### The Pattern and Consequence of Suvivorship Provisons in Public Retirement Plans: Comparison of Britain, U.S., and Germany

# Table 1

Country	60	)+	Ratio of widowed
<u>&amp; year</u>	Widowed	Married	to married poverty
Germany			
1989	3.6	9.8	2.7
1994	0.8	3.2	4.2
United Kingdom			
1991	12.1	14.9	1.2
1995	6.7	6.5	1.0
United States			
1991	10.7	22.1	2.1
1994	9.5	20.4	2.1
1997	11.6	23.3	2.0

### Poverty rates of married and widowed females 60+: Weighted

Source: Authors' calculations using Luxembourg Income Study data.

#### TABLE 2

#### Comparison of Retirement and Survivor Benefits Germany, U.K., U.S.

#### Germany

<u>Retirement Benefits</u> Strictly earnings related [credits = sum( wages/ave)] Payable 65 (62 for women)

Widow Benefit 3 months at 100% Age 45+ at 60% <45 25% Retirement Benefits Basic Benefit Payable 65 (60 women) Plus SERPS

U.K

<u>Widow Benefit</u> Highest of own or husband=s Basic Benefit (Payable age 55) 100% of husband=s SERPS (Full amount paid if husband 65+, Age reduction for younger ages)

Offsets Set income over limit: Benefit reduced by 40%

Offsets No income test

#### <u>U.S.</u>

<u>Retirement Benefits</u> Progressive earning related benefit formula Payable women and men at age 62 Reduced if first received age 62-64

<u>Widow Benefit</u> Age 65+ at 100% of husband=s retired-worker benefit Reduced if first received age 60-64

<u>Offsets</u> Full offset against own benefits Earnings test offset against earnings During data period for earnings: below 70 (earliest years 72); now below age 65

# Table 3Timing of Widowhood in the CNEF

year first widowed	<u> </u>	<u>Britain USA</u>	
1984-1985	22		31
1985-1986	22		25
1986-1987	22		33
1987-1988	22		33
1988-1989	24		21
1989-1990	18		22
1990-1991	26		28
1991-1992	20	23	29
1992-1993	21	33	28
1993-1994	22	28	31
1994-1995	23	29	22
1995-1996	12	21	28
1996-1997	12	22	20
1997-1998			
Total	266	156	351

Note: all women were married and in the sample in 1984 for the GSOEP and PSID and 1991 for the BHPS

Table 4
Example of Conversion to Widowhood years
(Using BHPS Survey years

	Calendar ye	Widowho	Widowhood period	
Interview	Person	Person	Person	Person
year	1	2	1	2
1991	married	married	b1	b5
1992	widowed	married	p0	b4
1993	widowed	married	p1	b3
1994	widowed	married	p2	b2
1995	widowed	married	p3	b1
1996	widowed	widowed	p4	p0
1997	widowed	widowed	p5	p1

# Table 5

# Adjusted Widowhood Period (Number of Widows by Period)

year first widowed	GSOEP	BHPS	<u>PSID</u>
b10	67		101
b9	90		126
b8	109		154
b7	136		182
b6	154		202
b5	178	43	223
b4	199	71	256
b3	221	98	289
b2	240	131	316
b1	266	156	351
р	266	156	351
p1	240	126	316
p2	221	98	276
p3	190	72	246
p4	161	45	210
p5	132	16	183
р6	114		154
p7	92		129

# Table 6. Comparison of Equivalency Scale Effects:

# Income changes upon Widowhood

#### I. Hypothetical Income Change

	Prewidow Income	Widow% Income	<u>change</u>
Total	30000	20000	-33.3%
Per capita	15000	20000	33.3%

#### II. Equivalence Scale Adjusted Hypothetical Income Change

	<u>Usin</u>	ng U.S. Scale		Using OECI	O Scale		Using Internat	ional Scale
Size <u>Change</u>	Prewidow Income	Widow% Income	Prewidow <u>change Income</u>	Widow% Income	Prewic change Income			
2 to 1	23438	20000	-14.7%17647	20000	13.3%	21213	20000	-5.7
3 to 2	19108	15625	-18.2%12500	11765	-5.9%	17321	14142	-18.4
4 to 3	14925	12739	-14.6% 9677	8333	-13.9%15000	11547	-23.0	
5 to 4	12605	9950	-21.1% 7895	6452	-18.3%13416	10000	-25.5	
6 to 3	11194	8403	-24.9% 6667	5263	-21.1%12247	8944	-27.0	
3 to 1	19108	20000	4.7% 12500	20000	60.0%17321	20000	15.5	
4 to 2	14925	15625	4.7% 9677	11765	21.6% 15000	14142	-5.7	

Note: With U.S. scale: persons are BETTER off; become MORE worse off; differences between size changes are SMALLER. With OECD scale: persons are WORSE off; become LESS worse off; differences between size changes are LARGER

# Table 7.

# Changes in Post-government Income

# using Three Equivalency Scales

	BHP	BHPS PSID		SID GSOEP		P
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	Mean Media	n
Widowhood						
Period		Total	income			
b1	15989	13175	42017	27405	41291	35916
p1	11431	9066	28843	17445	31278	25322
% Change	-28.5%-31.2	2%-31.4%-36.3	%-24.2%-29.5%	⁄0		
			House	hold size adjust	ted income	
<u>Using OECD Equiv</u>	alence Weights	<u>8</u>				
b1	8543	7228	27939	18815	26949	24282
p1	9730	7920	25936	14004	26582	22678
% Change	13.9%	9.6%	-7.2%	-25.6%-1.4%	-6.6%	
Using General Offic	cial U.S. Equivation	alence Weight				
b1	11532	9946	28817	20544	28984	26044
p1	10396	8714	26352	15205	27296	23246
% Change	-9.8%	-12.4%-8.6%	-26.0%	<b>%-5.8%</b>	-10.7%	
International Equiva	alence Scale					
b1	10583	9002	26384	18759	26652	24253
p1	10170	8612	25921	14883	26555	22610
% Change	-3.9%	-4.3%	-1.8%	-20.7%-0.4%	-6.8%	

Note: BHPS data are in price adjusted British pounds, PSID data in price adjusted U.S. dollars, GSOEP data in price adjusted Marks.

 Table 8

 Contribution to Postgovernment Income and Change in Income by Each Scource

#### Income Sources

	Labor	Private Asset	Social	Public	c
	Income	Tranfers	Income	Security	Transfers
DISTRIBUTION					
Of Income					
<u>BHPS</u>					
b1	30.5%	0.3%	10.9%	27.8%	35.7%
p1	21.3	0.4	7.6	30.3	35.1
PSID					
b1	38.3	11.7	17.0	17.4	0.8
p1	22.2	5.8	18.2	21.6	1.2
<u>GSOEP</u>					
b1	43.9	0.1	4.1	54.2	1.6
pl	31.6	0.6	6.2	58.0	2.4
Of Income Change					
BHPS	-25.8% 0.0%	%-10.2%-12.9%	/0-21.3%		
PSID	-34.7	-11.5	- 6.8	-3.9	0.1
GSOEP	-24.3	0.7	1.3	-17.1	0.1

Note: Percentages are the percentage by which postgovernment OECD adjusted income would have changed due to a change in the individual source alone. Percentages do not add up to 100 because contributions of taxes and imputed value of housing are not presented

# Table 9: AGE DISTRIBUTION OF WIDOWS (Age in Year B1)

#### <u>Country</u>

	PSID	BHPS	GSOEP
<u>b1age</u>	Percent Per	cent Percent	
<45	10.0%	6.7%	7.5%
45-54	14.5%	14.2%	11.8%
55-59	11.2%	4.5%	11.4%
60-64	15.2%	10.4%	16.5%
65-74	31.8%	39.6%	35.4%
75+	17.3%	24.6%	17.3%
<60	35.8%	25.4%	30.7%
median 63-64	68-	69 64-65	
mean	62.9	65.9	63.9

Note: numbers are unweighted sample size