

Article from:

ARCH 2013.1 Proceedings

August 1- 4, 2012

Michael V. Loginov, Emily Marlow, Victoria Potruch

PREDICTIVE MODELING IN HEALTHCARE COSTS USING REGRESSION TECHNIQUES

Michael Loginov, Emily Marlow, Victoria Potruch

University of California, Santa Barbara

Building a model that predicts an individual's cost to an insurer

Building a model that predicts an individual's cost to an insurer

Goal: Determine future healthcare costs using prior costs, demographics, and diagnoses

Goal: Determine future healthcare costs using prior costs, demographics, and diagnoses

Accurate health insurance rate-setting

Goal: Determine future healthcare costs using prior costs, demographics, and diagnoses

- Accurate health insurance rate-setting
- Identify individuals for medical management

 Goal: Determine future healthcare costs using prior costs, demographics, and diagnoses

- Accurate health insurance rate-setting
- Identify individuals for medical management
- Measure risk for fund transfer between insurers in new health insurance exchange after 2014



Data set of health insurance claims from 2008 to 2009

- □ 30,000 individuals
- 133 variables

Data

.

A1 • member_id							
	U	V		W	Х	Y	Z
1	gender	allow_current_rx	allow_c	urrent_ip	allow_current_op	allow_current_prof	allow_current_total
2	F	0		0	0	0	0
3	F	418.66		1945	177.73	5944.2	8485.59
4	М	0		0	1462.74	595.29	2058.03
5	М	0		0	592.79	447.23	1040.02
6	М	0		0	0	401.02	401.02
7	F	50.75		0	2754.42	823.55	3628.72
8	F	0		0	5108.5	2567.65	7676.15
9	М	0		0	0	250.43	250.43
10	М	0		0	2737.87	2984.43	5722.3
11	F	0		0	245.5	2524.75	2770.25
12	F	1053.74		0	0	701.12	1754.86
13	м	1537.39		65608	1696.6	19134.24	87976.23
14	м	0		0	1596.32	2623.7	4220.02
15	F	0		0	8894.3	6605.56	15499.86
16	F	0		0	258	199.42	457.42
17	F	0		0	0	257.82	257.82
18	F	520.12		0	0	181.7	701.82
19	F	306.93		0	0	101.6	408.53
20	F	1753.23		0	119.29	672.57	2545.09



□ Numeric variables: age, total cost, categorical costs

Binary variables: flags for hospital and PCP visits, flags for HCCs

□ String variables: gender, self funded or fully insured

Data



Total Cost

Year 1 Total Cost



Log transformation

Data



Log Total Cost

Year 1 Log Cost



□ Log transformation

□ Truncation



□ Log transformation

□ Truncation

□ Creation of "interaction" variables



Set of n=10,000 individuals is used to create the model

Another sample of m=10,000 is used to test predictive power



□ Linear regression: assume the data follows

$$y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + ... + \beta_n x_n + N(0,\sigma^2)$$

y is an individual's log year 2cost
 x_k is the value of a parameter, such as age



□ Linear regression: assume the data follows

$$y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + ... + \beta_n x_n + N(0,\sigma^2)$$

- □ y is an individual's log year 2cost
- $\Box x_k$ is the value of a parameter, such as age
- \square Build a model by estimating the coefficients β_1, \ldots, β_n and σ^2 with least squares estimates



To reduce the number of predictors needed for the model we implement Lars, the use of least angle regression with the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator

- Least angle regression: creating a linear regression model one variable at a time
- Standardize all variables
- Choose the parameter that is most highly correlated with y, and perform simple linear regression with that one parameter

- Least angle regression: creating a linear regression model one variable at a time
- Standardize all variables
- Choose the parameter that is most highly correlated with y, and perform simple linear regression with that one parameter
- Find the parameter most correlated with the residuals and repeat



 \Box Lasso uses a constraint λ on the sum of the standardized regression coefficients:

Maximize $\sum (y-\hat{y})^2$ subject to $\sum |\beta^2| \le \lambda$

- \square \hat{y} is the predicted value of y using the estimates of β_1, \dots, β_n
- \square β^{\sim} coefficients are standardized
- \Box λ is arbitrary



Step

Mallow's C_p statistic is used to choose k, the number of steps we take:

$$C_{p} = (1/\sigma^{2}) \sum (y - \hat{y}_{k})^{2} - n + 2k$$

We choose k such that C_p does not significantly decrease when k is increased



Step

Models are compared using adjusted R² and MSE

Adjusted
$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum(y - \hat{y})^2}{\sum(y - \bar{y})^2} * \frac{n - 1}{n - k - 1}$$

$$MSE = \frac{1}{m} \sum (y - \hat{y})^2$$

$$\Box \text{ Adjusted } R^2 \text{ measures goodness-of-fit}$$

MSE measures predictive power

□ Ran 4 models to compare

- Model 1: Linear regression with age, gender, year 1 log cost
- Model 2: Linear regression with all year 1 nonhealth data
- Model 3: Linear regression with all data available in year 1
- Model 4: Lars with all data available in year 1



Step



Step



Model	Number of Variables	Adjusted R ²	MSE
Model 1	3	0.3721	6.1738
Model 2	31	0.4040	5.9146
Model 3	131	0.4069	5.8897
Model 4	13	0.4027	5.8492

- □ Models 3 and 4 are comparable
- □ Model 4 uses 118 less variables
- □ We use model 4 to draw conclusions

Predictor	Effect on Cost
Age	+0.65% per year
Male Flag	-23.73%
Year 1 Cost	+51.24%
Male Age 15-24 Flag	-20.94%
Male Age 25-44 Flag	-23.78%
Year 1 Pharmacy Cost	+8.75%
Year 1 Inpatient Cost	-2.38%
Year 1 ER Visit Flag	+8.06%
Year 1 PCP Visit Flag	+6.66%
Year 1 PCP Visit Count	+6.47%
HCC 19: Diabetes	+28.83%
HCC 22: Metabolic/Endocrine	+22.23%
HCC 91 Hypertension	+6.36%

Acknowledgements

- In order to conduct this research we used the open source statistical software R with the package lars which includes LAR and lasso
- □ We used LATEX to produce our paper
- We would like to thank our faculty advisors, lan Duncan, Raya Feldman, and Mike Ludkovski for their assistance, their guidance, and their enthusiasm for this research