

2018 HEALTH
MEETING
JUNE 25-27 • AUSTIN, TX



Session 27IF, Is Your Integrated Delivery System Throwing Away Free Money?

Presenters:

Timothy K. Murray, FSA, MAAA

Karan Rustagi, FSA, MAAA

[SOA Antitrust Disclaimer](#)

[SOA Presentation Disclaimer](#)

2018 SOA Health Meeting

KARAN RUSTAGI & TIM MURRAY

Session 271F, Is your integrated delivery system throwing away free money?

June 25, 2018



SOCIETY OF ACTUARIES

Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

Active participation in the Society of Actuaries is an important aspect of membership. While the positive contributions of professional societies and associations are well-recognized and encouraged, association activities are vulnerable to close antitrust scrutiny. By their very nature, associations bring together industry competitors and other market participants.

The United States antitrust laws aim to protect consumers by preserving the free economy and prohibiting anti-competitive business practices; they promote competition. There are both state and federal antitrust laws, although state antitrust laws closely follow federal law. The Sherman Act, is the primary U.S. antitrust law pertaining to association activities. The Sherman Act prohibits every contract, combination or conspiracy that places an unreasonable restraint on trade. There are, however, some activities that are illegal under all circumstances, such as price fixing, market allocation and collusive bidding.

There is no safe harbor under the antitrust law for professional association activities. Therefore, association meeting participants should refrain from discussing any activity that could potentially be construed as having an anti-competitive effect. Discussions relating to product or service pricing, market allocations, membership restrictions, product standardization or other conditions on trade could arguably be perceived as a restraint on trade and may expose the SOA and its members to antitrust enforcement procedures.

While participating in all SOA in person meetings, webinars, teleconferences or side discussions, you should avoid discussing competitively sensitive information with competitors and follow these guidelines:

- **Do not** discuss prices for services or products or anything else that might affect prices
- **Do not** discuss what you or other entities plan to do in a particular geographic or product markets or with particular customers.
- **Do not** speak on behalf of the SOA or any of its committees unless specifically authorized to do so.
- **Do** leave a meeting where any anticompetitive pricing or market allocation discussion occurs.
- **Do** alert SOA staff and/or legal counsel to any concerning discussions
- **Do** consult with legal counsel before raising any matter or making a statement that may involve competitively sensitive information.

Adherence to these guidelines involves not only avoidance of antitrust violations, but avoidance of behavior which might be so construed. These guidelines only provide an overview of prohibited activities. SOA legal counsel reviews meeting agenda and materials as deemed appropriate and any discussion that departs from the formal agenda should be scrutinized carefully. Antitrust compliance is everyone's responsibility; however, please seek legal counsel if you have any questions or concerns.

Presentation Disclaimer

Presentations are intended for educational purposes only and do not replace independent professional judgment. Statements of fact and opinions expressed are those of the participants individually and, unless expressly stated to the contrary, are not the opinion or position of the Society of Actuaries, its cosponsors or its committees. The Society of Actuaries does not endorse or approve, and assumes no responsibility for, the content, accuracy or completeness of the information presented. Attendees should note that the sessions are audio-recorded and may be published in various media, including print, audio and video formats without further notice.

Introductions



Karan Rustagi, FSA, MAAA

- VP of Plans, Pricing, and Underwriting at Oscar Health
- Specialize in integrated delivery systems strategies
- Strategic actuarial consulting to health plans, providers, local and foreign governments
- ACA and Medicare Advantage

Introductions



Tim Murray, FSA, MAAA

- Senior Consulting Actuary at Wakely
- Strategic actuarial and finance consulting to health plans and provider groups
- Medicare Advantage and Corporate Finance

Agenda



- Understanding Integrated Delivery Systems (IDS)
- Expected outcomes vs. reality
- Potential self-defeating pitfalls to avoid
- An actuarial example
- Recipes for success

What are Integrated Delivery Systems?



What?

- A system consisting of both a network of medical providers and hospitals (or just hospitals) and a health plan
- Typically created by providers (provider-sponsored health plans)

Why?

- Providers don't like negotiating with insurance companies
- Providers want to control both their reimbursement and the market share

How?

- A hospital company or its parent company will create a subsidiary insurance company that typically offers a narrow network product consisting of only its owned providers in the network

New Types of Integrated Delivery Systems Coming?



- Significant recent horizontal mergers have been blocked by federal antitrust regulatory bodies
- Vertical integration of healthcare is a recent theme in Merger & Acquisition activity
- Large retailers are getting involved in the health care ecosystem
- Managed care companies have increasingly invested in health care service delivery



Expectation vs. Reality



What causes integrated delivery systems to not deliver?

Possible explanations:

- Improperly aligned incentives
- Negative correlation between payer and provider margins
- Lack of strategic actuarial insights
- Internal competition destroys significant market power of the IDS

System Pitfalls and Failures



System Pitfalls and Failures



System Pitfalls and Failures



System Pitfalls and Failures



System Pitfalls and Failures



Example: MA bid for an IDS

PMPMs

Current Contract

Item	Formula	CMS Reimbursement Rate	
a		Standardized Benchmark Rate	\$800.00
b		Risk Score	1.000
c	a*b	Risk-Adjusted Benchmark Rate	\$800.00

		Plan Basic Bid	
d		Basic Claims Cost @ 1.0 Risk Score	\$525.00
e		Administrative Expenses @ 1.0 Risk Score	\$50.00
f		Profit Margin @1.0 Risk Score	\$25.00
g	d+e+f	Standardized (@1.0 Risk Score) Plan Bid	\$600.00
h	b*g	Risk-Adjusted Plan Bid	\$600.00

		Rebate Calculation	
i	c-h	Plan Savings	\$200.00
j		Plan Rebate %	70.0%
k	i*j	Plan Rebate Revenue	\$140.00
l	k*d/g	Supplemental Benefit Claims Cost	\$122.50
m	k*e/g	Supplemental Admin Expense	\$11.67
n	k*f/g	Supplemental Benefit Profit Margin	\$5.83

		Plan Financial Impact	
o	h+k	Total Part C (MA) Revenue	\$740.00
p	d*b+l	Total Part C (MA) Claim Cost	\$647.50
q	e*b+l	Total Part C (MA) Admin Expense	\$61.67
r	o-p-q	Part C Margin PMPM	\$30.83

Reimbursement up 5%: Impacts three P&Ls

PMPMs

			Current Contract	+5% Contract Assuming No Benefit Cuts	Difference
Item	Formula	CMS Reimbursement Rate			
a		Standardized Benchmark Rate	\$800.00	\$800.00	\$0.00
b		Risk Score	1.000	1.000	0.000
c	a*b	Risk-Adjusted Benchmark Rate	\$800.00	\$800.00	\$0.00

Plan Basic Bid

d		Basic Claims Cost @ 1.0 Risk Score	\$525.00	\$551.25	\$26.25
e		Administrative Expenses @ 1.0 Risk Score	\$50.00	\$50.48	\$0.48
f		Profit Margin @1.0 Risk Score	\$25.00	\$5.98	(\$19.02)
g	d+e+f	Standardized (@1.0 Risk Score) Plan Bid	\$600.00	\$607.71	\$7.71
h	b*g	Risk-Adjusted Plan Bid	\$600.00	\$607.71	\$7.71

Rebate Calculation

i	c-h	Plan Savings	\$200.00	\$192.29	(\$7.71)
j		Plan Rebate %	70.0%	70.0%	0.0%
k	i*j	Plan Rebate Revenue	\$140.00	\$134.60	(\$5.40)
l	k*d/g	Supplemental Benefit Claims Cost	\$122.50	\$122.09	(\$0.41)
m	k*e/g	Supplemental Admin Expense	\$11.67	\$11.18	(\$0.48)
n	k*f/g	Supplemental Benefit Profit Margin	\$5.83	\$1.32	(\$4.51)

Plan Financial Impact

o	h+k	Total Part C (MA) Revenue	\$740.00	\$742.31	\$2.31
p	d*b+l	Total Part C (MA) Claim Cost	\$647.50	\$673.34	\$25.84
q	e*b+l	Total Part C (MA) Admin Expense	\$61.67	\$61.67	\$0.00
r	o-p-q	Part C Margin PMPM	\$30.83	\$7.30	(\$23.53)

System Margin: Net Impact of two P&Ls

The net financial impact to the insurer
= -\$23.53 PMPM

The financial impact to the hospital
= +\$26.25 PMPM
(equivalent to the increase in plan's claim costs)

The net financial impact to the integrated system
= \$26.25 - \$23.53 = +\$2.72 PMPM

Where do you go from here?



Possible solutions

- Align CEO incentives to system P&L, not plan/payer P&L
- Remove stale incentives tied to volume (for hospitals) and MLR (for health plans) that cultivate the tug-o-war
- Align actuarial work (rate filings and MA bids) with system strategy
- Communicate and understand the company strategy and direction and make your voice heard. Surprisingly difficult!
- Critically consider how each subsidiary fits into a rapidly-evolving health care ecosystem
- Evaluate changes in hospital portfolio over the next 5 years
- Identify strategic investments/acquisitions
- Incentivize investments that drive care delivery to the appropriate acuity level

Thank you!

Questions?





**SOCIETY OF
ACTUARIES®**