General Commentary on the Exam:
Many candidates struggled with higher level Analysis and Knowledge Utilization cognitive questions. Weaker candidates often used an approach that could be described as “list as many facts or as much information as possible.” In many cases the information had little relevance. In general, they provided a “list” of information from the syllabus material or from general knowledge without connecting the information. They struggled to apply the information in the context of the question and/or failed to recognize which items from this “list” were applicable in the context of the question. A list of statements, whether factually correct or not, is not a substitute for analysis, evaluation, application, and utilization nor does a list demonstrate critical thinking.

Stronger candidates selected information pertinent to the context of the question and connected the information to formulate and support positions. Stronger candidates were able to demonstrate a deeper understanding of the syllabus material by exploring relationships, providing cause and effects, assessing risk factors and impacts, linking factors and decisions to strategy, etc.

Several questions sequentially asked candidates to consider a question for companies in general, for an industry, for one of the case study companies (e.g., in parts (a), (b), and (c)). Weaker candidates tended to answer all parts at a general level often relying on general knowledge and resorting to lists without providing connections. Stronger candidates were able to differentiate between general situations and context specific situations. Stronger candidates were able to cite and link specific items from the case study.
1. **Learning Objectives:**

4. The candidate will understand organizational behavioral concepts and apply strategic management frameworks to corporate financial and ERM business problems.

5. The candidate will understand the decision making process and the lessons learned from the risk taking activities and experiences of other organizations. In particular, the candidate will be able to apply the learning objectives of all the prior sections of the syllabus to the risk management principles embodied within the case studies explored in this section.

**Learning Outcomes:**

(4c) Use organizational behavior and strategic management concepts to evaluate and recommend corporate financial and ERM decisions.

(5a) Critique financial models, assumptions and decisions including the impact of behavioral finance concepts.

(5c) Assess the risk of the status quo alongside any other risky and or risk management decision.

**Sources:**


SDM-121-13: British Petroleum (PLC) and John Browne: A Culture of Risk Beyond Petroleum

Nudge: Improving Decisions about Health, Wealth and Happiness, Thaler, Richard and Sunstein, Cass, Chapter 1

**Commentary on Question:**

This question tests the candidates’ ability to apply their understanding for availability bias to case studies and a described scenario. Most candidates did not do well in part (b). They failed to identify the cause of the bias, which is the recent successful acquisition experience. Some demonstrated the understanding of the bias, however failed to link it to the situation.

**Solution:**

(a) Describe availability bias.
1. Continued

Commentary on Question:
Almost all candidates put down something relevant but most of the answers did not fully describe the bias. For example an answer of “choose the most recent experience” may be a result of availability bias but it does not describe what the bias is. Candidates are expected to describe how “easily recalled sample” affect the “assessment of likelihood”.

People exhibit availability bias when they:
- Assess the likelihood of risks based on how easily examples come to mind
- Become frightened and concerned if they can easily think of relevant examples

(b) Explain how Ping An’s management team exhibits availability bias in its acquisition decision.

Commentary on Question:
Candidates are expected to relate the bias to Ping An’s oversea expansion decision. Some candidates failed to recognize how “successful experience” affected the executive team’s ability to assess the acquisition. Most of the candidates talked about “only use the available information”. Some referred to more generic reasons such as past growth or profit, which only plays a secondary role here.

Ping An’s competitors as well as other Chinese financial institutions made successful international expansions during 2006 and 2007 by acquiring stakes in foreign companies. Ping An’s decision to invest in Fortis may be influenced by these recent successful examples. Fortis, at that time, seemed to be a good investment target, since it has been growing and fit the profile for previous successful deals.

(c) Compare what happened to BP in the article, “British Petroleum (PLC) and John Browne: A Culture of Risk Beyond Petroleum,” to what is happening at the bank.

Commentary on Question:
Candidates did relatively better in this part; most of them mentioned either or both of the communication and culture of risk.

At the middle management level, there is an ingrained culture of being comfortable at the bank, which contradicts senior management’s strategic vision; similarly, there is an ingrained culture of risk at BP’s refinery, where process safety is largely ignored. Both, at the business operation levels, have a culture that clashes with management’s view.
1. Continued

This culture clash demonstrates another similarity of the two, which is miscommunication or disconnection between the top, who set up the strategic objective and the working class, who is mandated to carry out the plan. Without appropriate sync-in, strategic plans may not be executed smoothly.
2. Learning Objectives:
4. The candidate will understand organizational behavioral concepts and apply strategic management frameworks to corporate financial and ERM business problems.

Learning Outcomes:
(4a) Apply organization behavior concepts.
- Describe the communication process and explain the strategic importance of communication to organizations.
- Describe the fundamentals of decision making and explain decision-making styles and influences.
- Describe common types and causes of organizational conflict and apply the elements of a basic negotiation process.
- Assess how the behavior of individuals and groups in organizations drives organizational decisions and performance.

Sources: Organizational Behavior: A Strategic Approach Chapter 10

Commentary on Question:
This question tests the candidates’ understanding of two decision making styles and their ability to apply it to the given situation. Candidates’ performance is on par on this question. Different points were picked up by different candidates, but not a lot of candidates were able to cover a wide range of points.

Solution:
(a) Compare and contrast the sensing style and intuition style of gathering and evaluating information.

Commentary on Question:
Most of the candidates were able to make one or two comparisons. Candidates are expected to compare the definition (e.g. concrete information vs. abstract examples) as well as the characteristics (e.g. long vs. short time to make the decision). The candidates who were able to make thorough comparisons performed above average.

Sensing - A decision style focused on gathering concrete information directly through the senses, with an emphasis on practical and realistic ideas. Sensing style is concerned with developing factual database, checking facts, following rules and logic and/or making decisions based on experience.

Intuition - A decision style focused on developing abstractions and figurative examples for use in decision making, with an emphasis on imagination and possibilities. Intuition style dislikes detail and the time required to interpret it. This style is often used when creative or innovative solution has to be built.
2. Continued

(b) Evaluate whether the sensing style or intuition style is more appropriate for CEO Johnson to use. Justify your answer.

Commentary on Question:
Candidates did not do well on this question. There is no right or wrong answer here – the choice is not clear cut and there are pro’s and con’s for each. Therefore justification is the important factor to distinguish different levels of understanding. As long as the choice was justified by valid fact, candidates performed well. The discussion on what Johnson should do (i.e., what action and why) present little merit because the question was not asking for a recommendation.

The intuition style is more appropriate for Johnson to use. Below is a list of justifications:

- The 24-hour time frame is limited. It is difficult to use sensing style to gather data on tire production and make conclusions. The quick turnaround time would suggest using the intuition style.
- There are no precedents at BJT. BJT has a history of high level of quality control. There is little experience provided for sensing style decision making.
- It is hard to gather data to analyze potential reputation risk. Other companies may have faced similar situations; however, differences may be difficult to ascertain and to interpret. There are many possibilities. Reaction and potential impact is also hard to predict. Only intuition style would work well in this overly complex problem.
- This is simply a situation where the CEO may have to find a creative solution to create a win-win for BJT and the public.
3. **Learning Objectives:**

3. The candidate will understand best practices for ERM processes and Capital Management and their use in setting a risk-return strategy.

**Learning Outcomes:**

(3a) Explain ERM principles and frameworks.

- Describe the best practices and frameworks for ERM processes under various industry forums and regulatory guidelines and standards.
- Explain the principles driving the direction of new regulation and industry standards in risk governance.
- Describe the components of a risk appetite statement. Design and develop a risk appetite statement and risk return strategy.

**Sources:**

- *Corporate Value of Enterprise Risk Management* Chapter 6
- Risk Appetite Statements What's on your Menu
- Risk Appetite for Variable Annuities

**Commentary on Question:**

*Overall, candidates did not adequately provide an explanation or support for the given topic/situation, nor did they adequately recommend changes to RPPC’s Risk Appetite Statement that would better fit Darwin.*

**Solution:**

(a)

(i) Explain why defining Risk Appetite is more than simply a risk quantification step in an ERM process.

(ii) List three potential benefits that life insurance companies could derive from implementing a Risk Appetite framework with regard to Variable Annuity products.

**Commentary on Question:**

*Cognitive level: Retrieval*

(i) *Candidates were asked to explain the reason that Risk Appetite was important, not list/describe risk quantification steps in an ERM process. But many candidates just listed risk quantification steps.*

(ii) *Many candidates listed general potential benefits applicable to any life and annuity product, not specific benefits to variable annuity products. Additional elaboration was needed.*
3. Continued

(i) Risk appetite is an expression of judgment by management (or more specifically by the ERM committee) as to the level of enterprise risk exposure, at the maximum limit, with which the key stakeholders are comfortable. This is an exercise that requires thoughtful discussion, debate, and ultimately, a consensus opinion among the members of the ERM committee.

(ii) The following examples are illustrative but not exhaustive. Credit was given to answers with coherent explanations.

A risk appetite framework requires senior management and the Board of Directors to set risk tolerances around VA products and evaluate whether the risk appetite is in line with VA in-force and VA currently being issued.

VA business can be a material driver of overall company earnings. A risk appetite framework can help to reduce earnings surprises through the recognition of the risk exposure embedded in VA and provide a more reliable understanding of potential issues before they arise.

A risk appetite that explicitly links remedial risk management actions to risk tolerances will enable a company to quantify the impact of prospective risk management actions.

A risk appetite framework will help VA writers assess the evolution of VA risks since the framework should involve assessing VA exposures and risk mitigation strategies prospectively against risk tolerances.

A risk appetite framework will assist VA writers to shift risk management strategies as specific variables change.

A risk appetite creates transparency in how risks and exposures are managed by linking VA performance across a variety of metrics against risk tolerances.

(b) State which of the above risk exposures would be most impacted by the following proposed Key Risk Indicators. Justify your response.

(i) Significant Increase in Sales
(ii) Stock Market Crash
(iii) Significant Errors Found in an Administration System
3. Continued

Commentary on Question:
Cognitive level: Knowledge utilization

Some candidates specified the risk exposures but lacked adequate and relevant support for them. Credit was given to any of the three exposures in (ii) and (iii), provided adequate and relevant support was given.

(i)  
I. Capital – a 15 percent loss of statutory equity in one year
Reason: An increase in sales can cause a drain on resources due to increased underwriting and other initial expenses due to issuance of new policies. Additional sales may also incentivize a further expansion of distribution channels, employing additional capital. Increased sales increases risk exposures due to guarantees and a subsequent stock market crash.

(ii)  
II. Earnings – Negative GAAP earnings
Reason: Darwin was slow at responding to spread compression. A stock market crash will increase the value of guarantees impacting current income and reduce future fee income. A stock market crash may also be indicative of a condensing economy, which could increase lapses. Since liquidity is a problem for Darwin, the assets sold in response to lapses could result in realized losses.

Capital – Defaults on assets and depressed asset values

Ratings – If rating agencies are concerned with Darwin’s concentration risks, a stock market crash may initiate the process of downgrading. During crisis periods downgrades may increase throughout the industry.

(iii)  
I. Capital – a 15 percent loss of statutory equity in one year
Reason: The discovery of these errors could result in mistakes in the valuation of reserves, thus impacting the balance sheet. Errors could impact the payment of claims and/or other benefits. Depending on the nature of the error, Darwin could also face legal proceedings, including class action litigations, which could result in substantial judgments. These judgments can include lump sum payments, as well as increasing future benefits. Resources would be needed to redress the problems.

Earnings – Depending of the magnitude of the administrative errors and the directional impact on reserves, significant GAAP earning losses may occur.

Ratings – There is a chance of rating downgrades if the credibility of the company is significantly impacted. What else is wrong?
3. **Continued**

(c) Recommend three changes to RPPC’s Risk Appetite Statement to make it more appropriate for Darwin. Justify your recommendations.

**Commentary on Question:**  
*Cognitive level: Knowledge utilization*

*Many candidates performed poorly. To receive credit, the response should be specific to Darwin and RPPC. The following are examples, not exhaustive lists. Other relevant points with coherent explanation were accepted.*

Review the risk metrics given, and include those that are more relevant to Darwin’s risk exposure. Also include the pain points for each metric, the current likelihood of each point, and the risk tolerance likelihood limits for each pain point. Doing so illustrates a qualified amount of risk Darwin is willing to take for each risk exposure. Furthermore, including these items will “help to ensure that a suitably holistic management approach can be taken in implementing the risk appetite.” Include the three elements from Darwin’s current risk appetite.

The risk appetite definition and the risk limits should be derived by conducting a risk appetite consensus meeting within Darwin, which had the following positive effects.

- Helps to facilitate discussion by reviewing enterprise risk exposures, individual risk scenario exposures, and mitigation options.
- Different members of the ERM committee will bring different perspectives to the discussion.
- Arriving at a consensus regarding the definition of risk appetite

Holding a risk appetite consensus meeting also lines up with Darwin’s ERM Committee Charter by 1) aligning risk preferences, appetite, and tolerances with strategy and 2) monitoring risk exposure and ensuring risks are measured and well-managed.

Add a statement highlighting the linkage between an organization managing risk and achieving its strategic goals.

- E.g. – “The risk strategy and risk appetite are formed based on our strategic plans in order to align risk, capital, and performance targets.”
- Identify core risks – economic conditions, maintenance of distribution systems, liquidity risks because of the high-value products
- Identify non-core risks and/or collateral risks – data processing and systems risks, changes in tax law, litigation/law enforcement - as the result of operating an insurance company
3. Continued

The risk appetite statement should set out applicable approval protocols for the statement’s limits and other requirements, be subject to explicit change management controls, and include minimum requirements for review/refresh frequency. This should be done to ensure that the appetite statement functions within an overall control environment commensurate with its importance as a foundational risk management tool. In addition, it will enhance (and should also be a part of) Darwin’s ERM Committee Charter by further demonstrating the importance and Darwin’s continued support of the appetite statement and its awareness of risk.

It would be appropriate to add qualitative criteria emphasizing Darwin’s dedication to the distribution channels and policyholders because these two core competencies have fueled recent growth (distribution relationships and product/service development). For instance, the appetite statement can state that relationships with the products cannot fall below an elevated level by providing minimum amounts of contact, support, marketing materials, infrastructure, etc. Similar comments can be made with regard to policyholder contact and service.
4. **Learning Objectives:**

5. The candidate will understand the decision making process and the lessons learned from the risk taking activities and experiences of other organizations. In particular, the candidate will be able to apply the learning objectives of all the prior sections of the syllabus to the risk management principles embodied within the case studies explored in this section.

**Learning Outcomes:**

(5a) Critique financial models, assumptions and decisions including the impact of behavioral finance concepts.

(5b) Evaluate the robustness and flexibility of the risk management framework and recommend approaches for continual improvement in the framework and processes.

**Sources:**

SDM-118-13: The Six Mistakes Executives Make in Risk Management

Nudge: Improving Decisions about Health, Wealth and Happiness, Thaler, Richard and Sunstein, Cass, Chapter 1

Corporate Value of Enterprise Risk Management: Chapter 8: Risk Governance

RPCC Risk Framework

**Commentary on Question:**

This question tests the candidates’ ability to recall the six mistakes executives make in risk management in part (a) and tests their understanding of why these mistakes are commonly made in part (b). Part (c) tests the candidate’s knowledge of Enterprise Risk Management practices by assessing the effectiveness of RPCC Dynasty’s risk management framework.

Parts (a) and (b) were generally answered well by candidates. Generally answers to part (c) were lacking in the amount of detail required to receive full points.

**Solution:**

(a) Identify the six mistakes executives often make in risk management

1. We think we can manage risk by predicting extreme events
2. We are convinced that studying the past will help us manage the risk
3. We don’t listen to advice about what we shouldn't do
4. We assume that risk can be measured by standard deviation
5. We don't appreciate that what's mathematically equivalent isn't psychologically so
4. Continued

6. We are taught that efficiency and maximizing shareholder value don't tolerate redundancy

(b) Characterize each of the mistakes from part (a) as one of the following behavioral biases and blunders. Justify your answer

- Anchoring
- Framing
- Optimism and Overconfidence
- Representativeness
- Gains and losses
- Availability
- Status Quo Bias

1. We think we can manage risk by predicting extreme events
   - Optimism and overconfidence - we believe that events with low probabilities will never happen and we can handle it when it happens

2. We are convinced that studying the past will help us manage the risk
   - Anchoring - use what happened in the past as an anchor to adjust in the direction we think it is appropriate

3. We don’t listen to advice about what we shouldn't do
   - Gains and losses - humans are loss adverse, thus gaining a dollar makes us feel better than not losing a dollar

4. We assume that risk can be measured by standard deviation
   - Representativeness - believe standard deviation covers all risk

5. We don't appreciate that what's mathematically equivalent isn't psychologically so
   - Framing - one fact can be framed differently and thus people perceive it differently

6. We are taught that efficiency and maximizing shareholder value don't tolerate redundancy
   - Optimism and Overconfidence - in corporations, redundancy means inefficiency, and most executives don't realize optimization makes companies vulnerable to changes in environment.

(c) Assess how RPCC Dynasty’s risk management framework promotes the coordination between business management and risk management as part of a value-based ERM program.

Set up (Role of Champion): the role of champion is critical to ensuring that there is buy-in to the process among both business managers and risk officers
4. Continued

Value-based ERM vs. traditional ERM approach - risk management embedded in daily business activities and planning process and considered a profit generating activity (vision statement)

Risk Identification - RPCC risk review and approval (Product value-based ERM approval process PVEAP).
- The burden on the business units for risk analytics and data is limited, there is evidence of engagement with appropriate expert risk officers (EROs) and finding consensus is promoted.

Risk Quantification - RPCC risk monitoring in particular stress testing, KRI and incentive compensation in accordance with risk appetite.
- There is a baseline company value (base business plan) which is then used to do "what if" analysis. These stress scenarios are identified by experts from each line of business. Scenario development and their results engage appropriate business leaders (SMEs).

Risk Decision Making - RPCC risk culture and risk principles that ensure alignment with risk appetite in all decision making.
- There is enterprise wide engagement, awareness of all material risks and clear communication of risk appetite

Risk Messaging - RPCC vision statement - includes "strengths and value drivers" and "path to differentiation". The key is communicating these both internally and externally to ensure everyone understands the value of risk management.
5. **Learning Objectives:**
4. The candidate will understand organizational behavior concepts and apply strategic management frameworks to corporate financial and ERM business problems.

5. The candidate will understand the decision making process and the lessons learned from the risk taking activities and experiences of other organizations. In particular, the candidate will be able to apply the learning objectives of all the prior sections of the syllabus to the risk management principles embodied within the case studies explored in this section.

**Learning Outcomes:**

(4b) Evaluate and apply the concepts of strategic management, recognizing the factors that affect the development and implementation of strategies.
- Demonstrate the importance of analyzing the firm’s external environment and the internal organization.
- Define types of business-level strategies and recommend an appropriate business-level strategy for a given situation.
- Explain the impact of competitive dynamics on strategic management.

(5a) Critique financial models, assumptions and decisions including the impact of behavior finance concepts.

**Sources:**
Strategic Management: Competitiveness and Globalization, Concepts - Chapter 3
Strategic Management: Competitiveness and Globalization, Concepts - Chapter 4
Nudge: Improving Decisions about Health, Wealth and Happiness - Chapter 5

**Commentary on Question:**
Overall, this question tests candidates’ understanding of different business level strategies; the ability to perform value chain analysis on a Case Study industry’s primary and support activities and analyze if the activities are linked with the strategy; identify and apply choice architecture techniques to a Case Study situation. Candidates who did not do well tended to have difficulty recalling the five business-level strategies and applying them correctly in the various parts of the question. Most candidates demonstrated a good understanding of value chain analysis.

**Solution:**
(a)

(i) List the five business-level strategies.

(ii) Describe the relationship between customer value and target market for any three of the business level strategies in part (i).
5. Continued

Commentary on Question:
Candidates were expected to recall the five business level strategies and the relationship of customer value to target market for any three of the strategies. A number of candidates failed to describe the relationship to target markets in (ii) and did not receive credit. Full credit in (ii) was also given if the candidate correctly drew figure 4.2 from Chapter 4 of Strategic Management.

(i) 1. Cost leadership strategy
2. Differentiation strategy
3. Focused cost leadership strategy
4. Focused differentiation strategy
5. Integrated cost leadership/differentiation strategy

(ii) 1. Cost leadership strategy: Basis of customer value: lowest cost, Target market: broad market
2. Differentiation strategy: Basis of customer value: distinctiveness, Target market: broad market
3. Focused cost leadership strategy: Basis of customer value: lowest cost, Target market: narrow market segment(s)
4. Focused differentiation strategy: Basis of customer value: distinctiveness, Target market: narrow market segment(s)
5. Integrated cost leadership/differentiation strategy: Basis of customer value: combined low cost/distinctiveness value creation approach as the foundation, Target market: larger than a narrow market segment but not as comprehensive as a broad customer group

(b) Identify one activity that Blue Jay Air performs for each of the eight categories of the value chain.

Commentary on Question:
Candidates were expected to provide an example from Blue Jay Air for each of the eight categories of the value chain. A number of candidates gave examples for just a limited number of the categories or did not correctly match their example to the correct value chain category. There were alternate examples not listed below that earned full credit.

1. Supply-Chain Management
   Order or refurbish some large planes with updated features to take delivery over the next few years

2. Operations
   Booking system enhancement (enhance internet booking capability, introducing different mobile phone apps, more added features)
5. Continued

3. Distribution
   Expansion to international operations, negotiating with several international
   airport authorities

4. Marketing & Sales
   Loyalty program to encourage frequent business travelers

5. Follow-up Service
   Referral program will be offered to its business clients

6. Finance
   Established a risk management committee headed by a well-known risk
   manager

7. Human Resource
   Employee and labor relationship management

8. Management Information Systems
   Booking system enhancement (enhance internet booking capability,
   introducing different mobile phone apps, more added features)

(c)

(i) Identify which one of the five business-level strategies from part (a)(i)
    Blue Jay Air is pursuing. Justify your answer.

(ii) Evaluate whether the value chain activities identified in part (b) support
     Blue Jay Air’s strategy.

Commentary on Question:
Candidates were expected to correctly identify the business-level strategy that
Blue Jay Air is currently pursuing. Partial credit was earned for other responses
if justification was given. In part (ii), there were alternate examples from (b) not
listed below that were acceptable, as well.

(i) As the new strategy vision is to become the most customer-oriented airline
    company in the world, providing best services to the marketplace, Blue
    Jay Air is using a “focused differentiation strategy”.

(ii) Focused differentiation strategy
    Support:
    1. Plans to order or refurbish some large planes with updated features (in-
       flight services)
    2. Renovate business lounges
    3. Expand international operations
    4. Tougher standards for “top-scaled commercial pilot” category
    5. Booking system upgrade
    6. Direct to business relationships with its business client base
5. Continued

7. Loyalty program changes
8. Referral program changes
9. Re-branding

Doesn’t support:
1. Airline staff training programs cut due to expense control measures
2. Management information system technology expenditures are being cut
3. Charge made for all pieces of luggage checked-in
4. No upgrade being made to baggage tracking system

Blue Jay Air wishes to increase the completion rate of applications for the loyalty program. The marketing team proposes the following three changes to the current application:

1. Upon starting the application, the applicant is told that completing the application results in a $10 voucher for Frenz coffee.
2. Each question now produces a drop down menu with a list of suggested valid responses and the default choice is yes.
3. An application completion progress bar that estimates the time to completion, is visible on the top of the page, and it is updated when each question is answered.

(d) For each of the three proposed changes:

(i) Identify the choice architecture technique applied.

(ii) Evaluate the behavioral impact on the completion rate.

Commentary on Question:
Candidates were expected to know the various choice architecture techniques from Nudge and correctly apply them. Candidates that did not do well on this question typically forgot to provide answers for each of the three proposed changes.

(i) For Proposal 1, the technique applied is Incentive.
    For Proposal 2, the technique applied is Default.
    For Proposal 3, the technique applied is Feedback.
5. **Continued**

(ii) For Proposal 1, the choice architecture provides monetary reward to people and thus should increase the completion rate of the loyalty program application.

For Proposal 2, the choice architecture leverages the fact that people take the option with the least effort. Thus it will likely increase the completion rate of the loyalty program application.

For Proposal 3, the choice architecture provides feedback to the users during the process. The impact could be either positive or negative. People might be discouraged if they are aware of how much extra time they need to spend in order to complete the application.
6. **Learning Objectives:**

4. The candidate will understand organizational behavioral concepts and apply strategic management frameworks to corporate financial and ERM business problems.

**Learning Outcomes:**

(4b) Evaluate and apply the concepts of strategic management, recognizing the factors that affect the development and implementation of strategies.

- Demonstrate the importance of analyzing the firm’s external environment and the internal organization.
- Define types of business-level strategies and recommend an appropriate business-level strategy for a given situation.
- Explain the impact of competitive dynamics on strategic management.

**Sources:**

*Strategic Management: Competitiveness and Globalization, Concepts Chapter 2*

**Commentary on Question:**

*This question tests the candidates’ ability to perform a five forces of competition analysis. Candidates need to identify relevant material from the textbook and qualify it correctly. Few candidates were able to perform an analysis and correctly link pertinent information with impacts. Many candidates simply listed some (or much) information from the case study without linking them to the five forces. Some candidates discussed general knowledge on business or coffee companies unrelated to the five forces.***

**Solution:**

(a) Analyze Frenz using the five forces of competition model.

**Commentary on Question:**

*General discussion on coffee or business did not receive credit. Providing and linking 8 items/factors to the five forces achieved a full score.*

**Threat of new entrants**

Economies of Scale - Frenz has a well-established marketing function built over many years of investment that is difficult for new entrants to build/copy which reduces the threat of new entrants.

Product Differentiation - Frenz has innovative products which differentiates itself from its competitors, thus reducing the threat of new entrants.

Capital Requirements - Capital requirements to enter the coffee industry are low which increase the threat of new entrants.

Switching Costs - Frenz has an effective loyalty card program which incentivizes customers to not switch to competitors, thus reducing the threat from new entrants.

Access to Distribution Channels - Frenz has nurtured its relationship with its distributors, creating switching costs for them and reducing the threat from new entrants.
Cost Disadvantages Independent of Scale - Frenz has favorable access to its raw materials (high-end coffee beans) through its exclusive arrangement with the Vietombia government reducing the threat from new entrants

**Bargaining Power of Suppliers**
Arrangement with government - Frenz's exclusive arrangement with the Vietombia government allows it to become the supplier thus reducing the bargaining power of other suppliers

**Bargaining Power of Buyers**
Loyalty Program - Frenz's loyalty program increasing switching costs for buyers thus reducing their bargaining power

**Threat of Substitute Products**
Differentiated products - Frenz has innovative, differentiated products which reduces a substitute's attractiveness and its threat
New Products - Frenz can quickly roll out new products which reduces the threat of substitutes

**Intensity of Rivalry among Competitors**
Slow Industry Growth - The coffee industry is growing in third world countries where there is less pressure to take customers from competitors and reduces the intensity of rivalry
High Fixed Costs or High Storage Costs - Storage costs are low because coffee/tea can be kept for long periods of time which reduces the intensity of rivalry
Lack of Differentiation or Low Switching Costs - Frenz has differentiated products which reduces the intensity of rivalry

(b) Assess Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits based on the analysis in part (a). Justify your assessment.

**Commentary on Question:**
Candidates are expected to assess Frenz’s ability based on the answer provided in (a). Some candidates brought up new points in (b), as long as these points were valid they received credit. Some candidates provided discussion not relevant to (a) or general knowledge about business or coffee which did not receive credit.

Economies of Scale reduced the threat of entrants, therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.
Product Differentiation reduced the threat of entrants, therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.
6. Continued

Capital Requirements increased the threat of entrants, therefore it reduced Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.

Switching Costs reduced the threat of entrants, therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.
Access to Distribution Channels reduced the threat of entrants, therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.
Cost Disadvantages Independent of Scale reduced the threat of entrants, therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.
Arrangement with government reduced the bargaining power of the suppliers therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.
Loyalty Program reduced the bargaining power of the buyers therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.
Differentiated products reduced the threat of substitute products therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.
New Products reduced the threat of substitute products therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.
Slow Industry Growth reduced the intensity of competition therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.
High Fixed Costs or High Storage Costs reduced the intensity of competition therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.
Lack of Differentiation or Low Switching Costs reduced the intensity of competition therefore it increased Frenz’s ability to earn above-average profits.

Frenz’s has a strong ability to earn above average profits because most of the above factors are beneficial to Frenz.
7. Learning Objectives:
3. The candidate will understand best practices for ERM processes and Capital Management and their use in setting a risk-return strategy.

Learning Outcomes:
(3b) Explain ERM and capital management concepts to evaluate and recommend corporate financial and ERM decisions.
- Apply capital allocation models to a multi-line organization.
- Compare and contrast various ERM and Capital Management frameworks as to their ability to assess value and articulate the risk-return strategy of an organization.
- Evaluate the value-added for an organization by jointly evaluating risk measurement and capital allocation.
- Assess how an ERM process can improve capital efficiency and articulate the risk-return strategy.

Sources:
Economic Capital – A Case Study to Analyze Longevity Risk

Commentary on Question:
Candidates were asked to demonstrate their understanding of the impact of risks by comparing and contrasting risk factors across different businesses and evaluating the impact of these factors on reserves and capital.

Solution:
(a) List risk factors of mortality variability that could be reflected in a stochastic analysis of economic reserves and capital for longevity risk in the SPIA business.
- Volatility in future mortality improvement
- Trend risk such as a breakthrough in medical research
- Mismatch between the population used to generate the mortality table and the actual population in the annuity block

(b) List risk factors of energy production variability that could be used to estimate the economic reserves and capital for energy production in the solar energy business.

Commentary on Question:
Many candidates were able to identify risk factors affecting energy production variability which were discussed in the case study. A simple list of valid risk factors underlying solar energy variability was all that was required to receive full credit.
7. Continued

- Weather (number of cloudy/rainy days in a given period)
- Solar panel default rate
- Technological advances resulting in more efficient solar panels

(c) Draw three similarities between attributes of the longevity risk factors for the SPIA business and attributes of the solar energy business.

**Commentary on Question:**
*Many candidates were able to draw similarities between SPIA business and solar energy business. Candidates who received full credit also explained why the attributes of the risk factors were similar.*

1. Medical breakthroughs could significantly increase the expected lifespan of policyholders just as technological breakthroughs in solar panel energy production increases the amount of energy produced and lengthens the lifespan of the business.

2. Variation in solar panel default rate will have the same impact on the solar energy production as the mismatch between underlying mortality assumption and the population mortality. Both factors can be viewed as deviations from expected.

3. Volatility between actual and expected scenarios are similar between mortality and solar energy production. Volatility will cause uncertainties in future mortality longevity and solar energy production amounts.

(d) Evaluate the directional impact on two of the risk factors in each of parts (a) and (b) on the level of the economic reserves and capital. Justify your answer.

**Commentary on Question:**
*Many candidates were able to provide the correct directional impact for several risk factors and received partial credit. Those candidates that received full credit also provided an explanation of the movement.*

**SPIA**

1. Medical breakthrough – people will live longer and company will have to pay more benefits (longevity rates increase). Economic reserves and capital will increase.

2. Population mortality mismatch – the impact will depend on the direction of the mismatch. Higher than expected mortality will decrease annuity payments so economic reserves and capital will decrease. Lower than expected mortality will increase annuity payments so economic reserves and capital will increase.
7. Continued

Solar Energy

1. Technology advances – advances resulting in more efficient solar panels will result in more energy produced. Economic reserves and capital will decrease.

2. Weather – greater number of cloudy/rainy days will result in less energy produced. This will increase the chance that guarantees will be in the money and therefore economic reserves and capital will increase.