

The Role of Behavioral Economics and Behavioral Decision Making in Americans' Retirement Savings Decisions

Melissa A. Z. Knoll
U. S. Social Security Administration, Office of Retirement Policy¹

Copyright 2011 by the Society of Actuaries.

All rights reserved by the Society of Actuaries. Permission is granted to make brief excerpts for a published review. Permission is also granted to make limited numbers of copies of items in this monograph for personal, internal, classroom or other instructional use, on condition that the foregoing copyright notice is used so as to give reasonable notice of the Society's copyright. This consent for free limited copying without prior consent of the Society does not extend to making copies for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for inclusion in new collective works or for resale.

¹ The research and opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect those of the Social Security Administration.

Abstract

Traditional economic theory posits that people make decisions by maximizing a utility function in which all of the relevant constraints and preferences are included and weighed appropriately (Simon, 1959).² *Behavioral* economists and decision-making researchers, however, are interested in how people make decisions in the face of incomplete information, limited cognitive resources, and the decision biases to which individuals often fall prey (e.g., Thaler, 1990; 1999; Tversky & Kahneman, 1974). Empirical findings in the areas of judgment and decision making (JDM) and behavioral economics demonstrate departures from the notion of man as economically rational, illustrating instead that people often act in ways that are economically suboptimal. In the following literature review, I outline findings from JDM and behavioral-economics literatures that focus on elements of the retirement savings decision.

² Traditional economic theory assumes that individuals have full information and are able to process this information, that individuals are rational decision makers, and that individuals' preferences are well-defined and constant over time (e.g., Becker, 1962; Thaler, 1990). These assumptions have been questioned by behavioral economists and decision-making researchers.