional management consultant of choice, has worked in several roles in various industries in both technical, supervisory, and leadership and management capacities, and successfully carried out an MBA-level empirical research study on ERM supervised at Coventry University, United Kingdom. Contact: eze.dafikpaku@meccp.co.uk.

Appendix A Analysis of the ERM Process at Infosys

TABLE 8

Summary of ERM Process at Infosys

		Event Identifi	cation		Risk A	nalysis	D				
		Inherent F	Risks		Risk As	sessment	KI	sk Response			
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Risk Control	Monitoring	Benefits
	a	Service concentration	Loss due to imbalance between too many services and customer demands	To meet customers demand						In place	
	b	e-business	Loss due to competitors	To expand its reach	Moderate	Moderate	А	Did nothing		In place	
	с	Client concentration	Loss due to loss or failure to pay up credits of large clients	To strike a balance	High	Major	D	General policy to limit business from any one client to 10% of total revenues	Same as action	In place	Cost savings Increase market share
Concentration risks	d	Geographical concentration	Loss due to sudden changes to the environmental factors where its business are concentrated	To exploit opportunities	Moderate	Major	А	Exploited business opportunities in new regions	Did not impose any rigid limit	In place	Improve balance of clients
	e	Vertical domain concentration	Loss due to cyclical industry	To focus on core expertise	High	Major	D	Focused marketing efforts in chosen domains		Close monitoring	 Increase revenue Increase portfolio Create a revenue stability
	f	Platform concentration	Loss due to obsolescence in technology	To stay up to date with the technologi- cal trends	Very high	Major	А	Market dynamics determine choice of		In place	Meet current demands efficiently

		Event Identifi	cation		Risk A	nalysis	D:	L D			
		Inherent l	Risks		Risk As	sessment	Kis	sk Response			
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Risk Control	Monitoring	Benefits
								technology			
	g	Contractual liabilities	Loss due to breach of contracts	To ensure legal security of investments of resources	Moderate	Major	D	Restricted its liabilities under the contract Covered risk involved to the extent possible Took sufficient insurance cover abroad to cover possible liabili- ties arising out of non-perfor- mance of con- tracts Avoided open- ended contracts	Review and documentation processes for contracts	Management reviewed on a continuous basis and took corrective actions	Reduce litigation incidents Reduce cost of litigation
Legal and statutory risks	h	Statutory compliance	Loss due to violation of laws	To adhere to laws and regulations	Moderate	Major	в	Infosys took appropriate business decision after ascertaining from the compliance officer Legal compliance issues were considered while assessing all new business	Strengthened its legal team Placed appropriate policies for ensuring compliance	Compliance office monitors legal compliance issues Also reported deviations, if any Business leads gave compliance certificates to the board	Avoidance of business interruption Avoidance of the cost violation
	i	Intellectual property	Loss due as the cost of reputation damage	To protect Infosys rights and reputation	High	Moderate	D	Actively pursued trademark infringement suits	Put in place measures aimed at protecting its trade name and trademark		 Dissuade others from such acts Protect image

		Event Identifi	cation		Risk A	nalysis	Di	h Deen en ee			
		Inherent I	Risks			sessment	KIS	sk Response			
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Risk Control	Monitoring	Benefits
Human resources risk	J	Manpower development	Loss due to attrition	To maintain its key resource (people)	High	Moderate	D	Created a favorable work environment that encourage innovation and rewarded merit Developed a strong reputation for attracting engineers from India's most famous campuses	Enlisted the services of a senior faculty at Stanford University with extensive experience in global consulting Invest in a leadership and management training institute	360 degree reviews were used to measure performance	Save cost of manpower development
	k	Knowledge sharing	Loss of experience	To develop the firm and individuals (employees)	High	Moderate	D	Put in place a system to document and disseminate experimental knowledge, an intranet based user-friendly application known as K shop Incentives schemes were also put in place to encourage a knowledge sharing culture (also a control)		In place	Build staff competencies for their jobs

		Event Identif			Risk A	nalysis	Di	sk Response			
		Inherent	Risks			sessment	KI	or response			
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Risk Control	Monitoring	Benefits
	1	Project	Loss due to inability to deliver the deliverables	To deliver high quality software solutions to client within budgeted cost and time.	Very low	Major	D	Used Software Engineering Institutes' Capability Maturity Model (SEI-CMM) to ensure risks were identified and mitigated A database of such information was maintained to focus attention on key improvement areas	Had guidelines for project leaders on how risks could be identified and mitigated		Increase its client base Increase the client satisfaction with products and increase its demand Create client confidence in doing business with Infosys Protection of propriety property Create a high reputation of
Operational risks	m	Process	Loss due to quality of products/services due to processes					Concentrated on enhancing the process quality of other enterprise processes and aligning it with organizational objectives, using models such as Six Sigma and Malcolm Balridge			service and technology
	n	Disaster	Loss due to disaster occurrence					Reviewed and modified its disaster recovery plan after the tragic events of Sept. 11, 2001			

		Event Identifi	cation		Risk A	nalysis	Di	ala Deen en ee			
		Inherent	Risks		Risk Ass	sessment	KIS	sk Response			
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Risk Control	Monitoring	Benefits
								Physical security in campus buildings strengthened			
	ο	Information system	Loss due to data theft					Firewalls were put in place in all external con- nections Mobile users could connect to Infosys network using secure connection only after the authentication credentials had been validated		Security of information system was reviewed regularly	
	р	Service	Loss due to service disruption or data loss					Backups taken daily and stored in secure locations Replication of any project from backup Move project from one campus to another, since they were similar in technological infrastructure			
	q	Communi- cation	Loss due disruption in information flow					Built redundancy into its data communication			Periodic reviews done to ensure that all these

		Event Identifi	cation		Risk A	nalysis	Di	le Doorson as			
		Inherent I	Risks			sessment	KIS	k Response			
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Risk Control	Monitoring	Benefits
								links Development centers were connected using multiple links Links provided by multiple service providers			arrangements meet the organizations' requirements
	r	Technology	Loss due to obsolescence of technology					Developed its technology strategy based on cost of acquisition and retraining			Evaluation of the technological obsolescence of its infrastructure on a continuous basis
	S	Category 1: Desktop environment (PCs and associated software)	Loss due to large volumes and high retaining cost					Used mature technology, not loading edge Used standardized interface software to minimize retaining cost Disposed with warranty expiry			Improve productivity and give competitive
	t	Category 2: Proprietary system						Purpose decisions were client-oriented Standardized its network components based on a few suppliers			advantage

	_	Event Identifi				nalysis	Die	sk Response			
		Inherent I	Risks			sessment	KIS	or response	ļ		
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Risk Control	Monitoring	Benefits
	u	Category 3: Tools for software development		To be on par with or better than its competitors anywhere in the world				Used leading- edge technology to improve productivity Advised clients on emerging products Continuously invested in these technologies Reserved initiatives were undertaken to review and adopt the technologies for internal use			
	v	Internal control						Well defined roles and responsibilities for people at various levels. A robust internal information system Used operations planning model to forecast personnel requirements based on business projections Personnel requirement were	Frequent internal audits Any unbudgeted expense had to be approved by CEO Any policy change had to be approved by committee headed by the CEO, after a five-year profitability impact assessment Senior management submitted periodic reports		Ensure appropriate information flow

		Event Identifi		-		nalysis	Pi	sk Response			
		Inherent 1	Risks			sessment		or response			
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Risk Control	Monitoring	Benefits
								incorporated in annual budgets	on their activities and achievements for review by the CEO Effective budgetary control on all expenses was used to ensure that actual spending was in line with the budget		
Financial Risks	w	Foreign currency rate	Loss to currency exchange rate fluctuation	To gain income	Very low	Insignificant	A, D	All other expenses were met out with dollar- dominated accounts Part of the surplus funds was maintained in foreign currency deposits Did not take active trading positions in foreign currency markets and only hedged its receivables			Maintained its operating profits
	X	Liquidity	Loss due to debt interest/penalties and lateness to respond to	To maintain high liquidity to respond				Tried to settle payables well within stipulated time	Infosys' policy was to have liquid assets at 15% of the		Prevent the firm from going solvent

		Event Identifi	cation		Risk A	nalysis	Di	sk Response			
		Inherent 1	Risks		Risk As	sessment	KI2	sk kesponse			
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Risk Control	Monitoring	Benefits
			industry changes, as well as cost associated with liquidity	quickly in the fast changing software industry				frames Earn a minimum of two times the cost of capital as return on average capital employed and a minimum of thrice the cost of capital as return on average invested capital (CofC for 2002 was 17.17%)	revenue and 40% of the total assets		Opportunity to move with the trend
	у	Leverage		To reduce extra cost to the minimum	Very low	Insignificant	D	Used debt financing only for short-term funding requirements and only when the need arose			Being debt-free implies no extra cost on due interest

Appendix B Analysis of the ERM Process at Rolls-Royce

TABLE 9

Summary of ERM at Rolls-Royce

		Event Identificatio	n	1	Risk Aı	nalysis	Di	h Deen en ee			
		Inherent Risks			Risk Ass	essment	KI	sk Response	Risk		
Risk Category	Risk ID	Торіс	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Control	Monitoring	Benefits
Product development risks	a	Research and development	Loss of huge capital to negative market response to new product	To respond to future challenge	Moderate	Major	С	Developed risk and revenue sharing partnerships	Each partner invests in its own research in the range of areas		Reduced potential loss Focused each partner on the link between investment and profit Brought suppliers together to meet the overall objective Allowed suppliers more freedom as opposed to the constraints of a contractual arrangement Stopped misuse of power Pooled best management practices Encouraged the integration of culture and skills Engaged partners in achieving strategic goals

	-		lentificatio	n		Risk Aı	nalysis					
		Inhe	rent Risks			Risk Ass	essment	Ris	sk Response	Risk		
Risk Category	Risk ID	То	pic	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Control	Monitoring	Benefits
Marketing risks	b	New engin		Loss of market share to competitors	To convert occasional customers to regular customers	High	Major	D	Focused on activities and services that built good relationships			Increased its customer base (more revenue)
	с	Engine pa	rts sales	competitors	and advocates				with customers			
	d		Transla- tional risk	Loss due to changes in exchange rate	Long-term investment in overseas subsidiary companies	Very high	Insignifica nt	А	Did <u>not</u> hedge			Cost saving due to avoidance of unnecessary activity
	e	Foreign ex- change	Trans- action risk	Loss due to business transaction in foreign currency	To generate income	Very high	Major	D	Used a variety of financial instruments. Forward cover of up to eight years Wrote currency options			Increase income from foreign transactions
Financial risks	f	Interest rate risk	Fixed rate bond	Loss due to change in	To provide fund			D	Used a combination of interest rate swaps and caps			Reduce loss Stabilize interest payments Predictability
		Tate Hisk	Floating rate debt	interest rate	Tuna							which aids management decisions
	g	Commodi	ty risk	Loss due to fluctuations in the price of jet fuel	To minimize the impact price of jet fuel	High		D	Was hedged using commodity swaps up to four years			

	1		dentificatio		1	Risk A	nalysis	D.				
		Inh	erent Risks			Risk Ass	essment	Kis	sk Response	Risk		
Risk Category	Risk ID	Т	opic	Risk Description	Objective	Likelihood of Occurrence	Relative Impact	Option	Action	Control	Monitoring	Benefits
								D	Minimized the level of exposure through the use of third- party non-recourse debt	Used a sophisticate d risk-price model to assess risk and exposure	Board regularly reviews sales finance- related exposures	Increase revenue by reducing losing Do business with creditworthy customers
	h	Credit risk	Sales finance	Loss due to defaulting customers	To retain and attract customers	Moderate	Moderate	D	Reduced the level of exposure through the transfer, sale or re-insurance of risks	Cost associated with providing financing support in any decision to		
	i							С	The proportionate flow down of risk and exposure to relevant risk and revenue sharing partner	secure new business		