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Active participation in the Society of Actuaries is an important aspect of membership. However, any Society activity that arguably could be perceived as a restraint of trade exposes the SOA and its members to antitrust risk. Accordingly, meeting participants should refrain from any discussion which may provide the basis for an inference that they agreed to take any action relating to prices, services, production, allocation of markets or any other matter having a market effect. These discussions should be avoided both at official SOA meetings and informal gatherings and activities. In addition, meeting participants should be sensitive to other matters that may raise particular antitrust concern: membership restrictions, codes of ethics or other forms of self-regulation, product standardization or certification. The following are guidelines that should be followed at all SOA meetings, informal gatherings and activities:

- **DON’T** discuss your own, your firm’s, or others’ prices or fees for service, or anything that might affect prices or fees, such as costs, discounts, terms of sale, or profit margins.

- **DON’T** stay at a meeting where any such price talk occurs.

- **DON’T** make public announcements or statements about your own or your firm’s prices or fees, or those of competitors, at any SOA meeting or activity.

- **DON’T** talk about what other entities or their members or employees plan to do in particular geographic or product markets or with particular customers.

- **DON’T** speak or act on behalf of the SOA or any of its committees unless specifically authorized to do so.

- **DO** alert SOA staff or legal counsel about any concerns regarding proposed statements to be made by the association on behalf of a committee or section.

- **DO** consult with your own legal counsel or the SOA before raising any matter or making any statement that you think may involve competitively sensitive information.

- **DO** be alert to improper activities, and don’t participate if you think something is improper.

If you have specific questions, seek guidance from your own legal counsel or from the SOA’s Executive Director or legal counsel.
Presentation Disclaimer

Presentations are intended for educational purposes only and do not replace independent professional judgment. Statements of fact and opinions expressed are those of the participants individually and, unless expressly stated to the contrary, are not the opinion or position of the Society of Actuaries, its cosponsors or its committees. The Society of Actuaries does not endorse or approve, and assumes no responsibility for, the content, accuracy or completeness of the information presented. Attendees should note that the sessions are audio-recorded and may be published in various media, including print, audio and video formats without further notice.
PBA Projections Practice Note Overview

Ben Slutsker, FSA, MAAA
PBA Projections

Principle-Based Approach (PBA) Projections refers to projecting future principle-based reserves and/or capital at future valuation dates.

This is distinct from point-in-time valuation, which refers to calculating principle-based reserves and/or capital at the current valuation date. Principle-based projections, in contrast, forecasts this calculation at future periods.
Academy PBA Projections Practice Note

- Joint effort from Academy PBR Governance Work Group and Life Valuation and Life Product Committees
  - Released in December 2019
  - Centralized repository for PBA projection and nested modeling industry resources
  - Builds on VM-20 practice note with focus on projections

- Practice note on common methods / techniques for projecting PBA reserves
  - Asset complexities: spreads, defaults, starting assets
  - Mortality grading, credibility, improvement
  - Simplifications
  - Visuals for projections and nested modeling

- Practice note scope
  - Focuses on VM-20
  - Also applicable to VM-21 and RBC C3 Phase II
PBA Projections Practice Note Contents

- **Overview**
  - Visual and Definitions
  - Overview Q&A

- **High-Level Subject Areas**
  - General PBA Projections
  - Analysis and Reporting
  - Governance and Validation
  - Pricing
  - Model Efficiency and Reserve Estimation

- **Specific Topics**
  - Mortality
  - Policyholder Behavior and Non-Guaranteed Elements
  - Reinsurance
  - Economic Scenario Generation
  - Assets
Beginnings of PBA Projections Practice Note

- As companies implemented VM-20 point-in-time reserves, there appeared to still be significant ambiguity around projections

- Various industry articles/presentations on certain aspects of PBA projections, but no single centralized repository

- Therefore, the Academy decided to develop a practice note that could be a go-to reference for such questions

- Serves as a complement to the Academy VM-20 Practice Note, which is a great resource for understanding VM-20 requirements and point-in-time valuations
Nested Modeling Framework
How Do Actuaries Use Nested Models?

Valuation models can be nested within more general projection models (pricing, ALM, etc.) to forecast PBR and other calculations that require their own separate cashflow projections.

Examples of general earnings projection models (outer loops):
- Planning/Forecasting
- Product Pricing
- ALM/Risk Management
- Inforce Management

Examples of projected items that require their own separate cash flow models (inner loops):
- VM-20 reserves (separate inner loops for DR and SR)
- VM-21 reserves
- GAAP FIA reserves (VED and Host)
- Economic reserves/capital
## Types of Nested Modeling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nested Modeling Type</th>
<th>Outer Loop / Inner Loop</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deterministic on Deterministic</td>
<td>Deterministic Outer Loop, Deterministic Inner Loop</td>
<td>Term VM-20 deterministic reserves (inner loop) under pricing best estimate scenario (outer loop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stochastic on Deterministic</td>
<td>Deterministic Outer Loop, Stochastic Inner Loop</td>
<td>ULSG VM-20 stochastic reserves (inner loop) under pricing best estimate scenario (outer loop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deterministic on Stochastic</td>
<td>Stochastic Outer Loop, Deterministic Inner Loop</td>
<td>VUL VM-20 deterministic reserves (inner loop) under risk-based pricing stochastic scenarios (outer loop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stochastic on Stochastic</td>
<td>Stochastic Outer Loop, Stochastic Inner Loop</td>
<td>ULSG VM-20 stochastic reserves (inner loop) under risk-based pricing stochastic scenarios (outer loop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stochastic-on-Stochastic-on-Stochastic</td>
<td>Stochastic Outer Loop, Stochastic Inner Loop, Stochastic calculations within the inner loop</td>
<td>IUL VM-20 stochastic reserves (inner loop) under risk-based pricing stochastic scenarios (outer loop), with hedge value projections (inner inner loop)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Types of Nested Modeling

Visual example of nested modeling at a single node

Legend:
- Valuation Node
- Outer Loop Cashflow Projection
- Inner Loop Cashflow Projection

*For visualization purposes only three stochastic outer loop scenarios are shown
Future of Nested Modeling

- VM-20 business will emerge as a larger portion of companies’ inforce life insurance blocks over time
- Enhanced vendor solutions and computing technology
- Future regulatory initiatives, such as possible fixed annuity PBR and C3 Phase II frameworks
Specific topics

- Mortality
- Assets
PBA Mortality Projections

Kevin Piotrowski, FSA, MAAA
The views expressed by the presenter are not necessarily those of Ernst & Young LLP (EY US).

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.
NPR mortality

- Projection of future mortality improvement in the form of a new CSO table

Guidance Note: The Valuation Manual can be updated by the NAIC to define a new valuation table. Because of the various implications to systems, form filings and related issues (such as product tax issues), lead time is needed to implement new requirements without market disruption. It is recommended that this transition be for a period of about 4.5 years—that is, that the table be adopted by July 1 of a given year, that it be permitted to be used starting Jan. 1 of the second following calendar year, that it be optional until Jan. 1 of the fifth following calendar year, and thereafter mandatory. It is further intended that the adoption of such tables would apply to all business issued since the adoption of this Valuation Manual. The details of how to implement any unlocking of mortality tables will need to be addressed in the future.

- Anticipated experience vs. CSO table
Modeling mortality for the DR/SR

1) Nested modeling

2) Distinct model runs

3) ‘One vector’ approach

4) All inner-loop
Mortality improvement

- Industry tables
  - Mortality improvement shall not be incorporated beyond the valuation date. However, historical mortality improvement from the date of the industry basic table (e.g., Jan. 1, 2008, for the 2008 VBT and July 1, 2015, for the 2015 VBT) to the valuation date may be incorporated using the improvement factors for the applicable industry basic table as determined by the SOA and published on the SOA website, [https://www.soa.org/research/topics/indiv-val-exp-study-list/](https://www.soa.org/research/topics/indiv-val-exp-study-list/) (Mortality Improvement Rates for AG-38 for Year-End YYY).

- Company specific tables

- Beyond the valuation date
Mortality Improvement example

Mortality Assumption Update
Impact on Inner and Outer Loop Assumptions

- 2015 VBT
- BE Mortality (pre-update)
- BE Mortality (post-update)
- DR Mortality (pre-update)
- DR Mortality (post-update)

The inner loop (DR) mortality is similar to the outer loop (BE) mortality in early durations, but grades into industry experience in later durations. As a result, the impact of the assumption update is reflected fully in the DR mortality assumption in early durations, but the impact of the assumption update eventually wears off. In later durations, where the DR mortality assumption is fully based on industry experience, the assumption update has no impact.

BE Mortality = 85% RR 2015 VBT
DR Mortality = BE Mortality + Margin, with Grading

The impact of the mortality assumption update is reflected in the projected outer loop mortality.
Credibility/Sufficiency data period

- Improvements to mortality ‘parameters’ with each year of new data
  - Credibility
  - Sufficient data period

- Projections inside vs. outside the system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projection Year</th>
<th>Credibility</th>
<th>&quot;D&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Aggregation

- New business
- Accelerated underwriting and/or simplified issue
Putting it all together

- Mortality is both complex, and the most influential assumption on the liabilities

- The rigor used to determine the assumption should be driven by its purpose – complexity is not always better
PBA Asset Projections and case study

Dylan Strother, FSA, MAAA
Asset related nested modeling topics

There are additional challenges and complexities for asset modeling when performing a nested projection of reserves

- Economic scenarios
- Existing assets
- Reinvestments
- Other considerations
Economic scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historical rates used to calculate mean reversion parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Outer-loop scenario for a key maturity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Inner-loop valuation scenarios as projection start date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Inner-loop scenarios at future valuation nodes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key inputs for generating interest rates for inner loop economic scenarios are mean reversion parameter and a starting yield curve.
Existing assets

Challenges

- Starting assets
- Externally projected existing asset cash flows
- Asset collar requirement
- Negative assets
Reinvestment

- Prescribed spreads and default factors
- Reinvestment strategy and guardrail requirements
- Disinvestment
Other considerations

- Hedging
- Common simplifications
- Model efficiency / run time reduction
Asset PBR projection key takeaways

1. Changes to the asset modeling approach may be required to support projections of reserves

2. Simplifications are common, driven by runtime concerns and model system limitations

3. Asset projections and overall PBR projections should be fit for purpose
Analysis performed in support of the industry field test required projecting PBR reserves using a representative PBR model.
Analysis tools that perform high level sensibility checks can be useful for confirming modeled results at future valuation points at a glance.
Projecting PBR case study takeaways

- Organization is key to obtaining accurate results
- Visualization and analytics can be useful to understand and confirm the integrity of model results at a high level
- The best way to gain intuition is performing analysis
Conclusion

- Projections are only as good as the models - and more complicated is not necessarily better

- Assumptions/models should be set/calibrated for a specific purpose

- Model output should be structured to give flexibility for ad-hoc analysis
Questions
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