### TRANSACTIONS OF SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES 1983 VOL. 35

### PREPAID HOSPITAL CARE AGE/SEX AND HOSPITAL CONTINUATION STUDY

#### PETER L. HUTCHINGS AND RICHARD E. ULLMAN

#### ABSTRACT

This paper sets forth hospital utilization data by age and sex and hospital continuation data. Data from both regular prepaid hospital care contracts and contracts supplementing medicare are included in the age/sex portion of the paper. The hospital continuation data are from regular contracts only. The data are derived from a large block of homogeneous prepaid hospital care contracts issued in the seventeen-county area of downstate New York.

Included in the paper are hospital admission rates, lengths of stay, inpatient days of care per 1,000 contracts, and pure claim costs. Data for outpatient as well as inpatient benefits are included, and there is a breakdown between nonmaternity and maternity cases.

The paper may be used as a basis for comparing experience under hospital contracts, for developing age, sex, and durational factors, and, with appropriate modification for demographic factors and utilization, for developing pure claim costs.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Greater New York covers more persons for prepaid hospital care than any other similar private organization in the world. Further, very large blocks of these persons have identical benefits, thereby permitting detailed actuarial studies to be made without the problem of numerous benefit variations complicating the result. This paper sets forth a series of studies of hospital benefits for persons not covered by medicare and also for persons covered by contracts that supplement medicare.

To appreciate the data contained in this paper, the reader will need some familiarity with the rather specialized terminology associated with Blue Cross and Blue Shield organizations. A glossary is given in Appendix A. Furthermore, the detailed benefit design must also be understood, and that is covered in Appendix B.

#### II. HOSPITAL UTILIZATION

The study included 676,000 non-medicare supplementary contracts, including 647,000 between ages 20 and 64, for the calendar year 1978. This represents the bulk of Blue Cross and Blue Shield's small group and direct payment business. It excludes the large group (experience-rated) business and also excludes the small group 21/180-day contract. Additional coverage available under a variety of riders also has been excluded from the study. Table 1A presents the distribution of contracts by the various categories.

For the age group 20-64, the contracts studied generated \$303.4 million of covered charges (or claim cost) for 1978 admissions and outpatient care. Under the terms of hospital contractual relationships and New York State statutes, the corporation does not reimburse its member hospitals on a charges basis; however, charge data are gathered and the entire study is presented on this basis. In addition, the 21/180 contract experience has been adjusted to equalize the value of benefits with the 120-day contract. The adjustment for individual contracts was to increase the inpatient nonmaternity claim cost by 6.46 percent; for family contracts, the corresponding factor is 5.04 percent. Table 1B gives the distribution of covered charges by category.

The components of the inpatient covered charges are presented in the next series of tables. Inpatient claim costs can be thought of as a product of inpatient admission rates, inpatient lengths of stay, and inpatient average total charges per patient day. In addition, for individual female and family members, separate figures can be presented for nonmaternity and maternity. Following this format, the admissions per contract year are given in Tables 2A, 2B, and 2C.

The next variable is length of stay. Tables 3A and 3B present the non-maternity lengths of stay and the total lengths of stay. (Maternity length of stay averaged 3.59 days per claim and is not displayed on a category basis.) Admission rates and lengths of stay combine to produce total inpatient days, presented in Table 3C. The substantial variation in utilization among the various contract types is one of the key points of the study and is developed in detail.

The covered charges per contract year, or inpatient claim cost, can be thought of as the product of days per contract year, as developed above, and charges per day. For the year studied, the average level of charges per day was \$313. (There is some variation in charges per day for the various categories. This variation is associated with different utilization

of ancillary services, among many other factors; a study of this phenomenon is outside the scope of this paper.) Table 4 presents the covered charges per contract year for the major categories.

In an attempt to understand the causes for the varying levels of utilization and claim costs, certain possible contributing factors can be ruled out. First, the benefits are identical. Second, the geographical area is well defined and relatively small (the lower seventeen counties of New York State). The size of the categories would seem to rule out a major contribution from chance fluctuation. There remain differences in distribution by age and sex, and different underwriting and selection standards. The next section of this paper will focus on utilization parameters for the various categories in an attempt to sort out key factors in the different claim cost experience.

Utilization of inpatient hospital care varies by age and sex. Arthur Hunter and Allen Thompson, in their article entitled "Hospital Service Insurance," presented data on this subject almost forty years ago (TASA, XLIV [March 1943], 5). It seems logical, therefore, to start with a study of the data on this basis.

Tables 5A-5I present the components of the claim costs per contract year for each of the categories. The claim cost is separated for nonmaternity, maternity (where applicable), and outpatient. In addition, figures are supplied for detailed age categories. These data are classified by the age of the subscriber (contract holder or employee) rather than the age of the claimant. As can be seen, there is a wide variety of claim cost for the various categories; furthermore, the degree of age and sex variation differs by category.

A "standardized weighting" subtotal is presented on each of the non-group tables for the age-20-64 category. This statistic, which also is shown in other tables, is calculated by replacing the actual age-sex distribution of the direct payment populations with the group distribution. Looking at Table 5F as an example, the actual claim cost per contract year for the direct payment conversion family cell was \$788.25. Had the actual age distribution been the same as for group, the average would have been \$764.00.

The next series of tables separates the components of inpatient utilization. Tables 6A-6G give admission rates for nonmaternity, maternity, and total. The index values that are displayed are simply the ratios of the cell data to the data for the age-40-44 category. As such, the index values are a simple measure of age slope.

Following the admissions data, the next step in the analysis is length-

of-stay data as presented in Tables 7A-7F. The combination of the admissions data and the length-of-stay data produces inpatient days per contract year; Tables 8A, 8B, and 8C present this information.

The data by age and sex permit restatement of the earlier data for the group and direct payment cells, controlling for age, sex, and family differences. Tables 9A-9G restate Tables 2A-4 as if the age, sex, and percent family distributions for direct payment followed the distributions for group. This permits quantification of the impact of selection differences between group remittance and direct payment on admissions, length of stay, and claim cost, holding other factors constant.

Direct payment utilization is more adverse than group remittance. However, miscellaneous direct payment is producing more favorable utilization than group remittance after controlling for age, sex, and family distribution. It should be noted that Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Greater New York definitely follows more liberal underwriting standards for small group business than do other insurers. This suggests that a degree of caution should be followed in generalizing from some of these comparisons.

#### III. CONTINUATION STUDY

As a separate study, continuance table values were developed from the inpatient claim experience. The continuance data are drawn from calendar year 1977 (the age-sex study is from calendar year 1978). These data are presented in Tables 10A-10C.

Table 10D, unlike all the other tables in this paper, is drawn from a sample of large experience-rated groups, each of which provides 365-day coverage for all conditions including mental and nervous disorders. The first three columns of data, which show a much shorter length of stay, were derived from groups that do not cover this condition in psychiatric specialty hospitals. The second three columns of data give the corresponding figures for groups providing coverage for all hospitals.

The mental and nervous hospital admission data must be used with caution for at least two reasons. First, there is wide variation in the number and type of psychiatric beds available in different regions of the country. Second, questions of benefit administration may also arise. From the point of view of establishing length-of-stay guidelines, psychiatric diagnoses probably present more uncertainty than all other conditions. Determining when a patient is ready for discharge will reflect not only the patient's condition but also a host of social and environmental factors outside of the hospital setting that the patient will have to face. The insurer must maintain continual vigilance to prevent many patients from remaining in the hospital for the full duration of benefits.

Despite these limitations, it is clear that mental and nervous specialty hospital inpatient admissions represent a very substantial portion of long length-of-stay cases and, as such, pose important problems for benefit design and administration, as well as for pricing.

The final section of this study is an analysis of the medicare supplementary coverage claim cost per contract year. These data are presented in Tables 11A, 11B, and 11C. Careful attention must be paid to the benefit design that is studied here: Appendix B, II, gives the required information. In looking at the supplementary benefit components, the "other" category is dominated by benefit payments after the exhaustion of the ninety-day medicare benefit and, if applicable, the lifetime reserve days.

#### IV. ADDITIONAL DATA

For medicare supplementary coverage, it is to be noted that the under 65 claim cost is significantly higher than the claim cost in any of the cells in the 65 and over experience. In particular, the outpatient cost shows an extreme variance, viz., in Table 11C, \$1.49 for all persons 65 and over vs. \$89.99 for persons under 65. This is because the experience of the under 65 disabled population is greatly affected by persons with End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD). The outpatient component of cost for persons with ESRD is very largely the cost of ambulatory hemodialysis.

The authors have additional data available to them in the form of a historical analysis of the various components of outpatient care, that is, accident, sudden and serious illness, ambulatory surgery, and so forth. Should reader interest warrant it, these data can be presented subsequently.

					Ho	SPITAL UTILIZ	ATION				
Category		missions per ct Year		t Length Stay	Inpatient Contra				Outpatient Co er Contract Yo	overed Charges	
					Individu	al/Family		Direct Payment			
	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Group	Conversions	Miscellaneou
				Da	la by Payment F	Basis and Sex at	Attained Age 2	0–64			
Nonmaternity	2A 2B	9A 9B	3A	9D							
Total	2C	9Ĉ	3B	9E	3C	9F	4	<b>9</b> G			
					Data by	Age and Payme	ent Basis				
Individual male Individual female	6A		7A		8A				5A	5D	5G
Nonmaternity			7B		<i>.</i>		· · · · · · · · · ·				
Maternity	6D 6E		7D 7E		8B				60	5E	5H
Family contracts Nonmaternity			7C	<i></i>					, ,		
Maternity	6F 6G		7 <b>D</b> 7 <b>F</b>		8C				5C	5F	51

Aggregate Distributions by Plan and Payment Provision		CONTINUANCE TABLES	
Distribution of contracts	1A 1B	Nonmaternity and total by type of contract  Total maternity and nonmaternity by type of contract  Components of maternity experience  Mental and nervous hospital admissions	10A 10B 10C 10D

MEDICARE SUPPLEMENTARY COVERAGE CLAIM COST PER CONTRACT YEAR						
Individual male	IIA IIB					
Total individual	iic					

TABLE 1A

Distribution of Contracts; Attained Ages 20-64

PAYMENT BASIS	Ini	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMIL Y	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	12.6%	16.7%	29.3%	33.2%	62.5%
Conversion	6.2 3.5	10.7 5.2	16.9 8.7	8.1 3.8	25.0 12.5
Subtotal	9.7%	15.9%	25.6%	11.9%	37.5%
Grand total	22.3%	32.6%	54.9%	45.1%	100.0%

Note.—Total contracts: 647,050.

TABLE 1B

Distribution of Covered Charges; Attained Ages 20–64

PAYMENT BASIS	lni	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	5.7%	11.6%	17.3%	47.3%	64.6%
Conversion	3.6 1.3	9.0 3.1	12.6 4.4	13.6 4.8	26.2 9.2
Subtotal	4.9%	12.1%	17.0%	18.4%	35.4%
Grand total	10.6%	23.7%	34.3%	65.7%	100.0%

Note.—Total covered charges: \$303.4 million.

TABLE 2A

Nonmaternity Inpatient Admissions per Contract Year
at Attained Ages 20–64
for Actual Age and Sex Distribution

PAYMENT BASIS	ln	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	.074	.114	.097	.259	.183
Conversion	.084	.125	.110	.282	.166
Miscellaneous	.064	.098	.084	.231	.129
Subtotal	.077	.116	.101	.265	.153
Grand total	.075	.115	.099	.261	.172

TABLE 2B

Maternity Inpatient Admissions per Contract Year
at Attained Ages 20–64
for Actual Age and Sex Distribution

Payment Basis	Individual Female Contracts	Family Contracts	Total Contracts
Group remittance Direct payment:	.011	.049	.036
Conversion	.010 .009	.061 .055	.032 .029
Subtotal	.010	.059	.031
Grand total	.010	.052	.034

TABLE 2C

Total Inpatient Admissions per Contract Year
 Attained Ages 20–64
 FOR Actual Age and Sex Distribution

PAYMENT BASIS	Ini	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	.074	.125	.103	.309	.212
Conversion	.084	.135	.116	.343	.190
Miscellaneous	.064	.107	.090	.286	.149
Subtotal	.077	.126	.107	.325	.176
Grand total	.075	.125	.105	.313	.199

TABLE 3A

Nonmaternity Inpatient Length of Stay (Days)

At Attained Ages 20–64

FOR Actual Age and Sex Distribution

PAYMENT BASIS	In	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	8.47	8.49	8.48	7.04	7.40
Conversion	9.20	9.44	9.38	7.55	8.37
Miscellaneous	7.77	8.09	7.99	6.43	7.14
Subtotal	8.77	9.07	8.98	7.24	8.02
Grand total	8.61	8.77	8.72	7.10	7.61

TABLE 3B

TOTAL INPATIENT LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS)
AT ATTAINED AGES 20-64
FOR ACTUAL AGE AND SEX DISTRIBUTION

PAYMENT	IN	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	TOTAL	
BASIS	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	8.47	8.02	8.16	6.50	6.88
Conversion	9.20	8.97	9.03	6.88	7.77
Miscellaneous	7.77	7.66	7.69	5.86	6.62
Subtotal	8.77	8.60	8.65	6.59	7.45
Grand total	8.61	8.30	8.39	6.52	7.07

TABLE 3C

TOTAL INPATIENT DAYS PER CONTRACT YEAR
AT ATTAINED AGES 20-64
FOR ACTUAL AGE AND SEX DISTRIBUTION

PAYMENT	In	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	TOTAL	
BASIS	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	.623	1.004	.840	2.006	1.459
Conversion	.777 .494	1.212 .819	1.052 .687	2.358 1.676	1.476 .989
Subtotal	.674	1.084	.928	2.140	1.313
Grand total	.645	1.043	.881	2.042	1.404

PAYMENT Basis	INI	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	\$213.15	\$326.49	\$277.71	\$667.94	\$484.83
Conversion	268.42	394.48	348.13	788.25	490.99
Miscellaneous	178.14	280.64	239.09	588.59	345.63
Subtotal	\$235.69	\$357.30	\$311.03	\$724.36	\$442.46
Grand total	\$222.97	\$341.49	\$293.23	\$682.86	\$468.94

TABLE 5A

Claim Cost per Contract Year—Group
Individual Male

		INPATIENT		1	TOTAL	NUMBER
ATTAINED AGES	Non- maternity	Maternity	Total	OUT-	INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT	OF CONTRACTS
Major ages:						
20–29	\$ 99.54	\$0	\$ 99.54	\$12.76	\$112.30	44,433
30~39	143.59	0	143.59	9.64	153.23	14,423
40~44	204.52	0	204.52	12.18	216.70	3,941
45–49	292.10	0	292.10	9.09	301.19	3,961
50–54	438.57	0	438.57	9.98	448.55	4,713
55–59	448.83	0	448.83	8.16	456.99	5,022
60-64	714.09	0	714.09	11.02	725.11	5,173
Ages 20-64	\$201.70	\$0	\$201.70	\$11.45	\$213.15	81,666
Balance of experience:					1	
Under 20	\$107.21	\$0	\$107.21	\$15.34	\$122.55	5,149
65 and over	221.02	0	221.02	2.88	223.90	1,389
Grand total	\$196.49	\$0	\$196.49	\$11.54	\$208.03	88,204

TABLE 5B

CLAIM COST PER CONTRACT YEAR—GROUP
INDIVIDUAL FEMALE

		INPATIENT			TOTAL	NUMBER
Attained Ages	Non- maternity	Maternity	Total	OUT- PATIENT	INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT	OF CONTRACTS
Major ages:					1	
20-29	\$134.67	\$21.02	\$155.69	\$13.33	\$169.02	40,008
30~39	200,44	27.10	227.54	12.48	240.02	15,386
40-44	296.11	3.95	300.06	12.22	312.28	5,319
45–49	362.45	.31	362.76	12.14	374.90	6,423
50~54	389.34	.11	389.45	11.34	400.79	9,344
55-59	462.21	0	462.21	11.08	473.29	13,535
60~64	576.47	0	576.47	10. <del>96</del>	587.43	18,065
Ages 20-64	\$302.40	\$11.86	\$314.26	\$12.23	\$326,49	108,080
Balance of experience:					1	
Under 20	\$117.88	\$ 8.14	\$126.02	\$13.57	\$139.59	5,158
65 and over	94.35	0	94.35	1.78	96.13	2,247
Grand total	\$290.11	\$11.46	\$301.57	\$12.09	\$313.66	115,485

TABLE 5C

Claim Cost per Contract Year—Group
Family

		INPATIENT			TOTAL.	NUMBER
ATTAINED Ages	Non- maternity	Maternity	Total	OUT- PATIENT	INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT	OF CONTRACTS
Major ages: 20-29	467.71 600.42	\$192.53 101.77 28.50 12.49 4.51	\$ 492.36 474.16 496.21 612.91 732.09	\$32.17 41.96 44.71 39.16 29.73	\$ 524.53 516.12 540.92 652.07 761.82	25,991 55,785 26,775 27,399 30,141
55–59 60–64	821.24	1.18 .97	822.42 1,057.96	22.49 21.28	844.91 1,079.24	28.855 19.687
Ages 20-64	\$ 577.62	\$ 55.80	\$ 633.42	\$34.52	\$ 667.94	214,633
Balance of experience: Under 20 65 and over	\$ 240.16 389.59	\$157.48 6.57	\$ 397.64 396.16	\$39.37 8.77	\$ 437.01 404.93	254 1,825
Grand total	\$ 575.64	\$ 55.50	\$ 631.14	\$34.32	\$ 665.46	216,712

TABLE 5D

CLAIM COST PER CONTRACT YEAR

DIRECT PAYMENT—CONVERSION

INDIVIDUAL MALE

		INPATIENT		Out-	TOTAL	NUMBER
ATTAINED Ages	Non- maternity	Maternity	Total	OUT- PATIENT	Inpatient and Outpatient	OF CONTRACTS
Major ages:						
20-29	\$140.48	\$0	\$140.48	\$14.12	\$154.60	21,029
30–39	177.77	0	177.77	7.97	185.74	6,899
40-44	263.96	0	263.96	12.09	276.05	1,819
45–49	331.31	0	331.31	8.55	339.86	1,989
50-54	383.04	0	383.04	8.62	391.66	2,204
55–59	583.41	0	583.41	7.55	590,96	2,385
60–64	720.69	0	720.69	9.94	730.63	3,823
Ages 20-64:					T	
Actual weighting	\$256.81	\$0	\$256.81	\$11.61	\$268.42	40,148
Standardized weighting	240.27	0	240.27	11.68	251.95	\
Balance of experience:						
Under 20	\$214.79	\$0	\$214.79	\$21.81	\$236.60	2,384
65 and over	607.13	0	607.13	2.65	609.78	377
Grand total	\$257.56	\$0	\$257.56	\$12.10	\$269.66	42,909

TABLE 5E

CLAIM COST PER CONTRACT YEAR

DIRECT PAYMENT—CONVERSION

INDIVIDUAL FEMALE

		INPATIENT	-		TOTAL	NUMBER
ATTAINED Ages	Non- maternity	Maternity	Total	OUT- PATIENT	INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT	OF CONTRACTS
Major ages: 20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	199.22 314.11 387.98 468.15 512.79	\$32.87 23.88 3.67 .27 0 0	\$180.42 223.10 317.78 388.25 468.15 512.79 539.92	\$12.53 9.01 9.54 9.95 10.67 12.89 9.39	\$192.95 232.11 327.32 398.20 478.82 525.68 549.31	18,038 7,663 2,725 3,718 6,090 9,930 20,873
Ages 20-64: Actual weighting Standardized weighting Balance of experience: Under 20		\$11.40 15.76 \$21.13	\$383.66 332.19 \$211.92	\$10.82 11.09 \$16.90	\$394.48 343.28 \$228.82	69,037
65 and over	\$367.30	\$11.50	\$378.80	\$10.85	\$389.65	72,784

TABLE 5F

CLAIM COST PER CONTRACT YEAR

DIRECT PAYMENT—CONVERSION

FAMILY

		INPATIENT			TOTAL.	NUMBER
ATTAINED AGES	Non- maternity	Maternity	Total	OUT- PATIENT	INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT	OF CON- TRACTS
Major ages: 20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	397.93 559.32 662.67 884.96 891.83	\$310.53 130.17 27.40 9.94 4.12 2.33 1.04	\$ 682.06 528.10 586.72 672.61 889.08 894.16 1,172.15	\$32.20 37.44 39.20 38.11 29.36 24.86 18.16	\$ 714.26 565.54 625.92 710.72 918.44 919.02 1,190.31	5,745 12,261 6,096 6,640 7,289 7,724 6,718
Ages 20-64: Actual weighting Standardized weighting	\$ 686.64 654.37	\$ 69.90 77.11	\$ 756.54 731.48	\$31.71 32.52	\$ 788.25 764.00	52,473
Balance of experience: Under 20		\$434.78 5.66	\$1,256.83 803.13	\$28.99 11.33	\$1,285.82 814.46	69 353
Grand total	\$ 687.55	\$ 69.95	\$ 757.50	\$31.57	\$ 789.07	52,895

TABLE 5G

Claim Cost per Contract Year

Direct Payment—Miscellaneous

Individual Male

		INPATIENT			TOTAL	NUMBER
ATTAINED AGES	Non- maternity	Maternity	Total	OUT- PATIÊNT	INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT	OF CONTRACTS
Major ages: 20–29	\$ 93.16	\$0	\$ 93.16	\$10.66	\$103.82	14,627
30–39	182.99	0	182.99	13.61	196.60	3,159
40-44	225.32	[ 0	225.32	16.79	242.11	893
45~49		0	256.25	7.66	263.91	914
50-54	393.73	0	393.73	9.60	403.33	1,041
55–59	393.51	0	393.51	7.64	401.15	1,047
60–64	540.65	0	540.65	7.84	548.49	1,148
Ages 20-64					1	
Actual weighting	\$167.27	\$0	\$167.27	\$10.87	\$178.14	22,829
Standardized weighting	187.47	0	187.47	10.91	198.38	
Balance of experience:						
Under 20	\$ 50.99	\$0	\$ 50.99	\$ 9.23	\$ 60.22	2,923
65 and over	611.28	0	611.28	6.46	617.74	155
Grand total	\$156.82	\$0	\$156.82	\$10.65	\$167.47	25,907

TABLE 5H

Claim Cost per Contract Year

Direct Payment—Miscellaneous

Individual Female

		INPATIENT			TOTAL	Number
ATTAINED AGES	Non- maternity	Maternity	Total	OUT- PATIENT	INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT	OF CONTRACTS
Major ages: 20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	211.38 272.27 359.62 326.76 362.90	\$17.91 38.08 5.26 0 0	\$120.85 249.46 277.53 359.62 326.76 362.90 357.03	\$11.97 13.95 11.18 10.91 10.79 7.66 7.39	\$132.82 263.41 288.71 370.53 337.55 370.56 364.42	9,939 3,440 1,521 2,016 3,245 5,480 7,848
Ages 20-64: Actual weighting Standardized weighting Balance of experience: Under 20		\$ 9.46 12.31 \$ 5.42	\$270.46 248.65 \$ 52.94 497.98	\$10.18 10.74 \$ 7.93 2.75	\$280.64 259.39 \$ 60.87 500.73	33,489 2,397 729
Grand total	\$251.74	\$ 9.01	\$260.75	\$ 9.89	\$270.64	36,615

TABLE 51

Claim Cost per Contract Year

Direct Payment—Miscellaneous

Family

		INPATIENT			_	TOTAL INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT	NUMBER
ATTAINED Ages	Non- maternity	Maternity		Total	Out- PATIENT		OF CON- TRACTS
Major ages: 20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	499.01	\$206.44 101.63 34.91 17.34 4.37 2.41 .44	\$	531.70 504.72 462.53 516.35 569.44 563.29 881.21	\$33.51 43.48 45.86 40.57 24.06 19.90 15.11	\$ 565.21 548.20 508.39 556.92 593.50 583.19 896.32	2,984 6,671 3,380 3,229 3,201 2,914 2,316
Ages 20-64: Actual weighting Standardized weighting	\$494.00 496.74	\$ 60.33 58.96	\$	554.33 555.70	\$34.26 33.70	\$ 588.59 589.40	24,695
Balance of experience: Under 20	\$928.26 782.44	\$162.79 0	\$1	.091.05 782.44	\$23.26 10.20	\$1,114.31 792.64	43 98
Grand total	\$495.89	\$ 60.27	\$	556.16	\$34.15	\$ 590.31	24,836

#### TABLE 6A

## INPATIENT UTILIZATION TOTAL INPATIENT ADMISSIONS PER CONTRACT YEAR INDIVIDUAL MALE

	1	EXPERIENCE R	ATES	INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES	C	Direct	Payment	C	Direct Payment		
	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
Major ages:							
20-29	.050	.061	.045	.676	.649	.523	
30-39	.057	.059	.070	.770	,628	.814	
40–44	.074	.094	.086	1.000	1.000	1.000	
45–49	.104	.111	.088	1.405	1.181	1.023	
50-54	.124	.108	.119	1.676	1,149	1.384	
55–59		.148	.115	1.865	1.574	1.337	
60-64	.193	.190	.152	2.608	2.021	1.767	
Ages 20-64:	<del></del>		<b></b>				
Actual weighting	.074	.084	.064		1	} <i></i>	
Standardized weighting	.074	.081	.069	1.000	1.095	.932	
Balance of experience:		1			·	·····	
Under 20	.058	.109	.034				
65 and over	.061	.204	.213				
Grand total	.072	.087	.061				

TABLE 6B

INPATIENT UTILIZATION

NONMATERNITY INPATIENT ADMISSIONS PER CONTRACT YEAR

INDIVIDUAL FEMALE

	ı	Experience R	ATES		INDEX VALL	JES .
ATTAINED AGES		Direct	Payment		Direct Payment	
7.013	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous
Major ages:						
20-29	.074	.074	.061	.540	.638	.513
30–39	.097	.086	.108	.708	.741	.908
40–44	.137	.116	.119	1.000	1.000	1.000
45–49	141	.139	.135	1.029	1.198	1.134
50–54	.141	.144	.122	1.029	1.241	1.025
55–59	.144	.161	.107	1.051	1.388	.899
60–64	.164	.158	.109	1.197	1.362	.916
Ages 20-64:						
Actual weighting	.114	.125	.098			
Standardized weighting	.114	.113	.094	1.000	.991	.825
Balance of experience:						
Under 20	.067	.115	.025			
65 and over	.030	.103	.147			
Grand total	.110	.124	.094			

TABLE 6C

Inpatient Utilization

Nonmaternity Inpatient Admissions per Contract Year

Family

	1	Experience R	ATES	INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES		Direct	Payment	C	Direct Payment		
	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
Major ages:							
20-29	.186	.224	.197	.732	.836	.785	
30~39	.232	.229	.241	.913	.854	.960	
40-44	.254	.268	.251	1.000	1.000	1.000	
45–49	.286	.294	.248	1.126	1.097	.988	
50-54		.307	212	1.122	1.146	.845	
55–59		.313	210	1.122	1.168	.837	
60-64	.328	.364	.240	1.291	1.358	.956	
Ages 20-64:					<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	
Actual weighting	.259	.282	.231		.[	1	
Standardized weighting	.259	.276	.229	1.000	1.066	.884	
Balance of experience:		<del>                                     </del>				L	
Under 20	.173	.348	.163				
65 and over	.128	.280	.316				
Grand total	.258	.282	.231				

TABLE 6D
INPATIENT UTILIZATION
MATERNITY INPATIENT ADMISSIONS PER CONTRACT YEAR
INDIVIDUAL FEMALE

	EXPERIENCE RATES					
ATTAINED AGES	C	Direct Payment				
	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous			
Major ages: 20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	.021 .023 .004 .001 0	.031 .021 .004 0 0	.019 .033 .005 0 0			
Ages 20-64: Actual weighting Standardized weighting	.011 .011	.010 .014	.009 .012			
Balance of experience: Under 20	0.010	.024	.006			
Grand total	.011	.011	.009			

Inpatient Utilization
Total Inpatient Admissions per Contract Year
Individual Female

**TABLE 6E** 

		Experience R	ATES	INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES	Direct Payment			Group	Direct	Payment	
	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
Major ages:							
20-29	.095	. 105	.080	.674	.875	.645	
30–39	.120	.107	.141	.851	.892	1.137	
40-44	.141	.120	.124	1.000	1.000	1.000	
45–49	.142	.139	.135	1.007	1.158	1.089	
50-54	.141	.144	.122	1.000	1.200	.984	
55-59	.144	.161	.107	1.021	1.342	.863	
60-64	.164	.158	.109	1.163	1.317	.879	
Ages 20-64:		1				<u> </u>	
Actual weighting	.125	.135	.107			.}	
Standardized weighting	.125	.127	.106	1.000	1.016	.848	
Balance of experience:		1			<del>*</del>	*	
Under 20	.077	.139	.031				
65 and over	.030	.103	.147				
Grand total	.121	.135	.103				

TABLE 6F
INPATIENT UTILIZATION
MATERNITY INPATIENT ADMISSIONS PER CONTRACT YEAR
FAMILY

	EXPERIENCE RATES					
ATTAINED AGES		Direct Payment				
	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous			
Major ages:						
20-29	.171	.268	.184			
30-39	.089	.113	.094			
40-44	.025	.027	.033			
45–49	.011	.011	.018			
50-54	.005	.004	.005			
55–59	100.	.002	.001			
60-64	.001	.001	.001			
Ages 20-64:						
Actual weighting	.049	.061	.055			
Standardized weighting	.049	.068	.055			
Balance of experience:						
Under 20	.158	.377	.209			
65 and over	.007	.003	.000			
Grand total	.049	.061	.055			

TABLE 6G
INPATIENT UTILIZATION
TOTAL INPATIENT ADMISSIONS PER CONTRACT YEAR
FAMILY

}	1	Experience R	ATES	INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES		Direct	Payment		Direct Payment		
,	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
Major ages:		ļ — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —				ļ	
20-29	.357	.492	.381	1.280	1.668	1.342	
30–39	.321	.342	.335	1.151	1.159	1.180	
40-44	.279	.295	.284	1.000	1.000	1.000	
45–49	.297	.305	.266	1.065	1.034	.937	
50-54	.290	.311	.217	1.039	1.054	.764	
55-59	.286	.315	.211	1.025	1.068	.743	
60–64	.329	.365	.241	1.179	1.237	.849	
Ages 20-64:		<u> </u>					
Actual weighting	.309	.343	.286				
Standardized weighting	.309	.344	.284	1.000	1.113	.919	
Balance of experience:							
Under 20	.331	.725	.372				
65 and over	.135	.283	.316				
Grand total	.307	.343	.286				

TABLE 7A

INPATIENT UTILIZATION
TOTAL INPATIENT LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS)
INDIVIDUAL MALE

Attained		DIRECT	PAYMENT	TOTAL GROUP	INDEX
AGES  ajor ages: 20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64  Ages 20-64: Actual weighting Standardized weighting alance of experience:	GROUP	Conversion	Miscellaneous	AND DIRECT PAYMENT	VALUES
Major ages:					
	6.52	7.26	6.59	6.76	.783
30–39	7.88	8.90	7.20	8.06	.934
	9.04	8.35	7.69	8.63	1.000
	8.69	9.12	7.31	8.67	1.005
	10.49	10.35	8.86	10.24	1.187
	10.21	11.23	11.15	10.62	1.231
60–64	10.62	11.64	10.07	10.96	1.270
Ages 20-64:			T		
Actual weighting	8.47	9.20	7.77	8.61	
	8.47	8.99	7.99	8.56	
Balance of experience:					
Under 20	6.30	6.12	4.66	5.98	
65 and over	12.64	11.81	11.76	12.16	
Grand total	8.42	9.04	7.66	8.52	

TABLE 7B

Inpatient Utilization

Nonmaternity Inpatient Length of Stay (Days)

Individual Female

ATTAINED		DIRECT	PAYMENT	TOTAL GROUP	INDEX
Ages  Major ages: 20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64  Ages 20-64:	GROUP	Conversion	Miscellaneous	AND DIRECT PAYMENT	VALUES
Major ages:					
20-29	5.91	6.28	5.17	5.92	.812
30-39	6.74	7.33	5.66	6.73	.923
40-44	7.06	8.32	6.36	7.29	1,000
45–49	8.15	8.78	8.05	8.33	1.143
50-54	9.02	10.40	8.50	9.41	1.291
	10.32	10.18	9.87	10.20	1.399
	10.98	10.75	10.15	10.77	1.477
Ages 20-64:		†	<u> </u>		
Actual weighting	8.49	9.44	8.09	8.77	
Standardized weighting	8.49	8.88	7.58	8.50	
Balance of experience:		1	1		
Under 20	5.76	5.16	5.41	5.49	
65 and over	10.49	17.32	11.67	13.95	
Grand total	8.42	9.44	8.15	8.75	

TABLE 7C

INPATIENT UTILIZATION

NONMATERNITY INPATIENT LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS)

FAMILY

ATTAINED		DIRECT	PAYMENT	TOTAL GROUP	INDEX
Ages	GROUP	Conversion	Miscellaneous	AND DIRECT PAYMENT	VALUES
Major ages:					
20-29	5.71	5.61	5.36	5.66	.945
30–39	5.33	5.60	5.00	5.35	.893
40-44	6.02	6.25	5.27	5.99	1.000
45–49	6.64	6.99	6.25	6.68	1.115
50-54	7.83	8.62	8.01	8.00	1.336
55–59	8.80	8.83	7.92	8.76	1.462
60-64	9.75	9.88	10.13	9.80	1.636
Ages 20-64:					
Actual weighting	7.04	7.55	6.43	7.10	
Standardized weighting	7.04	7.35	6.49	7.06	
Balance of experience:			1		
Under 20	4.61	8.21	18.57	7.07	
65 and over	9.41	12.16	8.19	10.05	
Grand total	7.05	7.58	6.45	7.11	

TABLE 7D

INPATIENT UTILIZATION

MATERNITY LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS)

COMBINED GROUP AND DIRECT PAYMENT

Attained Ages	Individual Female	Family	Total
Major ages: 20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	3.34 2.73 1.75* 2.00*	3.77 3.62 3.42 3.09 3.14 3.03 3.38	3.66 3.60 3.39 3.08 3.14 3.03 3.38
Ages 20-64-actual weighting	3.22	3.65	3.60
Balance of experience: Under 20	2.71	3.56 3.46	3.02 3.46
Grand total	3.20	3.65	3.59

<sup>\*</sup> Fewer than 10 claims.

TABLE 7E

INPATIENT UTILIZATION
TOTAL INPATIENT LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS)

#### INDIVIDUAL FEMALE

ATTAINED		DIRECT	PAYMENT	TOTAL GROUP	Index
AGES  Iajor ages: 20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64  Ages 20-64: Actual weighting Standardized weighting Galance of experience:	GROUP	Conversion	Miscellaneous	AND DIRECT PAYMENT	VALUES
Major ages:					
	5.30	5.43	4.64	5.26	.737
30–39	6.11	6.51	5.15	6.07	.850
40–44	6.93	8.17	6.22	7.14	1.000
	8.13	8.76	8.05	8.31	1.164
	9.02	10.40	8.50	9.41	1.318
55–59	10.32	10.18	9.87	10.20	1.429
	10.98	10.75	10.15	10.77	1.508
Ages 20-64:		1			
	8.02	8.97	7.66	8.30	
Standardized weighting	8.02	8.25	7.08	7.97	
Balance of experience:		T			
Under 20	5.30	4.75	5.07	5.05	
65 and over	10.49	17.32	11.67	13.95	
Grand total	7.95	8.95	7.72	8.27	

TABLE 7F

## INPATIENT UTILIZATION TOTAL INPATIENT LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS) FAMILY

ATTAINED		DIRECT	PAYMENT	TOTAL GROUP	INDEX
AGES	GROUP	Conversion	Miscellaneous	AND DIRECT PAYMENT	VALUES
Major ages:		1			
20-29	4.76	4.70	4.54	4.73	.823
30–39	4.86	4.99	4.57	4.85	.843
40–44	5.79	5.96	5.05	5.75	1.000
45–49	6.50	6.86	6.04	6.53	1.136
50-54	7.77	8.54	7.89	7.93	1.379
55–59	8.77	8.80	7.89	8.73	1.518
60–64	9.73	9.87	10.10	9.79	1.703
Ages 20-64:	<del></del>	1		1	
Actual weighting	6.50	6.88	5.86	6.52	
Standardized weighting	6.50	6.65	5.92	6.48	
Balance of experience:		1	<del>                                     </del>		
Under 20	4.05	5.98	9.88	5.31	
65 and over	9.10	12.11	8.19	9.82	
Grand total	6.50	6.91	5.88	6.54	

TABLE 8A

## INPATIENT UTILIZATION TOTAL INPATIENT DAYS PER CONTRACT YEAR INDIVIDUAL MALE

		Experience R	ATES	INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES	Direct Payment		Payment	C	Direct Payment		
1	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
Major ages:							
20-29	.323	.439	.294	.486	.560	.443	
30–39	.452	.525	.504	.680	.670	.760	
40-44	.665	.784	.663	1.000	1.000	1.000	
45–49	.900	1.013	.640	1.353	1.292	.965	
50-54	1.298	1.113	1.056	1.952	1.420	1.593	
55–59	1.411	1.667	1.278	2.122	2.126	1.928	
60–64	2.047	2.211	1.535	3.078	2.820	2.315	
Ages 20-64:							
Actual weighting	.623	.777	.494		.)		
Standardized weighting	.623	.725	.549	1.000	1.164	.881	
Balance of experience:	<del></del>	<del></del>					
Under 20	.367	,665	.159				
65 and over	.773	2.411	2.503				
Grand total	.610	.785	.468				

#### TABLE 8B

#### Inpatient Utilization Total Inpatient Days per Contract Year Individual Female

	1	Experience R	ATES	INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES		Direct	Payment		Direct	Payment	
	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
Major ages:			1				
20–29	.505	.569	.370	.515	.581	.479	
30~39	.731	.696	.725	.746	.710	.938	
40–44	.980	.980	.773	1.000	1.000	1.000	
45–49	1.152	1.221	1.090	1.176	1.246	1.410	
50–54	1.275	1.500	1.040	1.301	1.531	1.345	
55–59	1.487	1.635	1.053	1.517	1.668	1.362	
60–64	1.795	1.700	1.111	1.832	1.735	1.437	
Ages 20-64:							
Actual weighting	1.004	1.212	.819		.  <i></i>	.  <i></i>	
Standardized weighting	1.004	1.049	.750	1.000	1.045	.747	
Balance of experience:		T	1				
Under 20	.410	.663	.159				
65 and over	.317	1.781	1.713				
Grand total	.964	1.205	.793				

TABLE 8C
INPATIENT UTILIZATION
TOTAL INPATIENT DAYS PER CONTRACT YEAR
FAMILY

		Experience R	ATES	INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED Ages		Direct	Payment		Direct Payment		
1,1,1	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
Major ages:						1	
20-29	1.701	2.311	1.733	1.052	1.313	1.208	
30-39	1.559	1.704	1.532	.964	.968	1.068	
40-44	1.617	1.760	1.435	1.000	1.000	1.000	
45–49	1.932	2.088	1.608	1.195	1.186	1.121	
50-54	2.249	2.661	1.711	1.391	1.512	1.192	
55-59	2.509	2.770	1.667	1.552	1.574	1.162	
60-64	3.201	3.603	2.433	1.980	2.047	1.695	
Ages 20-64:		†			<u> </u>		
Actual weighting	2.006	2.358	1.676				
Standardized weighting	2.006	2.285	1.680	1.000	1.139	.837	
Balance of experience:		<del> </del>	1		<del></del>	<del></del>	
Under 20	1.339	4.333	3.674				
65 and over	1.227	3.431	2.592				
Grand total	1.999	2.368	1.684				

TABLE 9A

Nonmaternity Inpatient Admissions per Contract Year at Attained Ages 20–64,
Weighted as if Age, Sex, and Family Distribution in Direct
Payment Followed Distribution for Group
(See Table 2A)

PAYMENT Basis	ln	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	.074	.114	.097	.259	.183
Conversion	.081	.113	.101	.276	.194
Miscellaneous	.069	.094	.084	.229	.161
Subtotal	.078	.108	.097	.264	.186
Grand total	.075	.112	.097	.261	.184

TABLE 9B

MATERNITY INPATIENT ADMISSIONS PER CONTRACT YEAR AT
ATTAINED AGES 20–64, WEIGHTED AS IF AGE, SEX, AND FAMILY
DISTRIBUTION IN DIRECT PAYMENT FOLLOWED
DISTRIBUTION FOR GROUP
(See Table 2B)

Payment Basis	Individual Female Contracts	Family Contracts	Total Contracts
Group remittance Direct payment:	.011	.049	.036
Conversion	.014 .012	.068 .055	.050 .041
Subtotal	.013	.065	.048
Grand total	.012	.055	.041

TABLE 9C

Total Inpatient Admissions per Contract Year at Attained Ages 20–64,
Weighted as if Age, Sex, and Family Distribution in Direct
Payment Followed Distribution for Group
(See Table 2C)

PAYMENT Basis	ìn	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	.074	.125	.103	.309	.212
Conversion	.081	.127	.107	.344	.233
Miscellaneous	.069	.106	.090	.284	.193
Subtotal	.077	.122	.103	.328	.223
Grand total	.075	.124	.103	.316	.216

TABLE 9D

## Nonmaternity Inpatient Length of Stay (Days) at Attained Ages 20–64. Weighted as if Age, Sex, and Family Distribution in Direct Payment Followed Distribution for Group

(See Table 3A)

PAYMENT Basis	İNI	dividual Contra	FAMILY	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	8.47	8.49	8.48	7.04	7.40
Conversion	9.15 8.10	8.84 7.80	8.94 7.90	7.32 6.73	7.72 7.02
Subtotal	8.86	8.55	8.65	7.16	7.53
Grand total	8.60	8.51	8.54	7.08	7.44

#### TABLE 9E

#### Total Inpatient Length of Stay (Days) at Attained Ages 20–64, Weighted as if Age, Sex, and Family Distribution in Direct Payment Followed Distribution for Group

(See Table 3B)

PAYMENT BASIS	[N]	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	8.47	8.02	8.16	6.50	6.88
Conversion	9.15	8.28	8.55	6.71	7.13
Miscellaneous	8.10	7.36	7.59	6.19	6.51
Subtotal	8.85	8.02	8.28	6.56	6.95
Grand total	8.60	8.02	8.20	6.52	6.90

#### TABLE 9F

## TOTAL INPATIENT DAYS PER CONTRACT YEAR AT ATTAINED AGES 20-64, WEIGHTED AS IF AGE, SEX, AND FAMILY DISTRIBUTION IN DIRECT PAYMENT FOLLOWED DISTRIBUTION FOR GROUP

(See Table 3C)

PAYMENT BASIS	in	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	Total.	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	.623	1.004	.840	2.006	1.459
Conversion	.725	1.049	.910	2.285	1.640
Miscellaneous	.549	.750	.663	1.680	1.203
Subtotal	.679	.971	.846	2.128	1.526
Grand total	.643	.992	.842	2.049	1.483

TABLE 9G

## Inpatient Covered Charges per Contract Year at Attained Ages 20–64, Weighted as if Age, Sex, and Family Distribution in Direct Payment Followed Distribution for Group

(See Table 4)

PAYMENT Basis	INI	DIVIDUAL CONTRA	FAMILY	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	Subtotal	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS
Group remittance Direct payment:	\$213.15	\$326.49	\$277.71	\$667.94	\$484.83
Conversion Miscellaneous	251.95 198.38	343.28 259.39	303.97 233.13	764.00 589.40	548.14 422.23
Subtotal	\$238.03	\$321.48	\$285.56	\$718.62	\$515.42
Grand total	\$221.96	\$324.72	\$280.49	\$685.88	\$495.66

#### TABLE 10A

## SELECTED CONTINUANCE TABLE VALUES FOR EXPERIENCE COMPONENTS DAYS OF CARE RENDERED AS A PROPORTION OF TOTAL DAYS OF CARE OVER FIRST 120 DAYS OF STAY

Coverage		Days								
COVERAGE	5	10	15	20	25	30	60	90	120	
Nonmaternity:										
Group individual	.4569	.6802	.7979	.8680	.9116	.9397	.9886	.9977	1.0000	
Direct individual					.8782	.9135	.9806	.9957	1.0000	
Group family					.9278		.9890	.9974	1.0000	
Direct family	.4798	.7000	.8116	.8742	.9117	.9361	.9850	.9966	1.0000	
Nonmaternity and maternity:			}				- 1			
Group individual	.4650	.6861	.8017	.8705	.9132	.9408	.9888	.9977	1.0000	
Direct individual							.9807	.9958	1.0000	
Group family					.9338	.9537	.9899		1.0000	
Direct family										

TABLE 10B

## CONTINUANCE TABLES MATERNITY AND NONMATERNITY COMBINED GROUP REMITTANCE AND DIRECT PAYMENT

Days		COVERAGE	
DATS	Individual	Family	Combined
1	.1131	.1491	.1383
2	.2164	2783	.2597
3	.3042	.3841	3600
4	.3794	.4682	.4414
5	.4441	.5349	.5075
6	.5004	.5916	.5641
7	.5502	.6402	.6130
8	.5939	.6811	.6548
9	.6321	.7154	.6903
10	.6657	7449	.7210
11	.6954	.7704	.7478
12	.7217	.7927	.7713
13	.7452	.8123	.7920
14	.7663	.8296	.8105
15	.7853	.8447	.8268
16	.8025	.8583	.8415
17	.8181	.8704	.8546
18	.8323	.8812	.8665
19	.8453	.8909	.8771
20	.8572	.8995	.8867
21	.8680	.9073	.8954
22	.8778	.9142	.9032
23	.8867	.9204	.9102
24	.8950	.9260	.9167
25	.9026	.9312	9226
26	.9020	.9360	.9280
27	.9160	.9404	.9330
28	.9219	9445	.9377
29	.9274	.9481	.9419
30	.9324	.9515	.9458
35	.9493	.9635	9592
40	.9615	9721	.9689
45	.9704	.9783	.9760
50	.970 <del>4</del> .9772	.9831	.9813
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.9823	.9866	.9853
55	.9863	.9893	.9884
60	.9971	.9975	.9974
90	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
120	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total claims	29,602	90,334	119,936
Total days	261,658	605,724	867,382
Average length of stay	8.84	6.71	7.23
		<del></del>	L

Note.—The factor to increase claim cost for increasing the benefit period from 120 days to 365 days is approximately 0.5 percent. This factor is derived from continuance data of a large experience-rated group with 365 days coverage.

CONTINUANCE TABLES
COMPONENTS OF MATERNITY EXPERIENCE
COMBINED GROUP REMITTANCE AND DIRECT PAYMENT CONTRACTS

INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY COVERAGE

TABLE 10C

		Сомро	DNENTS		TOTAL
DAYS	Normal Delivery	Cesarean Section	Total Abortions	Other Complications	MATERNITY
1	.2956	.1341	.6411	.2726	.2790
2	.5884	2679	.8424	.4366	.5096
3	.8592	.4016	.9125	.5612	.7133
4	.9859	.5350	.9466	.6593	.8317
5	.9927	.6655	.9650	.7378	.8795
5	.9956	.7887	.9757	.8004	.9214
7	.9972	.8886	.9830	.8468	.9544
8	.9982	.9417	.9879	.8771	.9725
9	.9987	.9602	.9913	.8982	.9798
10	.9990	.9693	.9939	.9138	.9840
14	.9992	.9754	.9953	.9255	.9868
12	.9994	.9797	.9963	.9351	.9889
13	.9995	.9830	.9971	.9434	.9906
14	.9996	.9856	.9978	.9502	.9919
15	.9997	.9877	.9982	.9558	.9930
20	1.0000	.9939	.9991	.9742	.9963
25	1.0000	.9965	.9995	.9848	.9978
30	1.0000	.9979	.9999	.9903	.9987
50	1.0000	.9997	1.0000	.9989	.9998
90	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total claims	24,930	5,713	8,580	3,022	42,245
Total days	84,339	42,600	13,383	11.084	151,406
Average length of stay	3.38	7.46	1.56	3.67	3.58

TABLE 10D

Continuance Table for Mental and Nervous Hospital Admissions
Days of Care Rendered as a Proportion of the Total Days
of Care for 365-Day Coverage

	Coverage Provided								
LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS)	Gene	ral Hospitals	Only	All Hospitals					
	Adult	Child	Combined	Adult	Child	Combined			
10	.4954	.2869	.4455	.2011	.1043	.1528			
20	.7436	4666	.6774	.3486	.1882	.2685			
30	.8687	.5942	.8031	.4553	.2587	.3571			
40	.9287	.6857	.8706	.5368	.3165	.4267			
50	.9594	.7535	.9102	.6002	.3665	.4835			
60	.9775	.8127	.9381	.6482	.4140	.5313			
70	.9860	.8628	.9565	.6851	.4586	.5720			
90	.9971	.9333	.9819	.7481	.5376	.6430			
111	1.0000	.9564	.9896	.8000	.6055	.7028			
120	1.0000	.9661	.9919	.8185	.6321	.7254			
150	1.0000	.9865	.9968	.8694	.7124	.7910			
180	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	.9074	.7807	.8442			
201	1.0000	0000.1	1.0000	.9282	.8211	.8747			
250	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	.9645	.8985	.9315			
300	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	.9858	.9575	.9717			
365	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000			
Average length of stay (days)	14.65	26.54	16.40	42.39	86.68	56.91			
Claim cost as percentage of in- patient nonmaternity nonpsy-						1			
chiatric claim cost	7.4%	16.6%	8.5%	19.5%	77.9%	32.9%			

## TABLE 11A MEDICARE SUPPLEMENTARY COVERAGE CLAIM COST PER CONTRACT YEAR INDIVIDUAL MALE

Attained		Number				
Ages	Deductible	Copayment	Outpatient	Other	Total	OF CONTRACTS
Major ages:					1	
65–69	\$31.63	\$ 5.54	\$ 2.94	\$ 6.81	\$46.92	79,022
70-74		9.09	2.73	8.78	60.15	63,299
75–79		12.52	1.45	12.61	72.41	42,504
80-84	48.85	17.48	.86	15.67	82.86	24,537
85–89		21.16	.70	16.50	90.95	10.366
90-94		18.55	.23	20.05	92.55	2.471
95 and over		18.17	.18	.59	79.06	313
Ages 65 and over:			ļ ———	1		
Actual weighting	\$39.75	\$10.09	\$ 2.23	\$10.05	\$62.12	222,512
Standardized weighting	40.43	10.53	2.15	10.38	63.49	
Balance of experience:			t		†	<b>†</b>
Under 65	\$68.73	\$20.84	\$123.37	\$29.30	\$242.24	9,846
Grand total	\$40.98	\$10.55	\$ 7.36	\$10.86	\$69.75	232,358

TABLE 11B

MEDICARE SUPPLEMENTARY COVERAGE
CLAIM COST PER CONTRACT YEAR
INDIVIDUAL FEMALE

ATTAINED AGES		Number				
	Deductible	Copayment	Outpatient	Other	Total	CONTRACT
Major ages:					1	
65–69	\$24.02	\$ 5.88	\$ 1.76	\$ 6.05	\$37.71	125,026
70–74	28.31	7.94	1.19	8.06	45.50	111,862
75–79	32.53	11.97	.75	10.29	55.54	87,975
80-84	34.47	15.61	.39	10.98	61.45	57,151
85–89		20.07	.22	13.28	71.51	24,484
90–94	39.62	19.74	.31	8.56	68.23	5,941
95 and over		20.46	.29	13.17	81.79	708
Ages 65 and over:						
Actual weighting	\$29.53	\$10.15	\$ 1.09	\$ 8.65	\$49.42	413,147
Standardized weighting	29.30	9.93	1.11	8.56	48.90	
Balance of experience:				<b>†</b>	1	
Under 65	\$67.80	\$27.75	\$63.52	\$26.99	\$186.06	12,412
Grand total	\$30.64	\$10.66	\$ 2.91	\$9.19	\$53.40	425,559

TABLE 11C

## MEDICARE SUPPLEMENTARY COVERAGE CLAIM COST PER CONTRACT YEAR TOTAL INDIVIDUAL

ATTAINED		NUMBER				
AGES	Deductible	Copayment	Outpatient	Other	Total	OF CONTRACTS
Major ages:						
65–69	\$26.97	\$ 5.75	\$ 2.22	\$ 6.33	\$41.27	204.048
70–74	32.37	8.36	1.75	8.32	50.80	175,161
75–79		12.15	.98	11.04	61.03	130,479
80-84	38.79	16.17	.53	12.39	67.88	81,688
85–89	42.30	20.39	.36	14.24	77.29	34,850
90–94		19.39	.29	11.93	75.37	8,412
95 and over	51.63	19.76	.25	9.31	80.95	1,021
Ages 65 and over:				<b> </b>		
Actual weighting	\$33.11	\$10.13	\$ 1.49	\$ 9.13	\$53.86	635,659
Standardized weighting	33.11	10.13	1.49	9.13	53.86	j
Balance of experience:						
Under 65	\$68.21	\$24.69	\$89.99	\$28.02	\$210.91	22,258
Grand total	\$34.30	\$10.62	\$ 4.48	\$ 9.78	\$59.18	657,917

#### APPENDIX A

#### **GLOSSARY**

Group remittance contracts are issued to all employees of an employer/employee group. Some of the group remittance exposure is from associations rather than employer/employee groups. Premium payments are made by a group administrator. These contracts are issued to groups of three or more employees; the average group size is approximately seventeen employees.

Group conversion contracts are issued to employees after termination of employment from either a group covered by group remittance contracts or a group covered by a master group (or experience-rated) contract.

Miscellaneous direct payment contracts are issued to persons applying for coverage outside of a group. These contracts are underwritten with a medical questionnaire and may be accepted, rejected, or accepted with a rider excluding coverage for a specific condition or conditions. They are not written at a higher premium rate.

Individual contracts cover only contract holders and not other members of their families. Only individual contracts are issued to supplement medicare.

Family contracts cover contract holders and their eligible dependents. Because of cost considerations, when a family consists of an unmarried adult and one dependent child, the practice in our organization is to issue two individual contracts, one to the adult and one to the child. A family contract may be issued to a married contract holder with or without dependent children or to an unmarried contract holder with two or more dependent children.

For a family unit consisting of one medicare-eligible and one non-medicare-eligible person, an individual contract of each type is issued. If both members are medicare-eligible, two individual contracts are issued.

Number of days in a hospital stay are determined by counting the day of admission but not the day of discharge. An admission and discharge on the same day counts as a one-day stay. For example, a hospital stay with a Monday admission and a Thursday discharge is counted as a three-day stay.

#### APPENDIX B

#### BENEFITS

#### I. REGULAR HOSPITALIZATION CONTRACTS

Two varieties of contracts are included in the non-medicare-supplementary part of this study. They are the 21-full-day-and-180-half-day (21/180-day) contract and the 120-full-day contract.

Both of these contracts grant coverage to the contract holder and, if a family contract is issued, also to his spouse and any unmarried natural and adopted children under age 19. Foster children are not covered. Coverage for children ceases on December 31 of the calendar year in which they reach age 19 except that a small portion of the contracts in this study extend coverage to children up to age 23 or 25. Some of these ridered contracts require full-time college attendance for coverage beyond age 19. Children who became incapacitated as a result of mental retardation, physical handicap, mental illness, or developmental disability prior to age 19 remain covered under the family contract for as long as they are so incapacitated and remain unmarried.

Under the 21/180-day contract, full service benefits are available for semiprivate room care in a Blue Cross member hospital for the first twenty-one days of a benefit period; for the next 180 days of the benefit period, the subscriber is required to pay half of the hospital's charges for semi-private care. (Group experience under the 21/180-day contract is not included in this study.) Under the 120-full-day contract, full service benefits are available for semiprivate room care in a Blue Cross member hospital for the first 120 days of a benefit period. While coverage is less liberal for nonmember hospitals, essentially all acute-care general hospitals are members. For purposes of computing a benefit period, multiple hospital stays are added together when they are not separated by at least ninety days. However, this study treated readmissions as separate cases.

#### A. Outpatient Benefits

Outpatient hospital benefits for the initial visit to the emergency room and operating room outpatient services are covered in full under both contracts. Subsequent care in the emergency room is not covered. Care in a clinic is not covered, and there is a thirty-visit limitation per year. Outpatient diagnostic, x-ray, and laboratory services and outpatient radiation therapy, physical therapy, and psychotherapy are not covered. Sudden and serious illness within twelve hours of onset of symptoms, accidental injury within seventy-two hours of occurrence, surgery, presurgical testing, and outpatient dialysis are covered.

#### **B.** Limitations and Exclusions

When the following limitations and exclusions apply to a hospital stay, no benefits will be available for any part of the hospital charges for the day or days to which they are applicable.

- Preexisting conditions limitation: for conditions that start after the effective date of this contract, coverage starts immediately; for any condition, disease, or symptom that the insured had before the effective date of this contract, no benefits will be provided during the first eleven months the contract is in effect. Birth defects and prior coverage under another contract are exceptions to this rule. This limitation is waived for many of the groups with twenty-five or more contracts.
- Unnecessary services and experimental and obsolete procedures are not covered.
- 3. Services covered under government programs are excluded, as are services usually given without charge.
- Workers' compensation and no-fault automobile insurance benefits are excluded.
- 5. A hospital stay, or any portion of a hospital stay, that is primarily for diagnosis is excluded. This applies to a hospital stay during which the services received are primarily diagnostic x-rays, laboratory studies, or any other diagnostic studies. Similarly, the portion of a hospital stay that is primarily custodial or for a rest cure or for convalescent or sanitarium-type care is not covered.
- 6. The contract holder, spouse, or child is eligible for maternity benefits if she was covered at the time of inception of pregnancy. In-hospital benefits for childbirth or false labor are limited to a total of four days per pregnancy. Full benefits are provided for spontaneous abortions, ectopic pregnancy, cesarean section, and other complications of pregnancy.
- 7. There are no benefits for normal nursery care; under a family contract, newborn children are covered only for illness and injury including special nursery care if the infant needs incubator care or while it weighs less than 2,500 grams (5.5 pounds). There are no benefits for circumcision unless it is performed more than thirty days after birth.
- 8. Coordination-of-benefits provisions were not in effect during the period studied.
- 9. For mental or nervous disorders, thirty days full or partial benefits per calendar year are provided. Outpatient psychiatric benefits are not available. A hospital is covered if it is a general hospital that is not a governmental or public benefit corporation hospital. Psychiatric specialty hospitals are not covered, nor are the psychiatric units of general hospitals with average lengths of stay over sixty days.
- 10. Regular hospitalization contracts provide a maximum of thirty days full or partial benefits per calendar year for a hospital stay (or portions of a hospital stay) whose primary purpose is for physical therapy, physical medicine, or rehabilitation or a combination of same.

#### 11. MEDICARE SUPPLEMENTARY CONTRACTS

Under medicare supplementary contracts, coverage consists of the following:

- Fill-in of the initial inpatient deductible of a "spell of illness" as defined by medicare.
- Fill-in of the daily copayment from the 61st through the 90th day of a spell of illness as defined by medicare.
- When lifetime reserve days are used, fill-in of the daily copayment for lifetime reserve days used any time from the 91st through the 120th day of a spell of illness as defined by medicare.
- 4. When lifetime reserve days are not used, 30 days in full from the 91st through the 120th day per spell of illness as defined by medicare.
- 5. Fill-in of the extended-care facility daily copayment from the 21st through the 100th day of a confinement as defined by medicare.
- Stays in foreign hospitals up to 90 days, provided that the insured has not been out of the United States for more than three consecutive months on the date of admission.
- 7. An allowance of up to \$10 for use of the hospital emergency room for minor surgery and for an accident, if the visit is made within 72 hours of the occurrence of the accident. This \$10 allowance fills in all or part of the 20 percent copayment and/or \$60 deductible under Part B of medicare. (Hospital outpatient services are covered by Part B of medicare, not Part A.)



#### DISCUSSION OF PRECEDING PAPER:

#### JOAN F. BOYLE AND ROBERT J. DYMOWSKI:

We are pleased to see this paper published in the *Transactions* and agree that it can be of value to actuaries working in the health field. Because of the nature of their benefit programs and their methods of maintaining claim and enrollment data, Blue Cross and Blue Shield Plans have developed a considerable volume of claim experience on hospital and medical benefits. We hope that the author's example and our discussion will encourage further contributions of such data.

This discussion presents data on inpatient admissions, lengths of stay, and total days per contract year similar to that contained in the paper. Such a comparison highlights the importance of considering significant factors affecting the experience presented in the paper before attempting to adapt it for use in other situations.

Our comparison is based on the experience of the Hospital Service Plan of New Jersey (HSP) for major segments of its community-rated business during calendar year 1982. The data was examined on an incurred basis using claims incurred in 1982, paid through July, 1983. Three segments of experience corresponding to the categories presented for Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Greater New York (BCBSGNY) were used.

- 1. Community Group. This segment includes experience for groups of 4–99 employees, although groups of 50–99 employees were being phased out of this category during the year. Experience was assembled on two types of group contracts, one providing 120 days coverage in full and the other providing coverage for 365 days. We made no adjustment to the group experience for the difference in maximum benefit available. The difference in the expected claim costs for these benefits is approximately 2 percent. The coverage included no preexisting limitations. The experience presented was based on an exposure of 339,875 contracts.
- 2. Direct Payment Conversion. This block of experience involves individual and family contracts on lives that previously were covered under either community-rated or experience-rated group contracts. Coverage is provided without any requirement of evidence of insurability and contains no preexisting conditions limitation. The same 120-day contract discussed

- above for group contracts is used. The total exposure for this block was 62.390 contracts.
- 3. Direct Payment Nongroup. This category of subscribers also is covered under 120-day contract. There are no preexisting conditions exclusions for individuals who are able to provide satisfactory evidence of insurability. However, individuals covered during open enrollment periods, without evidence of insurability are included. Such contracts are subject to a one year preexisting conditions limitation. During the exposure pe-

# TABLE 1 COMPARISON OF HSP OF NEW JERSEY VERSUS BCBSGNY EXPERIENCE INPATIENT UTILIZATION TOTAL INPATIENT ADMISSIONS PER CONTRACT YEAR

### INDIVIDUAL MALE (SEE TABLE 6A OF PAPER)

		EXPERIENCE RA	rios	HSP OF NJ INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES		Direct	Payment		Direct Payment		
AOE3	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	1.165 1.449 1.502 1.010 1.032 1.041 1.242	1.539 2.494 1.372 1.306 1.741 1.216 1.126	1.960 1.735 1.384 1.943 1.176 1.513 1.533	0.524 0.743 1.000 0.945 1.151 1.292 2.157	0.728 1.141 1.000 1.124 1.457 1.395 1.659	0.741 1.021 1.000 1.437 1.176 1.462 1.958	
Standardized weighting	1.195	1.522	1.740	(			

# TABLE 2 Comparison of HSP of New Jersey versus BCBSGNY Experience Inpatient Utilization Nonmaternity Inpatient Admissions per Contract Year

INDIVIDUAL FEMALE
(SEE TABLE 6B OF PAPER)

		EXPERIENCE RA	rios	HSP OF NJ INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES	Direct Payment			Direct Payme		Payment	
(A)E2	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
20-29	0.972	1.394	1.663	0.599	0.576	0.593	
30–39	1.044	2.068	1.253	0.843	0.994	0.792	
40-44	0.877	1.543	1.437	1.000	1.000	1.000	
45-49	0.872	1.000	1.104	1.023	0.777	0.871	
50-54	0.876	1.257	1.213	1.029	1.011	0.865	
55~59	0.858	0.963	1.168	1.029	0.866	0.731	
60–64	1.089	1.373	1.771	1.487	1.212	1.129	
Standardized weighting	0.968	1.325	1.443	}			

# COMPARISON OF HSP OF NEW JERSEY VERSUS BCBSGNY EXPERIENCE INPATIENT UTILIZATION

### Nonmaternity Inpatient Admissions per Contract Year

#### FAMILY

(SEE TABLE 6C OF PAPER)

		EXPERIENCE RA	nos	HSP of NJ INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES		Direct	Payment	{	Direct	Payment	
Adra	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 Standardized weighting	0.938 1.011 1.269	1.730 1.361 1.157 1.034 1.052 1.118 1.181	1.721 1.338 1.243 1.141 1.396 1.519 1.746	0.908 0.998 1.000 0.970 0.948 1.022 1.476	1.250 1.005 1.000 0.981 1.042 1.129 1.387	1.087 1.033 1.000 0.907 0.949 1.022 1.343	

#### TABLE 4

### COMPARISON OF HSP OF New Jersey versus BCBSGNY Experience

### INPATIENT UTILIZATION

### MATERNITY ADMISSIONS PER CONTRACT YEAR

#### INDIVIDUAL FEMALE

(SEE TABLE 6D OF PAPER)

		EXPERIENCE RATIOS				
ATTAINED Ages		Direct Payment				
AVES	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous			
20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64		1.811 1.516 1.000	1.536 1.136 0.800			
Standardized weighting		1.734	1.381			

riod considered, these contracts represented approximately 4 percent of the total contracts in this category. While we have not displayed separate experience for the open enrollment category within the nongroup block, other studies have indicated that these subscribers exhibit significantly higher claims than the balance of the nongroup block, even after the effects of initial selection for the standard nongroup enrollees has worn off. This category consisted of 125,224 contracts.

### COMPARISON OF HSP OF NEW JERSEY VERSUS BCBSGNY EXPERIENCE INPATIENT UTILIZATION

# TOTAL INPATIENT ADMISSION PER CONTRACT YEAR INDIVIDUAL FEMALE

(SEE TABLE 6E OF PAPER)

_		EXPERIENCE RAT	rios	HSP OF NJ INDEX VALUES		
ATTAINED AGES		Direct	Payment		Direct	Payment
, 1023	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous
20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64		1.517 1.960 1.525 1.000 1.257 0.963 1.373	1.633 1.226 1.411 1.111 1.213 1.168 1.771	0.829 1.073 1.000 0.967 0.967 0.967 1.398	0.870 1.146 1.000 0.760 0.989 0.847 1.186	0.746 0.988 1.000 0.857 0.846 0.714 1.103
Standardized weighting	1.025	1.357	1.437			{

TABLE 6

COMPARISON OF HSP OF NEW JERSEY VERSUS BCBSGNY EXPERIENCE
INPATIENT UTILIZATION

# MATERNITY INPATIENT ADMISSIONS PER CONTRACT YEAR FAMILY

### (SEE TABLE 6F OF PAPER)

	Experience Ratios					
ATTAINED AGES		Direct Payment				
18013	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous			
20-29	0.860	0.810	1.071			
30-39	1.088	1.022	1.338			
40-44	1.044	1.000	1.121			
45-49	0.762	0.636	0.556			
50-54	0.536	0.500	1.000			
55-59	000.1	1.000	1.000			
60-64	0.634	0.000	1.000			
Standardized weighting	0.971	0.903	1.174			

As indicated above, the experience shown is for calendar year 1982. During this period, HSP was phasing in a new reimbursement methodology to hospitals known as the Diagnosis-Related Groups reimbursement method (DRG). The DRG method treats cases and days differently for newborn infants than under the per diem reimbursement methodology previously used. Under the earlier methodology, no cases or inpatient days were counted for newborn care, except where confinement for the newborn exceeded that of

661

# DISCUSSION TABLE 7

# COMPARISON OF HSP OF NEW JERSEY VERSUS BCBSGNY EXPERIENCE INPATIENT UTILIZATION

### TOTAL INPATIENT ADMISSIONS PER CONTRACT YEAR

#### FAMILY

### (SEE TABLE 6G OF PAPER)

		Experience Rat	nos	HSP of NJ INDEX VALUES		
ATTAINED AGES		Direct	Payment		Direct Payment	
AGES	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous
20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	1.104	1.229 1.249 1.142 1.020 1.045 1.117	1.407 1.338 1.229 1.102 1.387 1.517	1.308 1.228 1.000 0.915 0.877 0.939 1.353	1.794 1.268 1.000 0.923 0.964 1.045	1.536 1.284 1.000 0.840 0.862 0.917 1.203
Standardized weighting	1.090	1.156	1.358			

#### TABLE 8

### COMPARISON OF HSP OF NEW JERSEY VERSUS BCBSGNY EXPERIENCE

### INPATIENT UTILIZATION

### TOTAL INPATIENT LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS)

### INDIVIDUAL MALE

### (SEE TABLE 7A OF PAPER)

		Experience Ra	rios	HSP of NJ INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES		Direct Payment		{	Direct	Payment	
	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	0.890 0.901 0.923 0.916 0.969	1.315 1.255 1.313 1.323 0.729 1.015 0.893	1.178 1.203 1.113 1.126 1.182 0.844 0.948	0.795 0.910 1.000 0.974 1.203 1.162 1.279	0.871 1.019 1.000 1.101 0.689 1.040 0.948	0.907 1.012 1.000 0:961 1.223 1.099 1.116	
Standardized weighting	0.951	1.187	1.137	Į		}	

the mother, since the total costs involved were part of the per diem payable for the maternity benefit. Under DRG, the newborn represents a separate case, and, thus, cases and days are included for newborns for hospitals being reimbursed on this basis. We estimate that this may have increased inpatient hospital days incurred in 1982 by about 2.5 percent over what would have been reported on the previous basis.

# COMPARISON OF HSP OF NEW JERSEY VERSUS BCBSGNY EXPERIENCE INPATIENT UTILIZATION

#### INTAILENT OTHEREATION

### NONMATERNITY INPATIENT LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS)

### INDIVIDUAL FEMALE

#### (SEE TABLE 7B OF PAPER)

		Experience Rat	ios	HSP of NJ INDEX VALUES		
ATTAINED AGES		Direct Payment			Direct Payment	
POL	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous
20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	1.042 0.877 0.900 0.860	1.203 1.322 1.063 1.155 0.888 0.938 0.888	1.176 1.327 1.291 0.922 1.053 0.991 0.927	0.691 0.813 1.000 0.971 1.104 1.207 1.281	0.855 1.096 1.000 1.147 1.045 1.080	0.741 0.915 1.000 0.904 1.090 1.191 1.146
Standardized weighting		1.015	1.046		1.000	

#### TABLE 10

### COMPARISON OF HSP OF NEW JERSEY VERSUS BCBSGNY EXPERIENCE

### INPATIENT UTILIZATION

### NONMATERNITY INPATIENT LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS)

### FAMILY

### (SEE TABLE 7C OF PAPER)

_		EXPERIENCE RAT	nos	HSP OF NJ INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES	Direct Paymen		Payment		Direct Payment		
Aora	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
20-29 30-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 Standardized weighting	0.836 0.947 0.960 0.949 0.845 0.879 0.840	0.823 0.907 0.867 0.990 0.825 0.882 0.888	0.869 0.950 0.992 1.026 0.838 0.948 0.789	0.826 0.873 1.000 1.090 1.145 1.339 1.416	0.852 0.937 1.000 1.277 1.312 1.437 1.618	0.890 0.908 1.000 1.226 1.283 1.438 1.528	

Ratios of inpatient admissions, lengths of stay, and total days per contract year for the HSP experience versus the BCBSGNY experience in the paper are presented. Age index values for each of these components, corresponding to those presented in the paper, also are shown. For the purpose of standardizing exposure to obtain ratios of aggregate experience, we used the BCBSGNY contract exposures presented in the paper.

The results of our comparison are presented as follows:

TABLE 11

## COMPARISON OF HSP OF New Jersey Versus BCBSGNY Experience

### INPATIENT UTILIZATION

### TOTAL INPATIENT LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS)

INDIVIDUAL FEMALE

(SEE TABLE 7E OF PAPER)

		EXPERIENCE RATIOS			HSP OF NJ INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED Ages		Direct	Payment		Direct Payment			
nots	Group	Conversion	Miscellancous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous		
20-29	0.870	1.143	1.195	0.650	0.709	0.682		
30-39	0.900	1.367	1.333	0.775	1.017	0.845		
40-44	1.023	1.071	1.307	1.000	1.000	1.000		
45-49	0.875	1.158	0.918	1.003	1.159	0.909		
50-54	0.900	0.888	1.053	1.145	1.056	1.101		
55-59	0.860	0.937	0.991	1.252	1.090	1.203		
60-64	0.858	0.888	0.927	1.328	1.091	1.157		
Standardized weighting	0.879	1.001	1.046					

### TABLE 12

### COMPARISON OF HSP OF New Jersey Versus BCBSGNY Experience

### INPATIENT UTILIZATION

# TOTAL INPATIENT LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS) FAMILY

### (SEE TABLE 7F OF PAPER)

		EXPERIENCE RA	nos	HSP of NJ INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES	Direct Payment			Direct	Payment		
AGES	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
20-29	0.925	0.915	0.971	0.783	0.811	0.863	
30-39	0.981	0.958	0.998	0.848	0.902	0.892	
40-44	0.971	0.889	1.012	1.000	1.000	1.000	
45-49	0.959	1.000	1.050	1.109	1.294	1.241	
50-54	0.851	0.830	0.844	1.176	1.338	1.303	
55-59	0.881	0.881	0.951	1.375	1,462	1.468	
60-64	0.841	0.888	0.790	1.456	1.653	1.562	
Standardized weighting	0.913	0.904	0.939				

- 1. Tables 1-3 compare nonmaternity inpatient admissions.
- 2. Maternity admissions and total inpatient admissions for females are shown in Tables 4-7.
- 3. Comparisons of lengths of stay are shown in Tables 8-12.
- 4. Comparisons of total inpatient days per contract year are shown in Tables 13–15.

# COMPARISON OF HSP OF NEW JERSEY VERSUS BCBSGNY EXPERIENCE INPATIENT UTILIZATION

# TOTAL INPATIENT DAYS PER CONTRACT YEAR INDIVIDUAL MALE

(SEE TABLE 8A OF PAPER)

		EXPERIENCE RA	rios	HSP OF NJ INDEX VALUES			
ATTAINED AGES	Direct Payment			Direct Payment			
WGF2	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
20-29	1.165	2.090	2.363	0.420	0.651	0.685	
30-39	1.342	3.123	2.094	0.677	1.163	1.040	
40-44	1.346	1.798	1.531	1.000	1.000	1.000	
45-49	0.916	1.725	2.198	0.921	1.239	1.386	
50-54	0.947	1.274	1.384	1.372	1.006	1.439	
55-59	0.951	1.229	1.280	1.499	1.452	1.612	
60-64	1.202	1.006	1.449	2.748	1.577	2.191	
Standardized weighting	1.131	1.705	1.906				

#### TABLE 14

### COMPARISON OF HSP OF NEW JERSEY VERSUS BCBSGNY EXPERIENCE

#### INPATIENT UTILIZATION

#### TOTAL INPATIENT DAYS PER CONTRACT YEAR

### INDIVIDUAL FEMALE

### (SEE TABLE 8B OF PAPER)

ATTAINED			nos	HSP OF NJ INDEX VALUES			
AGES		Direct Payment			Direct Payment		
20-29	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	
0-29. 0-39. 0-44. 5-49. 0-54. 5-59. 0-64. itandardized weighting.	1.025 0.923 0.763 0.790 0.736 0.941	1.759 2.670 1.641 1.159 1.117 0.905 1.221	2.021 1.642 1.836 1.018 1.278 1.162 1.636	0.536 0.828 1.000 0.972 1.113 1.209 1.867	0.622 1.155 1.000 0.880 1.042 0.920 1.290	0.527 0.839 1.000 0.782 0.937 0.863 1.281	

For ease of reference, all of our tables identify the corresponding table in the paper to which our comparisons were made.

Highlights from our comparisons are as follows:

### Nonmaternity Inpatient Admissions (Tables 1–3)

1. Except for the experience of individual females, the HSP admission rates are higher than those shown in the paper. The worst results observed were for individual males; the best were for family contracts.

DISCUSSION 665

#### TABLE 15

# COMPARISON OF HSP OF NEW JERSEY VERSUS BCBSGNY EXPERIENCE INPATIENT UTILIZATION

### TOTAL INPATIENT DAYS PER CONTRACT YEAR

### FAMILY

### (SEE TABLE 8C OF PAPER)

Attained Ages	Experience Ratios			HSP of NJ INDEX VALUES		
	Direct Payment			Direct Payment		
	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous	Group	Conversion	Miscellaneous
20-29	1.045	1.125	1.363	1.028	1.454	1.325
30-39	1.152	1.195	1.327	1.039	1.140	1.141
40-44	1.069	1.015	1.242	1.000	1.000	1.000
45-49	0.909	1.023	1.152	1.016	1.195	1.040
50-54	0.793	0.867	1.172	1.031	1,291	1.126
55-59	0.891	0.984	1.442	1.292	1.525	1.348
60-64	1.063	1.048	1.376	1.968	2.112	1.878
Standardized weighting	0.990	1.036	1.299			

2. The HSP index values for individual males are generally less steep than those contained in the paper, although these results may be distorted because of the high ratio of HSP to BCBSGNY experience observed in the 40-44 age grouping used as the reference base for the index values. The two sets of indices are fairly similar for individual females covered under group contracts, except at ages 60-64. For the conversion and nongroup contracts, the HSP results show unexplained declines in the index values at high ages. For family contracts, the group results are fairly similar for ages less than 60-64, although the HSP results are at a somewhat lower level relative to the age 40-44 base. The overall result is very flat. Results for the other categories were mixed.

### Maternity Admissions (Tables 4 and 6)

We observed that individual female admissions were significantly higher for HSP than for BCBSGNY, but were generally lower for family contracts, particularly for the group and conversion categories.

### Total Inpatient Admissions (Tables 5 and 7)

For individual females, the group inpatient admissions are close in aggregate, but this is the result of high ratios for the younger ages and lower ones for the older ages. The HSP results for conversion and nongroup contracts are higher overall, generally due to high ratios at the younger ages.

2. The index values for individual female contracts show the same flatness for group as observed for the nonmaternity experience, and are generally somewhat flatter than the BCBSGNY experience for conversion and nongroup categories. The inpatient admissions shown for family contracts are higher than those for BCBSGNY. The index values show a "U" shape similar to that reported in the BCBSGNY experience, although generally higher at both ends of the age range.

### Length of Stay (Tables 8-12)

- 1. The HSP group experience shows consistently shorter lengths of stay for all types of contracts, ranging from 87.6 percent of the BCBSGNY experience for individual females to 95.1 percent for individual males.
- 2. The family contracts also show a shorter length of stay for all three categories. The HSP results for individual males for conversion and nongroup show the highest ratios relative to the BCBSGNY experience, particularly at the younger ages.
- 3. The group index values generally show more variation by age than the conversion and nongroup experience for individual male and female contracts. The age variations are consistent over all categories for family contracts. While the slopes of the group results for HSP are generally similar to those of the BCBSGNY experience, the HSP age variations for conversion and nongroup contracts are definitely flatter than those reported for BCBSGNY.

### Total Inpatient Days Per Contract Year (Tables 13-15)

- 1. For individual males, the ratios of total inpatient days are similar to those shown for admissions, although the conversion and nongroup categories are higher.
- 2. The group experience under individual females and family contracts is lower than reported for BCBSGNY, with the most favorable comparison being observed at the higher ages.
- 3. The group individual male index values track well at the lower ages but not at the higher. Again, the conversion and nongroup indexes are flatter for HSP than reported for BCBSGNY. Also, while group family experience is mostly flatter for HSP, the experience for the other family categories shows the same "U" shape as noted for total inpatient admissions.

Our purpose is to illustrate the variations in a block of experience that might be expected to be reasonably similar to the BCBSGNY experience.

DISCUSSION 667

In this comparison, it appears that the preexisting conditions limitation included in the BCBSGNY contracts (noted in Appendix B, paragraph B-1 of the paper) may be contributing to relatively more favorable results even after the effects of initial selection have worn off. The unfavorable open enrollment experience and differences in underwriting may be responsible for the higher HSP nongroup experience. Differences in underwriting policy and benefit design can have obvious effects on the results observed for different blocks of experience. Furthermore, it is necessary to recognize regional variations in the patterns of delivery of medical care. Such adjustments normally are reflected by means of area factors included in rating structures for health benefits. Actuaries attempting to use the data presented either in the paper or in our discussion must recognize the need for similar adjustment to the data.

Again, we would like to thank the authors for presenting the BCBSGNY experience. We would be interested in their comments concerning the observed differences in experience.

# (AUTHORS' REVIEW OF DISCUSSION) PETER L. HUTCHINGS AND RICHARD E. ULLMAN

We are pleased that our paper has generated additional data. In order to facilitate comparison with the New Jersey data, we have prepared aggregate

TABLE 1
TOTAL INPATIENT HOSPITAL UTILIZATION TREND
1978 TO 1982

### INDIVIDUAL MALE CONTRACTS

	Admissions Per Contract Per Year	AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY	Days of Care Per Contract Per Year			
	Group					
1978	.072 .067 -6.900	8.42 7.60 - 9.70	.610 .508 -16.700			
	Group Conversion					
1978	.087 .097 +11.500	9.04 8.61 - 4.80	.785 .836 +6.500			
	Miscellaneous Direct Pay					
1978	.061 .076 + 24.600	7.66 7.46 -2.60	.468 .565 + 20.700			

# TABLE 2 HOSPITAL UTILIZATION TREND 1978 TO 1982

### INDIVIDUAL FEMALE AND FAMILY CONTRACTS

	Inpatient Nonmaternity		MATERNITY	Inpatient Including Maternity			
	Admissions + Per Contract Per Year	Average Length of Stay	+ ADMISSIONS PER CONTRACT PER YEAR	Admissions Per Contract Per Year	Average Length of Stay	Days of Care Per Contract Per Year	
	Group Individual Female						
1978	0.110 0.093 -15.500	8.42 7.18 -14.70	0.011 0.016 +45.500	0.121 0.108 10.700	7.95 6.65 -16.40	0.964 0.720 25.300	
	Group Family						
1978	0.258 0.228 -11.600	7.05 6.23 -11.60	0.049 0.059 + 20.400	0.307 0.286 -6.800	6.50 5.71 -12.20	1.999 1.635 - 18.200	
	Group Conversion Female						
1978	0.124 0.124 0.000	9.44 8.86 -6.10	0.011 0.014 + 27.300	0.135 0.138 2.200	8.95 8.32 -7.00	1.205 1.151 -4.500	
	Group Conversion Family						
1978	0.282 0.257 -8.900	7.58 6.81 -10.20	0.061 0.059 -3.300	0.343 0.317 -7.600	6.91 6.23 - 9.80	2.368 1.975 -16.600	
	Miscellaneous Direct Individual Female					<del></del>	
1978	0.094 0.106 + 12.800	8.15 8.28 +1.60	0.009 0.008 -11.100	0.103 0.114 +10.700	7.72 7.95 +3.00	0.793 0.906 +14.200	
	Miscellaneous Direct Family					<u> </u>	
1978	0.231 0.240 +3.900	6.45 5.92 -8.20	0.055 0.052 -5.500	0.286 0.292 + 2.100	5.88 5.52 -6.10	1.684 1.610 -4.400	

## MATERNITY LENGTH OF STAY (DAYS) COMBINED GROUP AND DIRECT PAYMENT

	Year		Percent
Coverage	1978	1982	Change
Individual Family	3.20 3.65	3.52 3.72	10.0 1.9
Combined	3.59	3.69	2.8

data for the downstate New York area for 1982. It is important to bear in mind that our company has witnessed a long-term decline in the inpatient

hospital use pattern. This is clearly evident in the group data. The group conversion and miscellaneous direct payment data show a mixed pattern because the selection process changed significantly during the 1978-82 period. Tables 1 and 2 present these figures.

The improvement in the Greater New York utilization experience over the 1978-82 interval increased the difference between the lower New York experience and the higher New Jersey experience.

Two of the many possible causes for these differences are the limited bed supply and the ready availability of ambulatory care in the New York area. The result is a much lower admission rate but a longer length of stay for more severe cases. Ambulatory medical care is not readily accessible in rural areas, so there is a tendency to admit less severe cases to the hospital.

A comparison of our data with hospital inpatient data for a prepaid group practice health maintenance organization reveals this same tendency to a marked degree. Thus, the overall days of care per person per year are significantly lower for the HMO. The admission rate is much lower for the HMO, whereas the length of stay is higher. The financial discouragement to hospitalize in a prepaid group practice setting markedly reduces admissions of less severe cases.

The New Jersey experience indicates a much higher rate of maternity utilization for individual female contracts. While the percentage difference is significant, the base is very small; the maternity inpatient admission rate for individual females is only 1 percent.

The 10 percent increase in maternity length of stay from 1978-82 for individual contracts in New York probably is due to a shift in the mix of these cases away from induced abortions and toward more births via either normal delivery or Caesarean section. The increased length of stay for family contracts probably is due to a trend toward more Caesarean sections rather than an absolute increase in length of stay for each of the categories of maternity confinements.

Ms. Boyle and Mr. Dymowski note the general flatness of the female nonmaternity curve with respect to hospital admissions and the U-shaped family curve with respect to total days per contract per year. The flatness and sometimes the decline in the female nonmaternity curve for admissions probably is due to the relatively high incidence of gynecological surgical procedures performed in the middle age range. These tend to diminish significantly at the upper ages. The U-shaped family curve is due to the high incidence of maternity cases and children cases at the younger ages, the decline in these causes of hospitalization at the middle ages, and the increase in degenerative diseases that occurs later in life.

