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Abstract

Since the first symposium, "Living to 100 and Beyond: Survival at Advanced Ages," held in 2002, a collaborative effort has been made to assemble an international database on longevity, gathering validated longevity records for people having reached at least their 110th birthday. More than 15 countries, including the United States of America, Canada and Japan, along with European countries, have been participating in this "supercentenarian" project. Collaboration with national statistical offices or health departments has allowed the investigators to obtain complete lists of alleged supercentenarians in most countries. Different validation processes were then undertaken by the participating teams. By March 2004, more than 500 validated records had been gathered. This paper first evaluates the quality of data according to several criteria, such as the country of residence or the validation process undertaken, and then provides an estimation of the mortality trajectory up to age 114.
Introduction

Although the Gompertz exponential model has been the prevailing method for a long time used to explain the increase in death rates in human populations, evidence indicates that the increase in the risks of death tends to decelerate at older ages, which the Gompertz model does not account for. Different patterns of mortality deceleration have been proposed, ranging from a slower increase for the oldest of the old to a rapid decrease of mortality rates above a certain age (Vaupel et al., 1998; Thatcher, 1999). However, sufficient and reliable demographic data were not available for us to be able to discriminate according to these different hypotheses. This context has motivated an international collaborative effort to gather demographic data for the oldest ages in order to create the International Database on Longevity, or "IDL" (Robine and Vaupel, 2002).

The International Database on Longevity

The aim of this database is to compile complete and validated lists of supercentenarians (defined as those 110 years old and over) as reliable data to estimate mortality trajectories. This data is provided by statisticians and demographers involved in the IDL as contributors (www.supercentenarians.org). The participating countries include 13 European countries (Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom), as well as Japan, Australia, and North America (the United States and Canada). The database currently contains validated cases from 14 countries, including 6 countries where complete (or age ascertainment bias-free) lists are available.

The term supercentenarians refers to a living or dead person who has reached, at minimum, the age of 110 years. The IDL currently focuses on age 110 and over. However, future development of the database might also include younger ages, down to 106 for women and 105 for men. This would ensure a complete matching with the Kannisto-Thatcher mortality database, which covers ages 80 to 108 (Kannisto, 1996; Thatcher et al., 1998).

Reliable estimation of mortality trajectories for such extreme ages should avoid two kinds of bias: incompleteness and errors in the reported ages. A lack of completeness may be a source of bias if identification of the cases is not age-independent. Media coverage, for example, is usually best for the oldest cases. Thus, press reports do not generally constitute an appropriate source of data for mortality trajectories estimation. The IDL should then be supplied with complete lists as much as possible, where "complete" means that all supercentenarians have been reported and identified in a given country and for a given time period. Incompleteness may

---

1 Already available for France.
be overcome if the list results from an age bias-free method of identification. Age bias-free lists are suitable to estimate rates of mortality and will generally be associated with complete data.

Validation

Studying extreme ages necessarily involves small numbers. Therefore, a single age error, especially at the highest ages, may well have strong implications for the pattern of the mortality trajectory. It is thus of great importance to check the reliability and accuracy of each reported age from such a data set. The method employed to check age is referred to as the "validation procedure" and has been a major issue throughout the development of the database. The validated list only includes supercentenarians for which the reported age has been ascertained and checked (Cournil et al., 2005). The validation procedures differ among the various countries involved according to the availability of resources used to check the various issues.

In order to take into account the different situations, the IDL contains a validation variable that classifies the cases according to the information that was used to consider the case as validated. Three main situations are encountered. First, the case is not considered to be validated if the reported age has not been sufficiently verified or ascertained (level of validation = 0). The case is considered validated when the main type of documents that were used to perform the validation are recorded in the database (level of validation = 2). There is a third in-between situation, where the case is considered to be validated, but no detailed information is available in the database (level of validation = 1). The IDL relies on a reference person to consider the case validated. The reference person or team, also called the country informant(s), can be directly or indirectly in charge of the validation of the case and of its report or transmission to the IDL. In some countries, the list of cases transmitted to the IDL comes from an institutional source, such as a statistics institute or an administration. The source of information is included in the database. For cases validated by documents, additional variables describe the type of source that has been used to ascertain the date of birth (i.e., birth record or census documents) and the date of death. Another field denotes whether the date of birth is attested to by a photocopy, photo or scan of an original document.

Completeness

The IDL currently gathers validated and complete (or age ascertainment bias-free) lists of supercentenarians from six countries, including Denmark, France, Italy, Japan, the United Kingdom (England and Wales) and the United States, as well as from one province of Canada (Quebec). For these countries and this province, lists are provided through collaboration with a specific statistics institute or an administration: Civil Registration System (CRS) of Denmark, Institut National de la Statistique et des Etudes Economiques (INSEE) of France, Instituto nationale di
statistica (ISTAT) of Italy, Ministry of Health and Welfare (MHW) of Japan, Office for National Statistics (ONS) of England and Wales, Social Security Administration (SSA) of the United States and Institut Statistique du Québec (ISQ) of the province of Quebec (Canada). These institutes provide lists of deaths, except in Japan, where the MHW provides the list of surviving centenarians, on September 1 of each year. The lists from France, Italy, Japan, Denmark, England and Wales, and Quebec are assumed to be complete, as they rely on an exhaustive report of cases. Their completeness concerns a given time period for which data were available. The list transmitted to the IDL from the SSA for the United States is not complete, because it only includes cases that have been validated. However, this list is assumed to be age ascertainment bias-free (Kestenbaum and Fergusson, 2002; Rosenwaike and Stone, 2003). The supercentenarian cases of these countries have all been submitted to a validation procedure. Four of the countries—Canada, France, Italy and the United States—have provided validation information for each single case. This information mentions the main documents (vital records, censuses, etc.) that were used to check for the dates of birth and death, and they are available in the database. Paper files can also be consulted for supercentenarians in various locations (mainly from Montpellier and Duke Universities).

Validation is based on cross-checking birth and death records (or their proxies, such as an early census document) for each reported case. The validation procedure for the whole list has allowed the identification of false supercentenarians in the initial lists provided by the French, Italian and Quebec provincial statistical bureaus. The knowledge of the number and type of errors gives information about the quality and accuracy of the national registration systems. It may argue for or against the need for a validation procedure for each single case. Eight, five and seven errors were found in France, Italy and Quebec, respectively, corresponding to the error percentages of 14, 19 and 46 percent. These high values argue in favor of individual verification of the reported ages. The lists also need to be evaluated for their completeness, but this is very difficult to do. One method is to compare lists of supercentenarians provided by different sources. In France, the comparison of the list provided by INSEE with other lists obtained by different means identified five additional cases that were not mentioned in the INSEE list. These cases mainly come from the first years of the observation period. It seems that the quality of the list in terms of completeness has improved with time. To assume completeness of the lists, we have to trust the statistics institutes in charge of the registration of vital events (Cournil et al., 2005).

Five other lists, from Belgium, the Netherlands and three Nordic countries (Finland, Norway and Sweden), are assumed to be complete. However, for these countries, information that would be needed to ascertain the reliability of the data,
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2 Japan is an exception, as only 29 out of the 96 cases have been submitted to an individual validation procedure. These 29 cases correspond to dates of death between 1995 and 2000.
both on the validation procedure and completeness, is lacking. Cases for Belgium and the Netherlands seem to be known from an extensive press review. Data from the three Nordic countries comes from a linkage between statistic input and press reports. These countries have very strict legislation concerning access to personal information, which makes the dissemination of nominative lists for supercentenarians very difficult and limits the access to official civil documents to check and validate known cases from press reports (Cournil et al., 2005).

Gathering the Four Data Sets

Taking into account all these characteristics, we have divided the data gathered in the IDL into four data sets according to their level of validation and degree of completeness.

The Level of Validity

As of March 2004, the IDL contained 732 records corresponding to 78 males and 654 females, alive or dead, alleged to have reached the age of at least 110 years. Most of them, 677 records (92 percent), correspond to deceased individuals (72 males and 605 females).

For 401 (or 59 percent) of the deceased individuals, copies of genuine documents corroborating their dates and places of birth and death (birth record or proxy such as a census sheet or death record) are available. For 147 individuals (22 percent), a special check has been made by the statistical office providing the data or by the IDL informant, but copies of the genuine documents are not yet available. For 129 individuals (19 percent), no special validation has been undertaken by the statistical offices or by the IDL informants (see Table 1). Some of these unvalidated cases came from previous lists of supercentenarians, and even the country of death may not be known.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Validation</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See the Los Angeles Gerontology Research Group for such lists (Coles, 2004).
The Country of Death

Indeed, the country of death is known for only 660 individuals (97 percent), involving a total of 21 countries. Of this number, a mere four countries gathered fully 84 percent of the data: the United States with 352 cases (53 percent), Japan with 75 cases (11 percent), France with 66 cases (10 percent) and England and Wales with 61 cases (9 percent). Conversely, seven countries provided only one case each (see Table 2).

TABLE 2
Number of Records by Country of Death
(IDL, Version March 2004, Persons Deceased at Age 110 and Over, n=660)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of Death</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England and Wales</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic Czech</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Level of Completeness

The lists of deceased supercentenarians are expected to be complete for 11 countries as well as for the Canadian province of Quebec. For six countries—the United States, France, England and Wales, Japan, Italy and Denmark—and the province of Quebec, the lists came from institutional sources such as the Social Security Administration (United States), Statistical Bureaus (France, England and Wales) or the Ministry of Health (Japan). For an additional five European countries—Belgium, Finland, the Netherlands, Norway and Sweden—even if the lists are not provided by an institution, they are expected to be complete, thanks to
the existence of population registers and wide coverage of the supercentenarians by local media.

The Four Data Sets

Using the country of death, the source of data and the level of validation, we assembled four data sets (see Table 3). The first data set comprises the lists that come from institutional sources.

For the United States, the data comes from the Social Security Administration (SSA), with a level of validation of 2 for 326 cases, deceased between 1980 and 2003 (Kestenbaum and Fergusson, 2005).

For France, the data comes from the French statistical bureau (INSEE) and has been validated by the Health and Demography Research Group at the University of Montpellier, which leads to a level of validation of 2 for 49 cases, deceased between 1987 and 2002 (Meslé et al., 2005).

For England and Wales, the data comes from the statistical bureau (ONS), which makes a special check of the data before releasing it annually to the IDL, leading to a level of validation of 1 for 53 cases, deceased between 1968 and 2002.

For Japan, the data comes from the Ministry of Health (MHW), which annually releases the list of centenarians living in Japan. A special check made by Nihon University Population Research Institute led to a level of validation of 1 for 29 cases, deceased between 1996 and 2001 (Saito, 2005).

For Italy, the data comes from the Italian statistical bureau (ISTAT). A validation of the data by the Department of Demography of the University of Rome, La Sapienza, is in progress, leaving the level of validation unknown for 21 cases, deceased between 1973 and 2000 (Barbi et al., 2005).

For Denmark, the data comes from the National Civil Registration System (CRS), with a special check of the data made by the University of Southern Denmark, leading to a level of validation of 1 for two cases, deceased between 1996 and 2000 (Skytthe et al., 2005).

For the province of Quebec, Canada, the data comes from the statistical bureau of Quebec (ISQ) and has been validated by the Department of Demography at the University of Montreal, leading to a level of validation of 2 for six cases, deceased between 1983 and 2001 (Desjardins and Bourbeau, 2005).

In total, this first data set comprises 486 records (42 males and 444 females) coming from seven countries, with a level of validation of 2 for 381 cases (United
The second data set comprises the lists coming from five European countries where the lists are expected to be complete, even in the absence of institutional sources. Only the cases that have been the subject of a special check by IDL informants have been kept, leading to a level of validation of 1 for a total of 25
additional cases (four males and 21 females), deceased between 1857 and 2001 (16 cases in the Netherlands, five cases in Belgium, two cases in Finland, one case in Norway and one case in Sweden) (Skytthe et al., 2005; Poulain, 2005).

The third data set comprises 36 records (six males and 30 females) from six countries with a validation level of 1 for 16 cases and 2 for 20 cases. Half of these cases come from countries where we have no access to institutional lists and where the number of validated or known cases are too low, such as Australia (seven cases, see McCormack, 2005), Canada (11 cases, see Desjardins and Bourbeau, 2005) and Ireland (one historical case). Half of the cases come from countries where we have access to institutional lists. These may be missing or recent cases, such as in the United States (one case) or Italy (two cases), or they may be missing or old cases that were recorded prior to the institutional list, such as in France (14 cases, see Meslé et al., 2005).

The fourth data set is made up of 113 records (including 18 males and 95 females) from 16 countries, with a level of validation of 0, including 46 cases from Japan that need to be validated. A part of this data set overlaps with the lists made by the Los Angeles Gerontology Research Group.5

The Supercentenarians

Emergence and Rate of Increase

The first supercentenarians, except for a few historical cases, appeared in the 1970s. Since 1980, their number has increased exponentially at least until 1999, the last year for which we collected data from all the institutional sources (data set 1 on Figure 1). Data set 4, which is not yet validated, shows a similar exponential increase until the most recent years, suggesting that this remarkable increase is still ongoing (Figure 2).

---

4 The Dutch list comprises three historical records, corresponding to two men born in 1745 and 1788 and one woman born in 1849, which are still debated by our Dutch and Belgium informants.
5 The Los Angeles Gerontology Research Group (GRG) draws up nominative lists of known supercentenarians, mainly collected through the media (www.GRG.org). The GRG makes the names of the supercentenarians publicly available, in particular the names of the living supercentenarians. In contrast to the IDL, the GRG does not place great importance on the completeness of its lists. Their main lists are List A made by Louis Epstein (chronological listing of all supercentenarians) and List AA made by Robert Young (chronological listing of all supercentenarians with nationality). The GRG list of living supercentenarians (List E) contained 61 cases as of March 11, 2005. In comparison to the IDL, the GRG mainly contains cases from countries not yet participating in the IDL and old cases prior to the periods covered by the IDL lists or, on the other hand, very recent cases (including the living centenarians) for which the IDL does not yet have the information from the statistical bureaus.
6 In particular, Robert Young is currently documenting a few cases of early American supercentenarians, such as Delina Filkins (1815-1928) and Betsy Baker (1842-1955) (personal communication).
FIGURE 1
Number of Persons Having Reached 110 Years of Age in the Year
(IDL, Version March 2004, Persons Deceased at Age 110 and Over,
Data Sets 1, 2 & 3, n=547)

FIGURE 2
Number of Persons Having Reached 110 Years of Age in the Year
(IDL, Version March 2004, Persons Deceased at Age 110 and Over,
Data Set 4, Remaining Records, n=113)
Figure 3 details this increase by institutional source for the seven countries that provide official lists (data set 1). Only the U.S. list is large enough to display a clear increase over time (labelled "SSA" on Figure 3). Although the global increase is obvious, some countries such as England and Wales (labelled "ONS" on Figure 3) display no significant trend.

FIGURE 3
Number of Persons Having Reached 110 Years of Age in the Year
(IDL, Version March 2004, Persons Deceased at Age 110 and Over,
Data Set 1, Institutional Sources, n=486)

Age Structure

Figure 4 shows that the age structure of the supercentenarians varies according to the data sets. In data sets 1 and 2, which are thought to be complete, the individuals deceased at age 110 are more numerous (51 percent and 64 percent) than in data set 3, which is known to be incomplete, or than in data set 4 (33 percent and 45 percent, respectively). This confirms the hypothesis that when a list of supercentenarians is incomplete, the probability of missing cases decreases when the age of the supercentenarians increases. Indeed, the higher the age reached, the larger is the probability to be known. Conversely, data sets 3 and 4 comprise many more individuals alleged to be dead at age 114 or more (11 percent in both data sets, compared to data sets 1 and 2 (6 percent and 0 percent, respectively). The most obvious explanations are the better awareness of the oldest-old for data set 3, the absence of validation and potentially false cases for data set 4, and the small size of data set 2. Only the first data set gathers the three necessary elements for assessing the age structure of the supercentenarians, i.e., the completeness of the lists, their validation and a sufficient size.
Sex Ratio

Again, the sex ratio varies according to the data sets (Figure 5). In the first data set, males represent only 9 percent of the record versus about 16 to 17 percent in the three other data sets. Similar explanations can be provided: a better awareness of the male supercentenarians for the incomplete data set 3, an absence of validation and possible false cases for data set 4 and the small size and small numbers for data set 2. The first data set probably provides the best estimation of the true sex ratio.
Maximum Age at Death

The emergence of the supercentenarians was accompanied by a significant increase in the Maximum Reported Age at Death (MRAD). Some have discussed a handful of historical cases of individuals alleged to be dead at age 110 or age 111 before 1960, but no cases of death at age 112 or over have been proven to exist before 1973. Since that time, however, deaths have been recorded at age 114 in 1985, age 117 in 1993 and age 122 in 1997 (Figure 6).

**FIGURE 6**
Maximum Reported Age at Death—MRAD
(IDL, Version March 2004, Persons Deceased at Age 110 and Over, Data Set 1, Institutional Sources, n=486)

The Mortality Trajectory at Age 110 and Over

As the records in the IDL contain information on deceased persons only (Japan excepted), supercentenarians still alive are not part of the data set. To correctly estimate the number of persons at risk at different ages, the numbers of survivors beyond each age have to be estimated from death counts for those cohorts that are not yet extinct. This can be achieved by the Survivor Ratio Method, which has proved to be the most reliable technique among several available options (Thatcher et al., 2002; Andreev et al., 1999). The Survivor Ratio Method extends the method of extinct generations (Vincent, 1951) to "almost extinct" cohorts. In this approach, specific ratios of survivors in a cohort beyond some age relative to the number of deaths in this cohort during the previous $k$ years are assumed to be stable across neighboring cohorts. By starting from extinct cohorts, the number of survivors can be estimated in more recent cohorts. If death rates are changing (falling), then survivor ratios are not constant from one cohort to the next. In this case, the introduction of a correction factor can compensate for the changing survivor ratios (Thatcher et al., 2002).
Before applying the survivor ratio method to the more recent cohorts in the database, we checked whether mortality experience has changed over time for supercentenarians by analyzing data for those cohorts that are already extinct. Ages at death for individuals in the IDL are available up to the date, allowing us to apply methods for continuous survival data. In order not to mix patterns of different countries, we have initially restricted our analysis to the U.S. contribution to the IDL. Because of its sample size, the list from the SSA (United States) allows statistically reliable conclusions. Analyses were conducted separately for males and females.

To determine which cohorts have a completed mortality experience, we had to fix the age beyond which no member of a cohort is alive. A natural choice might be the maximum validated age we are aware of, which would be 122 years for females and 115 for males. On the other hand, for more recent cohorts and in the countries contributing to the complete and validated list in the IDL, we can be confident that we would be aware of any individual currently alive at an age greater than 115. For this reason, we picked 116 as the maximum age used to define already extinct cohorts. With this setting, the birth cohorts of 1887 and earlier have completed their mortality experience, according to the U.S. sampling scheme.

To investigate the basic survival pattern and to check whether this pattern has changed for earlier versus later cohorts, we first split these cohorts into an earlier group, 1870-1880, and a later group, 1881-1887. The split was made in such a way that the two groups are of comparable size. Figure 7 shows the two estimated survival probabilities (Kaplan-Meier estimates) for U.S. females in these two groups as closely coinciding. A log-rank test supports the similitude of the two survival patterns ($p>0.7$).

**FIGURE 7**
Estimated Survival Probabilities for Female U.S. Supercentenarians in Extinct Cohorts (Cohorts 1870-80: n=104; 1881-87: n=134)

![Survival Probabilities](image)

USA, Females

(log-rank test, $p>0.7$)
Another striking feature of these survival curves is the seemingly exponential decline in survival probabilities. To check for the appropriateness of an exponential distribution, we fitted the parameter by maximum likelihood to the whole sample of extinct cohorts ($\hat{\lambda} = 0.764$). Figure 8 shows the nonparametrically estimated survival probabilities as well as the integrated hazard (confidence limits for $(1 - \alpha) = 0.95$). The estimated exponential distribution is completely contained within the confidence bounds. A two-sided Kolomogorov-Smirnov test $(D=0.0299, p=0.984)$ confirms the exceptionally close fit of the data to an exponential distribution. As a consequence, the force of mortality beyond age 110 is constant at the level $\mu = 0.764$, corresponding to an expected residual life span of 1.309 years. The resulting estimated annual probability of death is 0.534.

![FIGURE 8](image)

**FIGURE 8**
**Survival Probabilities and Integrated Hazard for Female U.S. Supercentenarians (Extinct Cohorts Only) Plus Fitted Exponential Distribution (Maximum Likelihood). The Confidence Level is $(1 - \alpha) = 0.95$, the Estimated Parameter of the Exponential Distribution is $\hat{\lambda} = 0.764$**

The total number of male individuals in the extinct U.S. cohorts is only 23, and thus does not allow for further division. The parallel analysis for males is documented in Figure 9. Again, the data strongly supports a constant hazard (KS-Test $D=0.0853, p=0.996$), which for males is estimated as $\mu = 0.608$, corresponding to an expected residual lifetime of 1.64 years and an annual probability of death of 0.456.
After exclusively analyzing the U.S. data, all individuals in extinct cohorts from data sets 1 and 2 (see above) were considered. The data involved in these sets is sufficiently complete and validated. Figures 10a and 10b give the corresponding results for these combined cases, which basically conform to the analyses of the U.S. data. For both male and female supercentenarians, the hypothesis of a constant hazard beyond age 110 is distinctly supported. Nevertheless, the agreement is slightly less pronounced in these data sets than in the U.S. data alone (KS-Test for females: $p=0.597$; for males: $p=0.668$). We are currently in the process of investigating whether some countries or cohorts exert a particular influence on the overall fit.
FIGURE 10a
Survival Probabilities and Integrated Hazard for Female Supercentenarians in IDL Data Sets 1 and 2 (Extinct Cohorts Only) Plus Fitted Exponential Distribution (Maximum Likelihood). The Estimated Parameter of the Exponential Distribution is $\hat{\lambda} = 0.735$

FIGURE 10b
Survival Probabilities and Integrated Hazard for Male Supercentenarians in IDL Data Sets 1 and 2 (Extinct Cohorts Only) Plus Fitted Exponential Distribution (Maximum Likelihood). The Estimated Parameter of the Exponential Distribution is $\hat{\lambda} = 0.623$
Using the survivor ratio method, the number of individuals who were exposed to risk but unobserved because they have not yet died is estimated for the most recent cohorts. The results of the final analysis are presented in Figures 11a and 11b. Again, constant hazards are strongly supported up to ages at death of about 114. After this, age data grow sparse, and hence the confidence limits widen considerably. The estimated constant hazard is $\mu = 0.744$ for females and $\mu = 0.664$ for males, leading to a remaining life expectancy of 1.34 and 1.51, respectively. Annual probabilities of death for these hazards are 0.525 and 0.485, respectively.

FIGURE 11a
Survival Probabilities and Integrated Hazard for Female Supercentenarians in IDL Data Sets 1 and 2 Plus Fitted Exponential Distribution (Maximum Likelihood). The Estimated Parameter of the Exponential Distribution is $\hat{\lambda} = 0.744$. 
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FIGURE 11b
Survival Probabilities and Integrated Hazard for Male Supercentenarians in IDL Data Sets 1 and 2 Plus Fitted Exponential Distribution (Maximum Likelihood). The Estimated Parameter of the Exponential Distribution is $\hat{\lambda} = 0.664$
The mortality trajectory of human aging is a major biomathematical question that has fascinated demographers and biologists for a very long time. Is there a law of mortality? And if so, what is it? It has been known for some time that mortality increases with age. But is there an age at which death the following year is a certainty? And, if yes, what is it? Research into laws of mortality has been marked by foundational work by English mathematicians, particularly Moivre (1725) on the increase of mortality with age; Gompertz (1825) on the exponential progression of mortality; and Perk (1932) on a so-called logistical trajectory. But this area of inquiry also owes a great deal to biologists who, since Buffon, have been pointing out that the mortality of old people is lower than expected, a phenomenon also recognized by Gompertz himself (Gompertz, 1825; Carnes et al., 1996; Olshansky and Carnes, 1997).

Thus Buffon, as early as 1749, noted that the yearly probability of surviving with age "decreases less and less, [and] becomes stationary and fixed" when the life span is complete, i.e., at about 80 years, according to him. Gompertz made a similar observation in 1825 from Milne’s table of Carlisle, stating that, "Such a law of mortality would indeed make it appear that there was no positive limit to a person’s age." In 1939, Greenwood and Irwin again speculated on the "possibility that with advancing age the rate of mortality asymptotes to a finite value." They added, "One cannot without absurdity believe that, other things equal, a man of 100 is not more likely to die within a year that a man of 90. But other things are not equal..." (Greenwood and Irwin, 1939). Eventually, in 1964, Comfort noted, "Extreme records in man, occurring in excess of statistical probability, are chiefly of interest in suggesting that after a certain age the rate of increase in the force of mortality is not maintained, either by reason of selection or from other causes." (Comfort, 1964).

The dates of publication of the previous works—1749, 1825, 1939 and 1964, respectively—testify that the hunch that the probability of dying within a year can reach a plateau is quite old, but that it is still difficult for most observers to believe. Since 1932, the logistic model developed by Perk has allowed a fitting of such trajectories. But the exponential trajectories, following the model of Gompertz (1825) or the modified one by Makeham (1860), have remained the preferred models until quite recently and are still in use today in some statistical bureaus and actuarial institutes. However, it is now well recognized that mortality slows down beyond the age of 85, and that mortality rates observed at 95 or 100 years of age are lower than expected with a Gompertz-like trajectory (Kannisto, 1996; Horiuchi and Wilmoth, 1998; Thatcher et al., 1998; Vanfleteren et al. 1998; Vaupel et al., 1998; Kannisto, 1999; Manton, 1999; Thatcher, 1999; Lynch and Brown, 2001; Weitz and Fraser, 2001; Kestenbaum and Fergusson, 2002; Barbi et al., 2003). Still, it is unclear whether a plateau of mortality is reached and, if so, at which age and at which level.
From 1951 onward, the extinct generation method suggested by Vincent (1951) and its modern extensions (Andreev et al., 1999; Meslé and Vallin, 2000; Thatcher et al., 2002) were used to accurately calculate the mortality rate for the oldest-old cases. The subsequent creation of international databases covering several countries has increased the number of observations (Vincent, 1951; Dépoid, 1973) on a limited basis until the creation of the Kannisto-Thatcher Database (KTD), which includes mortality data from 28 developed countries (Kannisto, 1994). This database, now associated with the Human Mortality Database (www.mortality.org or www.humanmortality.de), is the foundation for all modern work on mortality trajectories that suggest either logistic trajectories (Kannisto, 1996; Thatcher et al., 1998; Thatcher, 1999) or quadratic trajectories (Vaupel et al., 1998). The International Database on Longevity (IDL) was set up predominantly to be able to distinguish between these two trajectories. At minimum, its objective is to accurately calculate mortality rates between the ages of 105 and 115 years old (Robine and Vaupel, 2002).

Since the work of Gompertz (1825), the entire scientific community (except the few scholars quoted above) has believed that mortality increases exponentially with age, with mortality rates doubling for humans approximately every eight years. In 1950, Vincent was the first to be able to estimate the age at which the probability of dying in the same year was close to 1; his estimate was 110 years (Vincent, 1950). Twenty years later, based on the same hypotheses and methods, Dépoid (1973) found a limit at the age of 117 years old. This collapse in mortality is considerable, but at the time hardly anybody was interested in this question and, even subsequently, doubts have never been raised over Vincent’s conclusions. The duration of human life is limited to 110 years—this is a characteristic of the species (Buffon, 1749; Cutler, 1985; Walford, 1985; Hayflick, 1996) that is controlled by clock genes (Fries, 1980; Hayflick, 1981). The Kannisto and Thatcher database had to be created before this biological dogma was questioned. Mortality follows a logistic trajectory with age, tending toward a mortality ceiling without ever reaching it (Thatcher et al., 1998), or a quadratic trajectory, where mortality diminishes with age after having passed a maximum at around 110 years old (Vaupel et al., 1998).

The mortality rates between 110 and 114 years of age, calculated accurately, thanks to the IDL, and presented in this paper, show that mortality does not increase, or increases only very slightly, after 110 years of age. These observations definitively reject the exponential trajectories (Gompertz or Makeham), but still do not make the distinction between the logistic and quadratic trajectories, with the latter only diverging significantly after 115 years of age (Robine and Vaupel, 2002). These results nevertheless show that human longevity has no limits in terms of age, and there are, accordingly, no biologically controlled limits such as clock genes or other mechanisms linked to natural selection. If there really is a limit, it is for mortality levels that do not reach an annual mortality rate of 60 percent, even in
people of 110 years of age or more, even for the frailest elderly people under mortality conditions currently found in the most developed countries.

These results require some explanation, for they fuel the fear that old people will always be both increasingly numerous and increasingly older. What determines the mortality ceiling? Could it drop significantly in the future? Several explanations are put forward to explain the slowing down in mortality of the oldest-olds, such as the genetic heterogeneity of populations (Vaupel et al., 1979), the redundancy of biological maintenance, repair and defense mechanisms in the human body (Gavrilov and Gavrilova, 2001 and 2003), the existence of a very special configuration of generations at the heart of the demographic transition (Bonneux et al., 1998), considerable progress in the economic, physical, medical and social environments of the oldest people (Robine, 2001 and 2003), and the plasticity of mortality to such changes (Vaupel et al., 2003). In 1939, Greenwood and Irvin already noted that, "Even the juvenile of 60, if ordinarily intelligent, eschews the violent exercises of the child of 40. Centenarians rarely appear in public. A statistical rate of mortality might show no increase with age, if the demands made on the vital forces diminished pari passu with the decay of vigour" (Greenwood and Irwin, 1939). This question was entirely theoretical in 1939, but in 2005, with the accumulation of nonagenarians and centenarians either housebound or in retirement homes, the determining factors of mortality trajectories with age should be investigated urgently in order to determine the level to which mortality ceilings can be lowered. Remember, for example, that in the 25 years between 1975 and 2000, the annual probability of death for women centenarians in Japan decreased from 50 to 35 percent (Robine, 2003).

Already in 1939, Greenwood and Irwin proposed a limiting value of 0.5 for qx "using data from 1920-1922." This suggests that there has been no change in the mortality ceiling for the last 80 years, but we do not know which kind of data they used or the quality of that data. By contrast, the IDL gathers complete and validated lists of supercentenarians from the main low mortality countries in Europe and North America as well as from Japan and Australia, with the collaboration of the national statistical bureaus. In March 2004, the IDL already contained 677 records corresponding to deceased individuals, with most of the deaths having occurred after 1995. Furthermore, the numbers have rapidly increased in the participating countries; additional countries, such as Austria, Germany (Maier and Scholz, 2005), Spain and Switzerland, should soon be providing their own lists to the IDL.

In this study, we have shown that constant hazards are strongly supported by the IDL from the age of 110 years to the age of 114 years, with annual probabilities of death close to 0.5. We expect in the next few years to be able to extend our calculations to the ages of 115 or 116 years, ages at which the logistic and quadratic trajectories start to significantly diverge.
The study of mortality trajectories is one domain of bio-demography in which work on animal species complements work on humans (Carey et al., 1992; Curtsinger et al., 1992; Carey 1997 and 2003). Death decelerates with age for insects and worms as well as for humans (Vaupel et al., 1998). Mortality deceleration can be an artefact of compositional change in a heterogeneous population (Vaupel et al., 1979; Brook et al., 1994). Therefore, genetic heterogeneity could explain similar mortality trajectories in human populations in which mortality stops increasing exponentially beyond 85 years of age. But data from Drosophila flies demonstrates that a levelling off of death can occur even when heterogeneity is minimized through the rearing of genetically homogeneous cohorts under similar conditions (Vaupel et al., 1998), and that Gompertz’s law does not hold for either the isogenic or heterogeneous nematode populations (Vaupel et al., 1994). Post-reproductive life spans might be compared with a "post-warranty survival of equipment" (Vaupel, 2003). Although living organisms are much more complex than manufactured products, the trajectory of the mortality of automobiles (Vaupel et al. 1998) suggests that deceleration is a general property of complex systems. This proposal is similar to the reliability theory of aging and longevity proposed by Gavrilov and Gavrilova (2001 and 2003). However, outside the laboratories, all populations are heterogeneous, and "the frail tend to suffer high mortality, leaving a subset of survivors," which creates a fundamental problem in the analysis of mortality trajectories. The central question remains, "how important are an individual’s survival attributes as opposed to current conditions in determining the chance of death?" (Vaupel et al., 1998).
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