# Guaranteed Minimum Withdrawal Benefit in Variable Annuities

Yan Liu

Work under the supervision of

Dr. Phelim P. Boyle

August 2006

Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science University of Waterloo

# Contents

- 1. Introduction: What is a GMWB?
- 2. Pricing of A Simple GMWB
- 3. Policyholder Behavior
- 4. Summary

# **Variable Annuities**

- Popular savings instruments
- Guaranteed Minimum Withdrawal Benefit (GMWB) is the most popular VA rider.

# **Guaranteed Minimum Withdrawal Benefit**

- Downside income protection against investment risk
- Potential upside equity gain
  If the investment performance is strong, policyholders will benefit.

# **GMWB Example**

- GMWB is elected at the issuance of the variable annuity.
- Single premium: \$ 100,000
- Initial Guaranteed Withdrawal Balance(GWB): \$100,000
- Maximum Annual Withdrawal Amount(MAWA):
  \$7,000 = 7% of initial GWB

# **A GMWB Example**

Contract	Investment	Fund before	Annual	Fund after	Remaining
year	return	withdrawal	withdrawal	withdrawal	benefit
1	10%	110,000	7,000	103,000	93,000
2	10%	113,300	7,000	106,300	86,000
3	-10%	95,670	7,000	88,670	79,000
4	-60%	35,468	7,000	28,468	72,000
5	-60%	11,387	7,000	4,387	65,000
6	10%	4,826	7,000	0	58,000
7	r	0	7,000	0	51,000
:	÷	÷	:	:	÷
14	r	0	7,000	0	2,000
15	r	0	2,000	0	0

# **GMWB** charge

- The charge is deducted from the account value. Example:
- 50 basis points of average daily account value

# **Financial view of GMWB**

- GMWB is a put option attached to an equity-like insurance product.
- If the account value is always higher than withdrawal amount, there is no liability under the GMWB.
- If the account balance reaches zero, GMWB guarantees all remaining periodic payments.
- This put option has a random exercise time.
- In theory market value of the put option should equal market value of the premium contributions.

# **Pricing a Simple GMWB**

- Initial investment: \$100
- Contract term: 15 years
- Annual withdrawal amount: \$6.67
- Fund volatility: 20%
- Risk-free rate: 5%
- Charge as a percentage q of account value continuously
- All policyholder fully utilize GMWB from the first contract year.
- All policyholders have the same entry age.
- No lapses, no deaths, no dynamic behavior.

#### **Pricing a Simple GMWB**



Figure 7: Plot of present value of contributions against value of put option. Lines cross when q = .0048 when put value =4.40

# **Policyholder Behavior**

Policyholder behavior dramatically affects the cost of GMWB in the real world.

- Dynamic GMWB utilization Possible influencing factors:
  - 1. in-the-moneyness of GMWB
  - 2. contract duration
  - 3. age
  - 4. different benefit features
- Lapse
- Mortality

# Model Policyholder Behavior using Three-state Model



Figure 1: State Transition Diagram For Policyholders

#### **Base Transition Intensities**

• Utilization rate:

$$\bar{\mu}^{12} = 0.2$$

• Lapse rate  $\bar{\mu}^{13}(t)$  changes over time because of decreasing surrender charges.



We use an exponential function to model the impact of in-the-moneyness on utilization and lapse intensity. Assume

$$\mu_{12}(t) = \bar{\mu}_{12} \exp\{\lambda(ITM(t))\}$$

and

$$\mu_{13}(t) = \bar{\mu}_{13} \exp\{-\nu(ITM(t))\}\$$

where

$$ITM(t) = \max\left(0, \left(\frac{GB(t)}{AV(t)} - 1\right)\right).$$

GB(t) is the remaining guaranteed benefit at time t. AV(t) is the current account value at time t.

## **Sensitivity Analysis**

Assume there are 1,000 policyholders elected GMWB at the same time. Using the previous GMWB example, we can see how the values of put benefit and contributions change with  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$ .



# Summary

- 1. The value of GMWB depends on policyholder behavior.
- 2. It's hard to model, because no published data available.
- 3. My future research will explore pricing, hedging, and risk management of GMWB.